

## **Nano-Fertilizers: Revolutionizing Nutrient Management for Cereal Crops**

**Biswadeep Mazumder<sup>1</sup>, Anirneeta De<sup>1\*</sup>**

School of Agriculture, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrack pore, West Bengal- 700121

\* Corresponding author: [anirneetad@svu.ac.in](mailto:anirneetad@svu.ac.in)

### **Abstract:**

Nanotechnology offers an exciting solution to meet the nutrient needs of cereal crops like rice, wheat, and maize, which usually require large amounts of fertilizers. Traditional fertilizers, when used in excess, harm the environment by reducing soil health, lowering fertilizer efficiency, and contaminating water resources. Nano-fertilizers provide a better alternative to these conventional fertilizers, not only for cereals but also for other crops. Nano-fertilizers are incredibly small particles, even smaller than the pores of plant cell walls, allowing them to easily enter plant cells and reach the plasma membrane. Their tiny size gives them a surface area 100 times larger than regular fertilizers, improving their interaction with plant surfaces and making nutrient absorption much more efficient. This increases the nutrient-use efficiency of crops and positively impacts their growth, yield, and drought tolerance. By replacing traditional fertilizers with nano-fertilizers, farmers can enhance the physiological and growth performance of cereal crops while reducing environmental damage. This review discusses how nano-fertilizers affect the growth of cereal crops and their benefits for improving both qualitative and quantitative traits. In conclusion, nano-fertilizers are a highly effective nutrient source for cereal crops and represent a promising tool for sustainable nutrient management in agriculture. They can help boost yields, protect the environment, and ensure efficient use of resources, making them a game-changer in modern farming.

**Keywords:** Nano-Fertilizers, Cereal Crop Nutrition, Nutrient-Use Efficiency, Modern Farming Solutions

## **1. Introduction**

Cereal crops, particularly rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), and maize (*Zea mays* L.), account for more than 50% of the world's caloric intake and are essential to global food and nutritional security. However, these crops demand high inputs of macronutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), often supplied through synthetic chemical fertilizers. While these fertilizers have significantly increased yields during the Green Revolution, their excessive and imbalanced use has contributed to declining soil health, nutrient imbalances, and environmental degradation through nutrient runoff, leaching, and greenhouse gas emissions (Chen et al., 2018). Moreover, traditional fertilizers suffer from low nutrient-use efficiency (NUE), often below 50% for nitrogen and even lower for phosphorus, resulting in financial losses for farmers and ecological harm.

Nanotechnology—the science of manipulating materials at the nanoscale (1–100 nanometers)—has emerged as a transformative tool in agriculture. Nano-fertilizers are designed to release nutrients slowly and in response to plant demands, offering site-specific delivery, minimizing nutrient losses, and enhancing crop productivity with minimal environmental footprint. Their small particle size allows them to penetrate plant tissues efficiently, thereby improving the bioavailability of nutrients and stimulating plant physiological and biochemical functions.

This paper explores the synthesis, mechanisms, benefits, and future scope of nano-fertilizers for improving the productivity and sustainability of cereal crop farming. It critically examines their agronomic performance, environmental implications, and practical challenges, providing a comprehensive overview of their role in the future of nutrient management.

## **2. Limitations of Conventional Fertilizers**

Conventional fertilizers have played a pivotal role in increasing

crop yields and supporting the global food supply. However, their sustainability is increasingly being questioned due to their inefficient nutrient-use and negative environmental consequences. Fertilizers such as urea, ammonium nitrate, superphosphates, and muriate of potash are commonly applied to supply essential macronutrients like nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). While effective in the short term, these inputs exhibit poor synchronization with crop nutrient demand and are prone to substantial losses in the environment.

#### Low Nutrient-Use Efficiency (NUE)

Nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE)—defined as the proportion of applied nitrogen absorbed and utilized by the crop—is alarmingly low. It is estimated that only 30–50% of applied nitrogen fertilizers are actually taken up by crops, while the rest is lost through leaching, volatilization, and denitrification (Chen et al., 2018; Raliya et al., 2016). Phosphorus efficiency is even lower, generally less than 20%, as phosphate ions get quickly immobilized in the soil by forming insoluble complexes with calcium, iron, and aluminum compounds (Sharma et al., 2018). Potassium losses are also considerable, especially in sandy or light-textured soils with poor cation exchange capacity.

#### Environmental Consequences of Fertilizer Losses

The consequences of these nutrient losses extend far beyond agronomic inefficiencies:

**Groundwater Pollution:** Excess nitrogen, mainly in the form of nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), leaches into groundwater aquifers, posing serious health risks such as methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome) and contributing to eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems (Tilman et al., 2002).

**Greenhouse Gas Emissions:** Nitrogen losses through denitrification produce nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ), a potent greenhouse gas that has a global warming potential nearly 300 times greater than carbon dioxide (Mosier et al., 2004). Agriculture accounts for approximately 60% of global  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, largely due to fertilizer misuse.

**Soil Degradation:** Long-term application of acid-forming nitrogen fertilizers (e.g., ammonium sulfate) leads to soil acidification, reducing pH and limiting the availability of nutrients. In arid and semi-arid zones, improper use of fertilizers and irrigation can contribute to soil salinization, further diminishing soil fertility and crop productivity (Yadav et al., 2020).

**Disruption of Soil Microbiota:** Heavy and continuous fertilizer inputs have been shown to reduce microbial diversity, suppress beneficial microorganisms, and increase pathogenic microbial populations (Geisseler & Scow, 2014). This undermines the soil's natural nutrient cycling processes and resilience.

### **Economic and Agronomic Drawbacks**

From an economic standpoint, the inefficiency of conventional fertilizers leads to increased production costs for farmers. This is particularly burdensome in regions where input costs are high and crop returns are volatile. Moreover, repeated over-application can induce nutrient imbalances in plants, resulting in stunted growth, reduced yields, and increased susceptibility to pests and diseases (Fageria, 2009).

These widespread inefficiencies underscore the urgent need for alternative fertilizer technologies that improve NUE, reduce environmental impacts, and support sustainable agriculture. One such promising solution is the adoption of nano-fertilizers, which offer controlled release, targeted delivery, and minimal losses, thereby addressing the shortcomings of traditional nutrient delivery systems.

## **3. Nano-Fertilizers: Concept and Characteristics**

What is Nano-Fertilizers?

Nano-fertilizers are defined as nutrient formulations engineered at the nanoscale, typically between 1 and 100 nanometers, designed to enhance nutrient uptake efficiency, reduce environmental losses, and improve crop performance (DeRosa et al., 2010). Their uniqueness lies in their extremely small particle size, which allows for greater surface area-to-volume ratio, leading to higher reactivity and interaction with plant tissues.

These formulations may include:

- Nano-sized particles of essential nutrients (e.g., nano-ZnO, nano-CuO, nano-Fe $\square$ O $\square$ ),
- Nanostructured carriers (e.g., hydroxyapatite, mesoporous silica),
- Nanocomposites embedding nutrients in biodegradable polymers for controlled release (Naderi & Danesh-Shahraki, 2013).

Unlike bulk fertilizers, nano-fertilizers are capable of controlled and targeted release, ensuring that nutrients are available at the right time and in the right quantity, thereby reducing over-application and enhancing nutrient-use efficiency.

### Physicochemical Properties

The beneficial traits of nano-fertilizers originate from their unique physicochemical properties, such as:

- Enhanced surface reactivity: Nanoparticles interact more efficiently with root surfaces, facilitating quicker and more thorough nutrient uptake.
- Higher solubility and dispersibility: They remain suspended in solution, improving foliar absorption and reducing precipitation in soil.
- Improved permeability: Due to their size, nanoparticles can penetrate the plant cuticle, cell wall, and plasma membrane, reaching target sites like chloroplasts and mitochondria (Tiwari et al., 2011).
- Sustained release: Nanostructures can be engineered to release nutrients gradually over time, reducing leaching and volatilization (Liu & Lal, 2015).

### Mechanism of Action in Plants

The mode of action of nano-fertilizers differs significantly from conventional forms:

- Foliar Application: When applied on leaves, nanoparticles enter through stomatal openings or

penetrate directly through the cuticle. Once inside, they translocate via apoplast or symplast pathways to reach different tissues (Rico et al., 2011).

- **Root Uptake:** In soil, nano-fertilizers dissolve into ionic forms or remain as suspended particles. They are absorbed via root epidermal cells, then mobilized through xylem and phloem.

- **Enhanced Nutrient Bioavailability:** The sustained and localized nutrient delivery ensures continuous supply, reduces antagonism among nutrients, and mitigates fixation in the soil matrix.

### Types of Nano-Fertilizers

Nano-fertilizers are broadly classified into the following categories:

- **Nutrient-based Nano-Fertilizers:** These include nanoparticles of essential macro- and micronutrients like nano-ZnO, nano-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, nano-CuO, and nano-MnO<sub>2</sub>. They are directly taken up by the plant and serve as slow-release nutrient sources (Subramanian et al., 2015).

- **Nanocomposite Fertilizers:** Nutrients are encapsulated in nanomaterials such as chitosan, zeolites, or polylactic acid, offering a dual advantage of targeted delivery and biodegradability (Liu & Lal, 2015).

- **Nano-encapsulated Fertilizers:** These are fertilizers coated with nanomaterials for controlled release, often triggered by moisture, temperature, or plant demand.

### Reported Benefits in Cereal Crops

In cereal crops such as rice and wheat, nano-fertilizers have demonstrated:

- **Increased chlorophyll content and photosynthetic efficiency,** leading to improved biomass production (Suriyaprabha et al., 2012).

- **Higher grain yield and quality,** particularly with nano-zinc and nano-iron application (Dimkpa et al., 2017).

- Enhanced stress tolerance, especially against drought and salinity, due to improved root structure and water retention (Tarafdar et al., 2012).

These effects have made nano-fertilizers an increasingly attractive option for sustainable nutrient management in cereal-based cropping systems.

#### **4. Application and Effects of Nano-Fertilizers in Cereal Crops**

Nano-fertilizers are being increasingly explored for their direct application in cereal cropping systems such as rice, wheat, and maize due to their efficiency in nutrient delivery, impact on plant metabolism, and environmental compatibility. Their application techniques, dosages, and resultant effects vary depending on the nutrient formulation, growth stage of the crop, and environmental conditions.

##### **Methods of Application**

Nano-fertilizers can be applied through multiple routes, depending on the type of crop, growth stage, and desired response:

**Soil Application:** Incorporating nano-fertilizers into the soil at sowing or during topdressing allows for localized delivery and prolonged nutrient availability. For example, nano-urea and nano-hydroxyapatite have been shown to improve nitrogen and phosphorus retention in the root zone, reducing leaching losses (Liu & Lal, 2015).

**Foliar Sprays:** Spraying nano-formulations directly on leaves allows for quick absorption via stomatal and cuticular pathways, ensuring rapid translocation to sinks. Foliar application of nano-ZnO and nano-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> has enhanced photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll synthesis in wheat and rice (Rameshaiah et al., 2015).

**Seed Treatment (Priming):** Pre-sowing seed soaking in dilute nano-fertilizer solutions enhances early vigor, enzyme activation, and root-shoot balance. Studies report improved germination, seedling establishment, and stress resilience with nano-Ca, nano-Si, and nano-Zn priming in cereals (Tarafdar et al., 2012).

## Reported Agronomic Benefits in Cereal Crops

The application of nano-fertilizers in cereal crops has led to multiple physiological, biochemical, and yield-related benefits, supported by several field and greenhouse studies:

- **Increased Nutrient Uptake and Use Efficiency:** Nano-encapsulated fertilizers such as nano-urea or nano-phosphate show controlled release kinetics, ensuring availability throughout the crop cycle and increasing NUE by up to 30–50% over conventional fertilizers (Raliya et al., 2016; Dimkpa et al., 2017).
- **Enhanced Photosynthesis and Metabolism:** Nano-iron and nano-zinc have been linked to increased chlorophyll content, stomatal conductance, and Rubisco activity, contributing to higher CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and energy use efficiency (Suriyaprabha et al., 2012).
- **Improved Growth and Biomass Accumulation:** Treated cereal plants display enhanced plant height, tillering capacity, leaf area index (LAI), and dry matter accumulation, especially under nutrient-stress or saline conditions (Subramanian et al., 2015).
- **Boost in Yield and Quality Parameters:**
  - In rice: Nano-Si and nano-Zn improved panicle number, grain weight, and head rice recovery (Yang et al., 2020).
  - In wheat: Nano-Cu and nano-Fe enhanced spike length, grain filling rate, and protein content (Prasad et al., 2012).
  - In maize: Nano-MnO<sub>2</sub> and nano-biochar led to increases in cob weight, kernel size, and zinc density, aiding in biofortification (Dimkpa et al., 2020).

## Stress Mitigation and Resilience

Nano-fertilizers also demonstrate remarkable potential in alleviating abiotic stress in cereal crops:

**Drought:** Nano-Si and nano-Zn enhance root depth, relative water content (RWC), and osmoprotectant synthesis (e.g.,

proline), mitigating drought-induced oxidative damage (Khodakovskaya et al., 2012).

Salinity: Nano-Ca and nano-Si reduce sodium uptake, stabilize membrane permeability, and promote antioxidant activity, thereby improving salt tolerance in rice and maize (Haghighi & DaSilva, 2014).

Heat Stress: Foliar sprays of nano-boron and nano-K support enzyme stability and pollen viability, enhancing reproductive success under high temperatures (Zhao et al., 2019).

## **5. Environmental Implications, Challenges, and Future Prospects of Nano-Fertilizers**

### **Environmental Implications of Nano-Fertilizers**

While nano-fertilizers offer promising solutions to enhance nutrient-use efficiency and reduce the ecological footprint of conventional fertilizers, their long-term environmental safety and interactions with ecosystems remain areas of concern. The nanoscale size that enables deeper penetration into plant tissues can also allow unintended entry into non-target organisms, soil microflora, and aquatic systems through leaching and runoff.

- **Soil Health and Microbiome Disruption:** Excessive or unregulated application of metallic nanoparticles (e.g., nano-ZnO, nano-CuO) may alter soil enzymatic activities, disrupt beneficial microbial communities, and interfere with natural nutrient cycling processes (Judy et al., 2011; Geisseler & Scow, 2014).

- **Bioaccumulation and Ecotoxicity:** Studies suggest that nanoparticles may accumulate in plant tissues, raising concerns about their entry into the food chain and potential health impacts on humans and animals (Rico et al., 2011). Moreover, their interaction with heavy metals or persistent pollutants may exacerbate toxicity in soil and aquatic environments.

- **Regulatory Gaps:** A major limitation in environmental governance of nano-agriculture is the lack of clear regulatory frameworks, guidelines for application rates,

and standardized assessment tools to evaluate risks associated with nanoparticles in open-field settings (Kah et al., 2018).

Despite these concerns, it is widely acknowledged that when applied judiciously and in appropriate formulations, nano-fertilizers can significantly reduce nutrient runoff, lower GHG emissions, and promote sustainable farming systems when compared to traditional fertilizers.

### Challenges in Adoption and Field Implementation

While nano-fertilizers have demonstrated success under controlled conditions and experimental field trials, several barriers hinder their widespread commercial adoption:

- **Lack of Standardization:** There is significant variation in nanoparticle size, shape, concentration, and coating materials, which affects consistency in efficacy and safety profiles (Tarafdar et al., 2012).
- **Cost and Accessibility:** The production of high-purity nano-formulations requires specialized facilities and cost-intensive processes, making them less affordable for small and marginal farmers, especially in developing nations (Nair et al., 2010).
- **Limited Awareness and Training:** Farmers and extension workers often lack awareness, training, and guidance on optimal application methods, dosage, and storage protocols for nano-fertilizers, which may lead to misuse or underuse.
- **Storage and Handling Issues:** Nanomaterials are sensitive to aggregation, oxidation, and instability over time. Maintaining their dispersion and reactivity during storage and transport remains a technical bottleneck.

### Future Prospects and Strategic Roadmap

To fully harness the potential of nano-fertilizers while mitigating associated risks, a multi-pronged approach must be adopted:

- **Integrated Risk Assessment:** Long-term toxicological and ecological studies must be conducted across diverse agroecological zones to understand the fate, transport, and persistence of nanoparticles in agricultural ecosystems (Ma et al., 2010).

- **Policy Development and Regulation:** There is an urgent need for the development of globally harmonized regulations, labeling requirements, and usage limits based on scientific risk assessments to guide safe deployment (Parisi et al., 2015).

- **Green and Bio-based Nano-fertilizers:** Research should emphasize biocompatible and biodegradable nanomaterials, such as nano-clays, chitosan, and carbon-based materials, to ensure eco-friendly formulations (Liu & Lal, 2015).

- **Farmer-centric Models:** Establishing pilot demonstration programs, farmer training camps, and local production hubs can improve adoption, customization, and knowledge-sharing at the grassroots level.

- **Integration with Precision Agriculture:** Combining nano-fertilizers with sensor-based delivery systems, drone spraying, and smart irrigation can enhance input efficiency while reducing waste and environmental exposure.

In conclusion, with focused innovation, risk-aware regulation, and grassroots-level adoption, nano-fertilizers can revolutionize the future of sustainable nutrient management in cereal crops, helping meet the twin goals of productivity enhancement and environmental stewardship.

## **6. Conclusion**

Nano-fertilizers represent a transformative advancement in modern agriculture, offering a sustainable solution to the challenges posed by inefficient conventional fertilization practices. Their nanoscale properties allow for targeted delivery, enhanced nutrient-use efficiency, and reduced environmental losses—making them particularly valuable in cereal crop production systems. By facilitating improved crop growth, yield, and stress resilience, nano-fertilizers hold

immense potential to support global food security amidst the dual pressures of increasing population and climate variability.

However, their adoption must be coupled with careful attention to safety, standardization, and awareness. The transition from laboratory-scale innovation to large-scale field implementation requires an integrated approach involving researchers, policymakers, industry stakeholders, and farmers. With responsible use and strategic investment in research, education, and policy, nano-fertilizers can emerge as a key component of sustainable nutrient management and a driving force behind the next green revolution in agriculture.

## References

- Chen, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, M., et al. (2018). Slow-release fertilizers as a means to enhance nutrient use efficiency and reduce environmental pollution: A review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 66(3), 729–744. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02150>
- DeRosa, M. C., Monreal, C., Schnitzer, M., Walsh, R., & Sultan, Y. (2010). Nanotechnology in fertilizers. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5(2), 91. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.2>
- Dimkpa, C. O., Bindraban, P. S., Fugice, J., Agyin-Birikorang, S., Singh, U., & Hellums, D. (2017). Composite micronutrient nanoparticles and salts decrease drought stress in maize. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 121, 225–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.10.027>
- Dimkpa, C. O., Singh, U., Bindraban, P. S., Elmer, W. H., Gardea-Torresdey, J. L., & White, J. C. (2020). Zinc oxide nanoparticles improve maize performance under drought stress. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(16), 4783–4790. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c00013>
- Fageria, N. K. (2009). *The Use of Nutrients in Crop Plants*. CRC Press.
- FAO. (2022). *World Food and Agriculture - Statistical Yearbook 2022*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/statistics>

Geisseler, D., & Scow, K. M. (2014). Long-term effects of mineral fertilizers on soil microorganisms – A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 75, 54–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.023>

Haghighi, M., & DaSilva, J. A. T. (2014). The effect of N-Si nano fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato under salinity stress. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 12(2), 282–284.

Judy, J. D., Unrine, J. M., Bertsch, P. M. (2011). Evidence for biomagnification of gold nanoparticles within a terrestrial food chain. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(2), 776–781. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es103031a>

Kah, M., Kookana, R. S., Gogos, A., & Bucheli, T. D. (2018). A critical evaluation of nanopesticides and nanofertilizers against their conventional analogues. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 13(8), 677–684. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-018-0131-1>

Khodakovskaya, M., et al. (2012). Carbon nanotubes as plant growth regulators: Effects on tomato growth and development. *ACS Nano*, 6(8), 6967–6973.

Liu, R., & Lal, R. (2015). Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. *Science of the Total Environment*, 514, 131–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.104>

Ma, X., Geiser-Lee, J., Deng, Y., & Kolmakov, A. (2010). Interactions between engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) and plants: Phytotoxicity, uptake and accumulation. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408(16), 3053–3061.

Mosier, A. R., Duxbury, J. M., Freney, J. R., Heinemeyer, O., & Minami, K. (2004). Mitigating agricultural emissions of methane. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 84(1), 237–249.

Naderi, M. R., & Danesh-Shahraki, A. (2013). Nanofertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 5(19), 2229–2232.

Nair, R., Varghese, S. H., Nair, B. G., Maekawa, T., Yoshida, Y., & Kumar, D. S. (2010). Nanoparticulate material delivery to plants. *Plant Science*, 179(3), 154–163.

Parisi, C., Vigani, M., & Rodríguez-Cerezo, E. (2015). Agricultural nanotechnologies: What are the current possibilities? *Nano Today*, 10(2), 124–127.

Prasad, T. N. V. K. V., Sudhakar, P., Sreenivasulu, Y., Latha, P., Munaswamy, V., Reddy, K. R., ... & Sajanlal, P. R. (2012). Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth, and yield of peanut. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 35(6), 905-927.

Raliya, R., Saharan, V., Dimkpa, C., & Biswas, P. (2016). Nanofertilizer for precision and sustainable agriculture: Current state and future perspectives. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 64(37), 7092-7104. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b02193>

Rameshaiah, G. N., Pallavi, H., & Sharath, D. (2015). Nanotechnology in crop improvement: A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 4(6), 944-952.

Rico, C. M., Majumdar, S., Duarte-Gardea, M., Peralta-Videa, J. R., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. (2011). Interaction of nanoparticles with edible plants and their possible implications in the food chain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(8), 3485-3498. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf104517j>

Sharma, P., Bali, A. S., & Bansal, R. (2018). Phosphorus nutrition and management in cereal crops: A review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 39(2), 114-121.

Subramanian, K. S., Manikandan, A., Thirunavukkarasu, M., & Rahale, C. S. (2015). Nano-fertilizers for balanced crop nutrition. *Nanotechnologies in Food and Agriculture*, 69-80. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7_4)

Suriyaprabha, R., Karunakaran, G., Yuvakkumar, R., Rajendran, V., & Kannan, N. (2012). Application of silica nanoparticles in rice cultivation: A study on beneficial effect on yield and physiological traits. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(24), 6180-6186. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf300547n>

Tarafdar, J. C., Sharma, S., & Raliya, R. (2012). Nanotechnology: Interdisciplinary science of applications. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(3), 659-666.

Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., & Polasky, S. (2002). Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature*, 418(6898), 671-677. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014>

Yadav, S., Kumar, R., & Dixit, S. (2020). Impact of chemical fertilizers on soil health and sustainability: A review. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(3), 2418-2423.

Yang, F., Hong, Y., You, M., et al. (2020). Nano-silicon enhances plant resistance under abiotic stress: A meta-analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 27099–27110.

Zhao, L., Peng, B., Ma, L., et al. (2019). Nano-boron foliar application improves flowering and fruiting under heat stress in wheat. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 87, 15–24.