

A Systems Perspective on Organizational Culture and DEI

Mohammad Niyaz Ahmad¹, Pervez Hussain²

¹Assistant Professor, School of Management, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, Kolkata, 700121.
niyaz.mohd2@gmail.com / niyaza@svu.ac.in

²PhD, Independent Researcher. pervezistk@gmail.com

Abstract

In any formal setup, the connection between organizational culture and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives is crucial for productivity, particularly when viewed through the lens of systems theory. Departing from traditional approaches that treat DEI as a collection of isolated programs, this study advocates for a holistic understanding that integrates DEI into the broader organizational framework. This article aims to assess whether traditional methods of value assessment and integration intersect effectively. Qualitative data gathered through snowball sampling from employees provides insights into perceptions of DEI practices and their impact on productivity. By analysing this data, the research identifies key leverage points—such as leadership, communication, values, and behaviours—that have the potential to hinder or enhance effective DEI strategies. The findings highlight the systemic nature of DEI practices and their influence on workplace culture, revealing successful models that align DEI goals with core values. This article proposes a systems-oriented framework for leaders to assess and refine their organizational culture to actively support DEI efforts. By addressing underlying cultural narratives rather than implementing superficial initiatives, organizations can cultivate sustainable change that enhances employee engagement and overall performance. Ultimately, this study emphasizes that DEI should be viewed as an integral component of a thriving organizational culture rather than an add-on.

Keywords: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, System Theory, Organisational Development

Introduction

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) have emerged as critical components in contemporary organizational discourse (Sreedhar & Nayak, 2024). No longer confined to mere ethical considerations, DEI initiatives are increasingly recognized as strategic necessities that directly influence organizational performance, innovation, and employee engagement. However, despite the growing awareness of their importance, achieving a truly inclusive environment often remains elusive for many organizations (Kohl, 2022). This study evaluates the complexities of DEI from a systems perspective, arguing that effective solutions to DEI challenges require a deep understanding of how these principles are embedded within broader organizational cultures and systems.

At the heart of the DEI discourse lies the recognition that a diverse workforce can bring a variety of perspectives and experiences that enhance problem-solving and innovation (Pless & Maak, 2004). Studies have shown that organizations with diverse teams are more likely to outperform their peers in terms of profitability and productivity (Hamilton et al., 2012; Sreedhar & Nayak, 2024). However, diversity alone is insufficient. The concepts of equity and inclusion are equally critical. Equity ensures that all employees have access to the same opportunities and resources, while inclusion fosters an environment where diverse voices are heard and valued. Thus, a holistic approach to DEI is essential for realizing its full potential within organizations.

Yet, despite the well-documented benefits of DEI, many organizations struggle to implement effective strategies. Traditional approaches often treat DEI as a series of isolated programs, focusing on recruitment quotas or training sessions without addressing the underlying cultural dynamics that influence these initiatives (Morukian, 2022). This piecemeal approach can lead to superficial compliance rather than meaningful change. As a result, organizations may fail to create environments where all employees feel valued, respected, and able to contribute fully.

The systems perspective offers a more nuanced framework for understanding and addressing DEI challenges. By viewing organizations as interconnected systems, leaders can better recognize how various components—such as leadership styles, communication patterns, organizational values, and employee behaviors—interact to shape the overall culture (Tsai, 2011). This perspective underscores that DEI is not merely an add-on to existing practices but rather an integral part of the organizational ecosystem. For organizations to thrive in today's diverse and dynamic environment, they must shift their focus from isolated DEI initiatives to a comprehensive understanding of how DEI is woven into the fabric of their organizational culture.

A systems perspective allows organizations to see the interdependencies between various elements that influence DEI outcomes (Ilgen, 2005). For example, leadership plays a crucial role in shaping organizational culture. Leaders who prioritize DEI in their vision and actions can create an environment that supports inclusive practices. Conversely, leaders who fail to embody these values can inadvertently perpetuate exclusionary practices, even in organizations that profess a commitment to diversity.

Furthermore, communication patterns within organizations can either facilitate or hinder DEI efforts. Open, transparent communication fosters trust and allows for diverse voices to be heard. In contrast, communication that is siloed or opaque can lead to misunderstandings and reinforce existing power dynamics. By analyzing these systems, organizations can identify areas for improvement and design interventions that promote a more inclusive culture.

Moreover, a systems perspective highlights the role of organizational values and behaviors in shaping DEI initiatives (Yi et al., 2024). If an organization's stated values do not align with its actual practices, employees are likely to perceive DEI efforts as disingenuous. This misalignment can lead to skepticism and disengagement, undermining the very goals that DEI initiatives aim to achieve. For instance, an organization that claims to value inclusivity but does not provide equitable opportunities for career advancement will struggle to retain diverse talent.

Despite the compelling case for a systems approach to DEI, several challenges remain. One significant barrier is the prevalence of unconscious biases, which can influence decision-making at all levels of the organization. These biases may manifest in recruitment processes, performance evaluations, and promotions, often disadvantaging underrepresented groups. Addressing these biases requires not only awareness but also systemic changes in policies and practices.

Additionally, resistance to change is a common obstacle. Employees may be wary of new DEI initiatives, especially if they perceive them as threatening to their existing privileges or status. For DEI efforts to be effective, organizations must engage employees at all levels, fostering a sense of ownership and collaboration. This can be achieved through training programs that focus on allyship, empathy, and understanding the lived experiences of diverse colleagues.

Furthermore, measurement and accountability are critical to the success of DEI initiatives. Organizations must establish clear metrics to assess the effectiveness of their DEI strategies. This includes not only quantitative data, such as demographic representation, but also qualitative feedback from employees regarding their experiences in the workplace. Regularly reviewing this data can help organizations identify areas for improvement and hold leaders accountable for progress.

To address the complexities of DEI effectively, organizations must adopt a holistic approach that recognizes the interconnected nature of their systems. This involves integrating DEI principles into every aspect of the organizational culture, from recruitment and onboarding to performance management and career development. By embedding DEI into the core values of the organization, leaders can signal their commitment to creating an inclusive environment.

Training and development programs should also be designed with a systems perspective in mind. Rather than treating DEI training as a one-time event, organizations should incorporate ongoing learning opportunities that promote continuous

engagement with DEI principles (Chavez & Weisinger, 2008). This can include mentorship programs, employee resource groups, and workshops focused on building cultural competence.

Moreover, fostering a culture of psychological safety is essential for encouraging open dialogue about DEI. Employees should feel empowered to share their experiences and perspectives without fear of retribution. Creating forums for these conversations, whether through town hall meetings or anonymous surveys, can help organizations better understand the challenges their employees face and develop targeted interventions.

Method of the Study

This study investigates the relevance of systems theory, as introduced by biologist Ludwig von Bertalanffy in the 1940s, within the context of the digital era. By examining the interplay between organizational culture and diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives, we aim to uncover how these factors interact within formal organizations. The methodology is anchored in a qualitative framework, utilizing snowball sampling to gather insights from Human Resource professionals across various sectors. This approach not only facilitates the identification of participants who possess relevant experiences but also encourages a deeper exploration of the nuances surrounding DEI perceptions in their organizations.

Data collection involved semi-structured interviews with HR practitioners who provided insights into their organizations' DEI strategies and the perceived impact of these initiatives on workplace culture and productivity. The interviews were designed to elicit responses that reflect both individual experiences and broader organizational trends. Participants were asked whether they recognized DEI practices in their workplace and how these practices influenced overall employee engagement and organizational effectiveness. This allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the lived experiences of HR professionals and their perspectives on the integration of DEI within organizational systems.

The application of systems theory in this study underscores the interconnectedness of various organizational components, where employees are viewed as integral parts of a larger system. According to systems theory, the health of an organization mirrors the health of its individual components. Thus, any decay or dysfunction within these components can lead to a decline in overall productivity. By examining the perspectives of HR professionals, we aimed to identify specific areas where DEI initiatives may be perceived as beneficial or detrimental to organizational functioning.

To analyze the data, we employed thematic analysis, which enabled us to extract key themes and patterns related to the effectiveness of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives. This process involved coding the interviews and organizing the responses into thematic categories that reveal common experiences and sentiments regarding DEI. The analysis aimed to underscore the systemic nature of DEI practices and their impact on organizational culture, employee morale, and performance. Furthermore, to enhance the academic rigor of the paper, this study utilized AI tools to assist in refining the language and ensuring grammatical accuracy throughout the writing process.

Additionally, we assessed the implications of our findings in the context of current organizational challenges, particularly in the rapidly evolving digital landscape. The interplay between technology and human interaction is crucial in understanding how DEI can be effectively implemented. By identifying both positive outcomes and potential barriers related to DEI initiatives, we provide insights into how organizations can foster a more inclusive environment that not only respects diversity but also enhances overall productivity.

The study's findings aim to contribute to the broader discourse on organizational culture and DEI by demonstrating the necessity of a systems-oriented approach. Recognizing that DEI is not merely an isolated program but a vital aspect of the organizational ecosystem is crucial for sustainable change. Our research highlights the importance of ongoing dialogue among HR professionals and leadership to ensure that DEI initiatives are integrated into the fabric of organizational

culture, thereby promoting a healthier and more productive work environment. Ultimately, this study serves as a call to action for organizations to reflect on their current practices and consider the systemic implications of their DEI efforts in the digital age.

Understanding DEI from a Systems Perspective

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) have become paramount in contemporary organizations, increasingly viewed as essential not only for ethical reasons but also for enhancing performance and innovation. However, achieving a truly inclusive environment often remains elusive for many organizations. A systems perspective offers a valuable lens for understanding the complexities of DEI, highlighting the interconnectedness of various factors that contribute to or hinder inclusion. By viewing DEI not as a series of isolated initiatives but as an integral part of the broader organizational system, leaders can develop more effective strategies that lead to sustainable change.

Traditional approaches to DEI often treat it as a collection of fragmented programs or initiatives, focusing on specific issues such as recruitment or training (Dei, 1996). This perspective is limited in several ways. First, it fails to capture the systemic nature of DEI challenges, where issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion are deeply intertwined with organizational culture, leadership, and communication practices. Viewing DEI as a mere human resources issue can lead to superficial compliance rather than meaningful change. For instance, a company might implement diversity training programs without addressing the underlying biases that persist within its hiring and promotion practices.

Furthermore, organizations may establish policies that appear equitable on paper but do not translate into equitable practices in reality. For example, an organization may have a strong anti-discrimination policy, yet if that policy is not consistently enforced or if it clashes with the organization's prevailing culture, it will do little to foster an inclusive environment. This misalignment between policy and practice illustrates the necessity of a systems perspective, which allows organizations

to examine the relationships and interactions among various elements that impact DEI. As one of the respondents asserts:

“There are clauses concerning ethical behaviour in my organization, but no one adheres to them; they are merely written policies. Employees who complain about these issues often find themselves pressured to quit, and I have seen many cases of this. Jayant, 35 years old.”

The inference based on Jayant’s statement is that the organization has a culture of ethical hypocrisy. While there are written policies concerning ethical behaviour, these policies are not actively enforced or upheld in practice. Employees who raise concerns about ethical violations may face retaliation, such as being pressured to quit. This suggests that the organization prioritizes maintaining a positive public image over addressing ethical concerns internally.

Systems theory posits that organizations are complex systems composed of interconnected elements that influence and are influenced by one another (Carroll & Burton, 2000). Each element creates a dynamic and interdependent web of relationships, meaning that changes in one area can have cascading effects throughout the organization. This perspective is particularly valuable for understanding DEI challenges, as it highlights how various factors contribute to or hinder inclusion.

For example, consider a company’s recruitment and hiring practices. On the surface, these practices may seem fair and inclusive; however, if they are not supported by an inclusive organizational culture, they may fail to attract and retain diverse talent. An organization may employ diverse hiring panels and use inclusive language in job descriptions, but if the workplace culture is unwelcoming or discriminatory, the effectiveness of these efforts will be severely limited. Thus, a systems approach allows leaders to recognize that recruitment is not an isolated function but rather a component of a broader organizational system that includes retention, development, and workplace culture.

Moreover, a systems perspective emphasizes the importance of understanding feedback loops within organizations. DEI

initiatives can produce unintended consequences if not implemented carefully (Bryant et al., 2021). For example, a company may introduce diversity quotas to increase representation among employees. While well-intentioned, this approach could lead to resentment among employees who feel that they are being unfairly evaluated based on their race, gender, or other characteristics rather than their skills and qualifications. Such resentment can undermine morale and collaboration, counteracting the intended benefits of the initiative.

Understanding DEI through a systems lens compels organizations to address systemic barriers that perpetuate inequality. For instance, biases in performance evaluations can lead to inequitable promotion opportunities, which in turn perpetuate underrepresentation of certain groups at higher organizational levels. When organizations recognize that these biases are not isolated incidents but are embedded in the system, they can take a more comprehensive approach to address them. As one of the respondents informs:

“In my organization, we function as a cohesive system; however, there are significant challenges regarding representation in areas such as gender, caste, class, and religion. Often, these issues are overlooked, with management claiming they cannot find suitable candidates. While there may be some truth to this assertion, it does not always reflect the full reality of the situation. Samyo, 42 yers old.”

The inference based on Samyo’s statement is that the organization may be facing systemic barriers to diversity and inclusion. While the organization functions as a cohesive system, there are significant gaps in representation from various marginalized groups. The claim that management cannot find suitable candidates, while partially true, may also mask underlying biases or a lack of effort to recruit from diverse backgrounds. This suggests that the organization may need to re-evaluate its recruitment and hiring practices, as well as its internal culture, to address these challenges.

One effective strategy involves incorporating DEI goals into the overall strategic objectives of the organization. This

means that DEI is not seen as an ancillary concern but as a core component of organizational success. Leaders must hold themselves accountable for DEI outcomes and create a culture that prioritizes these values at all levels. For example, performance metrics for executives can include diversity-related goals, ensuring that leaders are directly invested in creating an inclusive environment.

Additionally, organizations should invest in training programs that go beyond surface-level education on diversity and inclusion. These programs should be designed to address unconscious bias, promote cultural competency, and encourage allyship among employees. By equipping all employees with the tools to understand and navigate complex DEI issues, organizations can foster a more inclusive culture that embraces diversity in a meaningful way.

Effective communication is another critical factor in fostering a systems approach to DEI. Open and transparent communication fosters trust and collaboration, allowing diverse perspectives to be heard and valued (Bates & Patel, 2023). Conversely, silos and opaque communication can create misunderstandings and reinforce existing power dynamics. Organizations must establish communication channels that facilitate dialogue about DEI challenges and successes, encouraging employees to share their experiences and insights.

Regularly soliciting feedback from employees can help organizations identify areas for improvement and gauge the effectiveness of DEI initiatives. This feedback loop is essential for making informed decisions about future strategies. Moreover, leaders should communicate the organization's commitment to DEI consistently, ensuring that all employees understand its importance and their role in achieving these goals.

Organizational Culture and DEI

Organizational culture, encompassing the shared beliefs, values, and assumptions within a workplace, fundamentally influences the success or failure of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives (El-Amin, 2022). A culture that

actively values diversity cultivates an environment where all employees feel welcomed and supported, irrespective of their backgrounds. In stark contrast, an exclusionary culture can severely obstruct DEI efforts, resulting in detrimental effects for both individuals and the organization. Therefore, understanding the interplay between organizational culture and DEI is critical to fostering an equitable workplace.

Cultural norms and stereotypes within organizations can perpetuate biases that inhibit DEI. For instance, environments that uphold traditional gender roles often limit opportunities for women, while cultures that emphasize conformity may suppress diverse viewpoints (Lips, 2016). Such biases manifest in various detrimental forms, including wage disparities, restricted career advancement, and toxic work atmospheres. To combat these issues, organizations must proactively challenge and dismantle these harmful cultural norms. Implementing comprehensive diversity training, establishing employee resource groups, and fostering mentorship programs for marginalized employees are essential strategies. Moreover, promoting open communication channels encourages employees to report discriminatory practices, thus fostering a culture of accountability.

The commitment of organizational leadership to DEI is vital in cultivating an inclusive culture. Leaders must not only advocate for DEI but also embody inclusive behaviours themselves. By consistently communicating the significance of DEI and holding staff accountable for their actions, leaders can inspire a collective movement towards embracing diversity. Furthermore, effective leaders can enhance inclusivity by implementing policies that support DEI, such as inclusive hiring practices, comprehensive training programs, and flexible work arrangements. These actions not only demonstrate a commitment to DEI but also serve to create an equitable environment conducive to all employees' success. As one of the respondents asserts:

"In my organization, despite claims of a participatory culture, we often find ourselves following top-down instructions without much room for input. Employees who question or suggest alternative approaches may face repercussions."

While the organization publicly denies discrimination, I have witnessed firsthand how minority groups are often marginalized and exploited by the majority. This highlights a significant gap between the organization's stated DEI values and its actual practices. It is essential to address these disparities to create a more equitable and inclusive workplace. Jaya, 45 year old."

The inference based on the statement is that the organization has a top-down hierarchical structure where employees are expected to follow orders without question. There is a culture of fear and intimidation, where individuals who speak out or suggest alternative approaches are held accountable. While the organization claims to be free of discrimination, the reality is different, with minority groups being exploited by the majority. This suggests that the organization's DEI policies are merely lip service and do not reflect the actual workplace experience.

Employee engagement is another crucial element in promoting a positive and inclusive workplace (Cenkci et al., 2019). Engaged employees are more likely to contribute to a culture that values diversity and fosters belonging. Organizations must create opportunities for all employees to participate in DEI initiatives, which instills a sense of agency and value among them. Involving employees in the creation and execution of DEI strategies can significantly enhance their investment in these initiatives. Additionally, fostering platforms for sharing personal experiences and perspectives promotes mutual learning and solidarity among staff, further reinforcing the organization's DEI culture.

The implementation of effective policies and practices is fundamental to supporting DEI within an organization. This includes the development of inclusive hiring and promotion processes, the provision of targeted training, and the establishment of employee resource groups. For instance, organizations can enhance their hiring practices through strategies such as blind recruitment and ensuring diverse candidate pools, complemented by unconscious bias training for hiring managers. Such measures not only promote a more equitable hiring process but also signal a

broader organizational commitment to diversity. Training initiatives aimed at all employees can also facilitate a deeper understanding of DEI's importance and how each individual can contribute to a more inclusive workplace.

Systemic Barriers to DEI

Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) are critical objectives for organizations striving to foster a workplace that reflects a broader societal commitment to equality. However, while cultural factors significantly influence DEI efforts, systemic barriers can impede progress at multiple organizational levels. These barriers manifest in policies, procedures, human resources practices, and performance management systems, creating environments where discrimination can persist unchallenged. Addressing these systemic issues is paramount to creating truly inclusive workplaces.

Systemic barriers often originate from structural inequalities that limit access to resources and opportunities for underrepresented groups (Arif et al., 2021). For instance, the persistent gender pay gap exemplifies how economic disparities reinforce societal inequalities. When organizations fail to ensure equitable compensation practices, they not only perpetuate gender discrimination but also demoralize employees who perceive their contributions as undervalued. This systemic barrier hinders DEI by maintaining an environment where inequity is normalized, dissuading talented individuals from marginalized backgrounds from pursuing or remaining in positions within the organization.

Moreover, unconscious biases play a critical role in perpetuating systemic barriers to DEI. These implicit biases can inadvertently influence decision-making processes related to hiring, promotions, and performance evaluations. For example, a hiring manager may unconsciously favour candidates who resemble themselves or align with their own social networks, which typically consist of individuals from similar backgrounds. Such biases result in homogeneous workforces, thereby stifling diversity and hindering the creation of inclusive environments. The challenge lies in recognizing and mitigating these biases, which often operate

outside of conscious awareness, thereby obstructing equitable outcomes.

Tokenism further complicates the pursuit of authentic DEI. Organizations may engage in the superficial practice of hiring or promoting a limited number of individuals from underrepresented groups, primarily to create the appearance of diversity. While this may lead to a more diverse workforce numerically, it fails to address the underlying issues of systemic inequality. Tokenism often leaves these individuals isolated, without the necessary support or empowerment to effect meaningful change within the organization. Consequently, such practices can engender resentment among employees, further eroding trust and cohesion within teams. As one of the respondents claim:

“Despite the claims of many organizations, tokenism remains a prevalent issue in the workplace. I personally experienced this firsthand when I faced numerous job interviews but was ultimately unable to secure a position due to references that seemed to favour other candidates. While I have since found a job, it is clear that favouritism and tokenism continue to exist in many organizations. The notion that tokenism is a thing of the past is a fallacy, and organizations must take proactive steps to address this issue and create truly inclusive workplaces. Jiya 28 year old”

The inference based on the statement is that the individual experienced tokenism during their job search. Despite having the necessary qualifications and skills, they were unable to secure a job due to references that may have favoured other candidates. This suggests that the organization may have engaged in tokenism, hiring individuals from marginalized groups to fulfill diversity quotas while not providing them with equal opportunities for advancement or success.

To effectively dismantle these systemic barriers, organizations must adopt a comprehensive approach that addresses both cultural and structural factors. A critical first step is conducting a thorough cultural assessment to identify areas requiring improvement. This involves engaging with employees at all levels to gauge their experiences and perceptions regarding

DEI. The insights gained can inform the development of a DEI strategy that aligns with the organization's values and goals, ensuring that initiatives resonate with the workforce and address genuine concerns.

Implementing systemic changes is also essential for promoting DEI. Organizations should undertake comprehensive reviews of their policies and procedures to ensure fairness and equity (Lingras et al., 2021). This may involve revising hiring protocols, performance evaluations, and promotion criteria to eliminate biases that disadvantage underrepresented groups. Additionally, organizations can establish performance management systems that explicitly reward inclusive behavior, thereby encouraging employees to actively participate in DEI initiatives. By creating clear pathways for development and advancement for individuals from marginalized backgrounds, organizations can dismantle barriers that have historically hindered their progress.

A data-driven approach is another crucial strategy in promoting DEI from a systemic perspective. By collecting and analysing data on DEI metrics, organizations can identify specific areas in need of improvement. This quantitative evidence serves as a foundation for informed decision-making and allows organizations to track progress toward DEI goals over time. Regular assessments of DEI initiatives, based on concrete data, can ensure that strategies remain effective and responsive to evolving challenges.

Collaboration and partnerships with external organizations can further bolster DEI efforts. By engaging diversity and inclusion consultants or community organizations, organizations can access expertise and resources that may be lacking internally. These partnerships can provide fresh perspectives and best practices, enabling organizations to refine their DEI strategies. Furthermore, fostering relationships with suppliers and vendors that share a commitment to DEI can enhance an organization's overall inclusivity by promoting a shared ethos throughout its supply chain.

Lastly, creating a culture of continuous learning and improvement is essential for sustaining DEI initiatives.

Organizations should provide opportunities for employees to develop their DEI knowledge and skills, fostering an environment where learning is valued and encouraged. Regular evaluations of DEI initiatives can help organizations identify successful practices as well as areas needing adjustment. By committing to an iterative process of reflection and adaptation, organizations can remain agile in their efforts to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion. Organizations that are inclusive in nature and provide space for individuals from all backgrounds tend to achieve higher productivity, enhanced reputation, and greater respect from both employees and society at large.

Conclusion

To effectively tackle the challenges associated with diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), organizations are required to embrace a comprehensive and friendly nature approach that transcends superficial initiatives. Recognizing the tie between organizational culture and systemic factors is crucial for dismantling entrenched inequalities that hinder true inclusivity. A multifaceted strategy is essential, encompassing cultural assessments, systemic reforms, data-driven decision-making, collaboration with external partners, and a commitment to continuous improvement. By implementing these strategies, organizations can cultivate an environment where diversity is not merely tolerated but genuinely valued, thereby empowering all employees to share their unique perspectives. This not only enhances individual contributions but also significantly improves overall organizational performance. Ultimately, organizations that prioritize such holistic efforts will not only fulfill their ethical obligations but will also position themselves for long-term success in an increasingly diverse and interconnected world.

Reference

Arif, S., Massey, M. D. B., Klinard, N., Charbonneau, J., Jabre, L., Martins, A. B., ... & Nanglu, K. (2021). Ten simple rules for supporting historically underrepresented students in science. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 17(9), e1009313.

Bates, P., & Patel, A. (2023). *Building a culture of inclusivity: Effective internal communication for diversity, equity and inclusion*. Kogan Page Publishers.

Bryant, E., Roach, W. N., Titus, J. E., & Huckaby, M. F. (2021). Troubling Social Justice Interventions for Predominantly White Institutions: Intentions and Impacts. In *Handbook of Social Justice Interventions in Education* (pp. 1293-1321). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35858-7_102

Carroll, T., & Burton, R. M. (2000). Organizations and complexity: Searching for the edge of chaos. *Computational & Mathematical Organization Theory*, 6, 319-337. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009633728444>

Cenkci, A. T., Zimmerman, J. M., & Bircan, T. (2019). The effects of employee resource groups on work engagement and workplace inclusion. *The International Journal of Organizational Diversity*, 19(2), 1. DOI:10.18848/2328-6261/CGP/v19i02/1-19

Chavez, C. I., & Weisinger, J. Y. (2008). Beyond diversity training: A social infusion for cultural inclusion. *Human resource management: Published in cooperation with the school of business administration, the University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 47(2), 331-350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20215>

Dei, G. J. S. (1996). Critical perspectives in antiracism: An introduction. *Canadian Review of Sociology/Revue Canadienne de Sociologie*, 33(3), 247-267. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-618X.1996.tb02452.x>

El-Amin, A. (2022). Improving organizational commitment to diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging. In *Social justice research methods for doctoral research* (pp. 208-221). IGI Global.

Hamilton, B. H., Nickerson, J. A., & Owan, H. (2012). Diversity and productivity in production teams. In *Advances in the Economic Analysis of participatory and Labor-managed Firms* (pp. 99-138). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S0885-3339\(2012\)0000013009](https://doi.org/10.1108/S0885-3339(2012)0000013009)

Ilgen, D. R., Hollenbeck, J. R., Johnson, M., & Jundt, D. (2005). Teams in organizations: From input-process-output models to IMOI models. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.*, 56, 517-543. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070250>

Kohl, K. (2022). *Driving justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion*. Auerbach Publications.

Lingras, K. A., Alexander, M. E., & Vrieze, D. M. (2021). Diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts at a departmental level: building a committee as a vehicle for advancing progress. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-021-09809-w>

Lips, H. M. (2016). *A new psychology of women: Gender, culture, and ethnicity*. Waveland Press.

Morukian, M. (2022). *Diversity, equity, and inclusion for trainers: Fostering DEI in the workplace*. Association for Talent Development.

Pless, N., & Maak, T. (2004). Building an inclusive diversity culture: Principles, processes and practice. *Journal of business ethics*, 54, 129-147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-004-9465-8>

Sreedhar, V. N., & Nayak, P. (2024). Perspective study on identification of high-impact processes for advancing Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) in Indian organizations. *Human Systems Management*, 43(2), 165-180. DOI: 10.3233/HSM-220143

Tsai, Y. (2011). Relationship between organizational culture, leadership behaviour and job satisfaction. *BMC health services research*, 11, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-98>

Yi, V., LePeau, L., Chang, T. H., Museus, S. D., Mathew, S., Saelua, N., & Haywood, J. (2024). Symbols contested: An analysis of how symbols advance and hinder diversity, equity, and inclusion. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 17(4), 504.