

The Impact of Social Media Campaigns in Advancing Social Justice Movements

Kishor Kumar Hira

Senior Graphic Instructor,
Department of Journalism and Mass Communication,
Swami Vivekananda University

Abstract

This paper was involved that how social media has transformed social justice movements in South Asia, especially in India. With platforms like Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and WhatsApp booming, the activism scene has changed dramatically, particularly for young people and marginalized groups. The power of digital spaces has given individuals express dissent, rally support, and participate in civic life, often bypassing traditional media and institutional barriers. By examining significant campaigns like MeTooIndia, the Right to Information (RTI) movement, and the 2020–2021 Farmers’ Protests, this study highlights how digital tools have enabled real-time mobilization, crafted strong counter-narratives, and shaped public policy discussions. Between the Online advocacy and grassroots activism, demonstrating how social media campaigns have redefined India’s socio-political conversation are the example showcases the vibrant connection. However, the paper also takes a critical look at the downsides of digital activism. Issues like the digital divide, online surveillance, misinformation, slacktivism, and the exclusion of those without digital access pose serious challenges to inclusivity and lasting impact. Additionally, the growing politicization and algorithmic control of online spaces raise important questions about free speech, data privacy, and digital ethics. Drawing from academic research, field studies, and digital media analysis, the paper offers a well-rounded perspective on social media’s role in promoting social justice. It stresses that even as social media can be a powerful activism

tool, its potential is contingent on inclusive access, ethical utilization, and complementarity with traditional activism. The paper concludes with education program and policy recommendations for enhancing digital literacy, safeguarding activist rights, and facilitating democratic use of online spaces to create a more equitable and just India.

Keywords: Social Media Activism, Gender Justice, Social Justice Movements, Digital Democracy, Political Mobilization.

Introduction

The growth of social media has transformed the imagination and practice of activism in South Asia, particularly India. With over 600 million internet users and rising digital literacy, India has become a vibrant hub of digital activity. Social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and WhatsApp have transformed the public sphere, offering user-friendly tools to citizens especially youth and marginalized groups to voice dissent, build solidarity, and call for change. These media have allowed movements for gender justice, caste equality, environmental justice, and democratic accountability to bypass mainstream media channels and address the public directly. From the powerful affidavits of the MeTooIndia campaign to the strategic mobilization of the Right to Information (RTI) movement and the mass-scale digital coordination of the 2020–2021 Farmers' Protests, social media has played a key role in the making of Indian activism today.

However, the added reliance on online platforms also introduces a complicated set of challenges. Digital monitoring, internet shutdowns, web harassment, disinformation, and marginalization of digitally excluded groups have raised the question of equity, security, and legitimacy of online participation. Moreover, the challenge of “slacktivism” where online activism does not necessarily translate to offline influence poses problems to the efficacy of social media campaigns. The paper evaluates the role of social media in facilitating social justice movements in India. The case study evidence, thematic evidence, and literature, it analyzes the mechanism by which digital activism is mobilized, its

empowering potential, and its own constraints. In general, the research strives to present an objective picture of the ways in which digital technologies enable and constrain striving for social justice in one of the world's most populous and plural democracies.

2. Conceptual Framework

2.1 Social Justice and Digital Activism

In India, the pursuit of social justice has been closely linked to historical and structural disparities on the basis of caste, class, gender, religion, and regional identities. Social justice is grounded in the ideals of equity, justice, and equitable distribution of resources and opportunities in society. These multiple axes of oppression make the fight for justice complex, layered, and ongoing. Digital activism, often referred to as “e-activism,” refers to the use of internet-based platforms such as social media, email, websites, and digital petitions to promote social or political change. In India, digital activism is a robust method of operation for grassroots movements as well as city-based civil society groups. Digital activism helps activists to bypass the gatekeepers of mass media, make marginal voices louder, and contest prevailing discourses.

As Relly and Pakanati (2020) observe in their analysis of India's Right to Information (RTI) movement, the strength of digital activism lies not in its reach but in its ability to mobilize across socio-economic, geographic, and linguistic divides. Social media platforms enable collective agency through the sharing of narratives, calls to action, and affective identification, converts passive bystanders into active participants in the quest for justice. Additionally, digital activism provides for a space of storytelling and counter-narratives. This is especially important in India, where prevailing narratives have a tendency to exclude or distort minority narratives. With hashtags, short films, and infographics, digital spaces democratize protest, and anyone with a mobile phone and internet connection is a part of social and political causes.

2.2 India's Digital Ecosystem

India's digital space is one of the world's fastest-evolving. The

country has over 600 million internet users, a dynamic but extremely polarized digital space. Penetration of low-priced smartphones and affordable internet data have increased the coverage of digital content. Advantage of this, however, has not been shared equally. Urban youth, middle-class citizens, and English-speaking cyber citizens dominate Twitter and Instagram. Marginal communities of rural areas are likely to employ WhatsApp since it is low-data usage and easy. This stratification of digital space makes social media campaigns inclusiveness and outreach more challenging.

Digital caste and gender divisions are also enduring. Studies have found that Indian women, especially Dalit and rural women, have significantly lower exposure to digital resources. This limits their exposure to digital discourse and continues to deepen entrenched inequalities in public and political life (Sato, 2024). In addition, digital literacy, language, and poor internet infrastructure in rural India make the situation worse. In spite of these obstacles, India's digital universe has opened new avenues for political participation and social transformation. Popularity of local language content, emergence of vernacular influencers, as well as community-based WhatsApp groups, have begun closing some gaps. The challenge now is to ensure the digital spaces become safer, more accessible, and more inclusive.

3. Case Studies of Digital Activism in India

3.1 The #MeTooIndia Movement

Women tweeted, Instagrammed, and Facebooked that they had survived and named their perpetrators who so far had remained safe from punishment due to fear of them, stigmatization, or complicit silence in institutions. MeTooIndia went ballistic in 2018 as part of the global MeToo wave that revealed sexual harassment and assault in media, academic, film, and political institutions.

Impact: Resignations of people are substantial triggered, initiated in-house probes in companies, and led to opening up of a discussion on gender sensitization, office ethics, and due process. This was a watershed moment for India's women's movement, giving victims a platform where they could be heard and believed on the Internet.

Limitations: Nevertheless, the movement was also criticized. Criticism was raised on the absence of legal remedy, trial-free reputational damage, and the possibility of false accusations. Also, it was largely restricted to English-speaking, urban, upper-middle-class societies, which underlined the shortcomings of online accessibility and intersectional inclusion.

3.2 The Right to Information (RTI) Movement

The RTI campaign is a shining illustration of the intersection of offline and online activism. Starting as an offline people's movement in Rajasthan during the 1990s, RTI was enacted into law in 2005 when the RTI Act was enacted. Social media has also been used earlier by activists to defend and uphold this law.

Impact: Activism campaigns like #SaveRTI and #DontAmendRTI on Twitter and Change.org gained over 280,000 signatures and put lawmakers to public pressure. The campaign has fostered democratic engagement, transparency, and public access to scrutinize institutions.

Digital Innovation: The campaign used Facebook to stage protests and disseminate resources, WhatsApp to coordinate region-wide protests, and videos such as the “Kodaikanal Won't” rap revealing environmental neglect using RTI information to engage young people and global communities.

3.3 Farmers' Protests (2020–2021)

One of India's biggest protests in history, Farmers' Protests against the controversial farm laws saw savvy digital activism. Farmers and their supporters utilized social media to organize demonstrations, push back at government misinformation, and gain global visibility.

Mechanisms: The hashtags #Farmers Protest, #Stand With Farmers, and #No Farmers No Food were trending on Twitter. Protesters shared live streams, heart-wrenching stories, and myth-busting graphics on Instagram and YouTube. The world rallied behind the Celebrities and influencers across the globe, further propelling the movement.

Challenges: The government retaliated with internet shutdowns, online surveillance, and cyber character assassination. Protest was trolls' paradise, uninformed, and state-sponsored reporting demonizing them as extremist or anti-national, marking the vulnerabilities of digital activism in an autocratic-biased digital environment.

3.4 Dalit Rights and Dalit Lives Matter

The Dalit Lives Matter movement, following the global Black Lives Matter movement, brought caste atrocities and discrimination to the forefront in India. Social media was the point of origin for Dalits to express themselves, which otherwise remained marginalized in mainstream platforms.

Achievements: Hashtags like #Justice For Rohith, #Dalit Lives Matter, and #Stop Caste Violence emerged as rallying points after sensationalized cases of caste atrocities. These hashtags enabled mobilization among young people, organization of campus protests, and pressured institutions for reform, particularly in universities and civil society groups.

Challenges: Dalit activists are constantly subjected to caste trolling, threats, and censorship online. Most of them don't have safe and equal access to digital platforms because of structural inequalities. Social media, however, has provided a strong platform for resistance and solidarity, especially among educated young Dalits and their allies.

4. 4. Mechanisms of Impact

The social justice is not merely in making noise on the internet but the effectiveness of social media campaigns in advocating for in how they frame public debate, mobilize popular action, and draw institutional attention to issues long obscured from view. In India, where the mainstream media tends to muzzle the voices of the marginalized, digital media has provided alternative spaces for dialogue, opposition, and democratization. This section examines the most important ways in which social media has influenced social justice movements in India.

4.1 Awareness and Agenda Setting

One of the most impactful consequences of social media activism is that it has the ability to set public agenda. Unlike the more entrenched political and business-dominated media, social media allows individuals and communities to bring attention to concerns that would otherwise be ignored. By trending on a particular hashtag, sharing viral content, and posting online debates, activists can make the media and policymakers take notice of specific issues. As Makatlal and Kumar (2022) argue, platforms such as Twitter have turned into “digital public spheres” whereby citizens particularly the youth can access national and global audiences. Hashtag campaigns such as #MeTooIndia, #JusticeForRohith, and #DalitLivesMatter have managed to create space for shared ire and solidarity, sometimes compelling mainstream media to reproduce and emulate. These digital movements not only generate awareness but also reverse mainstream narratives, thereby altering public discourse and exerting pressure on political discourse.

4.2 Mobilization and Collective Action

In addition to raising awareness, social media is important for coordinating collective action. The speed of information dissemination makes it possible for real-time organization of protests, sit-ins, petitions, and flash mobs. In the case of the RTI protests, for instance, organizers utilized Facebook and WhatsApp to alert supporters of protest locations, timing, and logistical requirements. Real-time access to thousands of individuals reduced organizational costs and escalated the extent of mobilization. Similarly, during the Farmers’ Protests, protest organizers and social media volunteers have used Twitter and Instagram to mobilize solidarity marches all across cities and even abroad. WhatsApp forwards, online posters, and viral clips kept the movement going for several months. This kind of digital mobilization dissolves the boundaries of online/offline activism and enables more participation from individuals who might not be taking part in more traditional forms of protest.

4.3 Digital Storytelling

Another powerful force by which social media influence social movements is digital storytelling. Targeted, emotional content such as survivor testimonies, citizen-produced videos, and viral memes can provide human faces to complex issues and make them tangible and urgent. Activists and content creators use digital storytelling to frame narratives that connect with people by triggering emotions, solidarity, and action.

A good example is the “Kodaikanal Won’t” rap video, produced as a part of a campaign against mercury pollution by Unilever in Tamil Nadu in the name of environmental justice. The video had effectively used narration and music to educate viewers, creating additional pressure on the company and stimulating international solidarity. Similarly, survivor testimonies shared on #MeTooIndia gained a snowball effect with others complaining about being silenced over workplace harassment culture. Activists construct strong narratives in the forms of videos, infographics, threads, and reels that resonate well with broader audiences. Visual and emotive appeal in such forms is more likely than policy reports and news reporting to shape public perceptions and trigger debate.

4.4 Online-Offline Synergy

One of the key Indian social movement lessons is that online activism is not in isolation. All successful campaigns have a tendency to combine online action with mass mobilization off-line. Chadha and Harlow (2015) are right to remind us that Indian digital activism operates most effectively when it draws upon and reinforces networks of local mobilization, student activism, and community engagement in place.

For instance, social media allowed for publicity to the RTI and Dalit rights movements, but it took ground protests, street theatre, campus sit-ins, and legal campaigning to translate cyber support into real-world action. The synergy between online presence and offline activity assures credibility, legitimacy, and long-term momentum for social justice movements. This overall strategy also minimizes the dangers

of “slacktivism” a shallow online behavior in which a user can merely like or share a post without committing to more serious engagement. When online technologies are used as tools of awareness, recruitment, and mobilization of offline activism, they can be powerful facilitators of transformative change.

5. Challenges and Limitations

Though social media has transformed how social justice activism is carried out in India, its emancipatory power comes with deep-set challenges and institutional constraints. In digital exclusion, surveillance, and slacktivism, to rising misinformation, digital activism is consistently limited by its political, technologic, as well as societal constraints. Below are four imperative challenges that serve to reduce the scope and size of social media campaigns to a limited scale as far as forwarding social justice goes.

5.1 Digital Divide

Despite the fact that the internet population has expanded manifold in India, availability is highly skewed. The digital divide characterized by disparities between smartphone access, internet usage, and e-literacy is continuing to exclude substantial segments of the population. Rural communities, women, Dalits, Adivasis, and economically marginalized groups are hugely underrepresented among the online population (Sato, 2024).

Only 33% of Indian women are reached by mobile internet, according to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), while 57% of men enjoy this amenity. The gap is even greater in rural India. In addition, non-support for local languages and the lack of local content exclude non-English speakers from online debates. This gap has a direct implication for the inclusivity and representativeness of social justice movements. Those campaigns that are rooted heavily in platforms like Twitter and Instagram risk isolating the very constituencies they claim to represent. Without intentional means through which digitally marginalized groups are engaged, digital activism has the potential to reenact existing society’s inequalities in the virtual space.

5.2 Surveillance and Censorship

The second major drawback of online activism in India is increasing state surveillance and censorship. The Indian state has employed a range of mechanisms legal, technical, and rhetorical to police, silence, and prosecute online protest. Legislation like the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, grants the government wide-ranging authority over controlling content removals, determining users, and punishing sites. The legislation has been decried as trampling over freedom of expression and right to privacy.

During politically charged times like the anti-CAA protests, Article 370 abrogation in Kashmir, and the Farmers' Protests, the government used selective shutdowns of the internet, surveillance, and trolling online to silence dissent. Activists and reporters have reported being spied on, trolled, and even arrested for their online activities. Such an atmosphere of fear stifles digital expression and discourages free engagement in social movements. It particularly targets young activists, women, and minorities who are already structurally exposed.

5.3 Slacktivism

Perhaps the most commonly cited criticism of social media activism is slacktivism a phrase that describes low-effort online participation that doesn't result in sufficient change. Liking, sharing, or clicking a hashtag is just some of the things that seem to be doing something but don't result in impact in the real world. Makatlal and Kumar (2022) say that performative activism is a replacement for more substantial political action. Individuals can shift profile pictures or adopt hashtags as symbolic actions but not channel offline mobilization or structural change. Although such an action might raise awareness, it can dilute the objectives of the movement and render activism appear as a fleeting trend.

This is especially so in movements such as #MeTooIndia, wherein digital solidarity did not necessarily meaningfully translate to institutional transformation or sustained legal processes. Slacktivism also enables virtue signaling, where individuals join to build their personal brand rather than

giving out of real solidarity. To counter this, movements must concentrate on online-offline convergence, leveraging digital platforms as a stepping stone to greater participation, learning, and collective action.

5.4 Misinformation

The propagation of disinformation and propaganda through social media threatens the credibility and cohesion of social justice movements. Misinformation, manipulated images, and conspiracy theories can lead to confusion, exacerbate polarization, and erode trust in real activism. In the Farmers' Protests, for instance, disinformation campaigns sought to delegitimize protesters as terrorists or foreign agents. Spurious videos and spurious quotes were shared far and wide on WhatsApp and Facebook, creating uncertainty among the public and polarizing supporters (Mukherjee, 2019).

The decentralized structure of social media platforms and algorithmic bias complicate control or verification of the content's legitimacy. Although activists have pushed back with fact-checking efforts and media literacy, the volume of disinformation compels such remediation to the periphery. When institutions' reputation is already under question, unrestricted dissemination of lies can be capable of dismantling social justice campaigns and diverting focus from essential causes.

6. Comparative Analysis: India and Bangladesh

The social media potential of transforming youth-driven social justice movements is a recent trend in South Asia. Bangladesh and India have seen increasing digital activism, particularly among young people, utilizing social media platforms to oppose state power, rally public support, and highlight issues of immediate socio-political importance. In Bangladesh, the 2018 Road Safety Movement began after two students were killed in a road accident. The movement picked up momentum, fueled by the anger of students, and soon spiraled into countrywide protests. Social media sites such as Facebook and Twitter played a crucial role in mobilizing demonstrations, posting live information, and coordinating national and global support. As Sato (2024) has noted, despite

internet shutdowns and digital surveillance imposed by the government, Bangladeshi youth were very resilient digitally through the use of VPNs, encrypted messaging applications, and other networks to stay on course and avoid censorship.

Similarly, the same bifurcation can also be employed for India's anti-CAA and NRC agitation, where decentralized protests across the country were networked on social media. Schedules of protests, countering disinformation, and amplifying voices of protest, particularly among student activists and civil society groups, were tweeted and posted on Instagram. As in Bangladesh, internet shutdowns also faced Indian protesters, mainly in locations like Kashmir and Uttar Pradesh, but continued mobilizing via encrypted messaging and creative offline mobilization. Leveraging social media to circumvent gatekeeping by mainstream media and the strongest similarities digital dexterity under surveillance, student mobilization. These images verify the authenticity of cyber activism in oppressive settings and forecast the potential of online freedom and cyber literacy to ensure democratic activism in South Asia.

7. Policy Implications and Recommendations to Increase Social Media Campaigns for Social Justice

Social media has come to play as a significant source of strength for social justice causes, providing the marginalized a forum to speak up and challenge intractable injustices. For social media's full potential for social justice, however, various policy initiatives have to be launched. These involve empowering the marginalized, making virtual spaces answerable, and instilling meaningful offline participation.

7.1. Digital Literacy Programs: Enabling Marginalized Communities

One of the fundamental barriers preventing marginalized communities from being able to use social media successfully for social justice is a digital illiteracy. In order to ensure that all people can participate effectively in online activism, comprehensive digital literacy initiatives need to be implemented. These programs must not only address the fundamentals of using digital platforms but also critical

thinking, media literacy, and ethical technology use. By empowering citizens with the proper skills, marginalized groups will be in a stronger position to use social media as a tool of empowerment, build awareness of their plights, and mobilize for change.

7.2. Regulatory Reforms: Safeguarding Activists' Rights

While social media can be used to mobilize social justice movements, it can also endanger activists through extreme forms of threats like online harassment, surveillance, and censorship. The authorities must implement regulatory reforms that guarantee the rights of online activists while preventing digital technologies from being misused for surveillance. The reforms must ensure that activists are unshackled from undue restriction or intimidation, and their freedom of expression right is guaranteed. At the same time, regulatory structures must balance between guaranteeing security needs and privacy rights so that surveillance activity is not converted into an instrument of repression for the voices of the marginalized.

7.3. Platform Accountability: Transparency in Content Moderation

Because social media platforms are the focal points for the construction of the public sphere, the platforms need to be made responsible for their content moderation and disinformation policies. It is becoming increasingly concerning that the algorithms running on these platforms may stifle social justice-oriented content and facilitate disinformation capable of inflicting harm and its spread. Because of this, social media platforms must embrace transparent content policies that are openly applied and open to public scrutiny. This is done to promote trust between users and to ensure that social justice campaigns are not deterred by algorithmic discrimination or political censorship.

7.4. Offline Integration: Closing the Digital Divide

As much as social media has proven to be a powerful tool for advocacy, its potency is rendered useless if it is left on the cyber space. For social justice campaigns to bring about

sustainable effects, there must be an effort to marry online activism with offline organizing. Policy-makers can contribute to advocacy for programs that harmonize online and real-world activism to enable activists to mobilize people beyond the virtual space. Protests, and conversations bridging the virtual campaign divide that entails linking community events to present a stronger and more unified movement towards concrete change.

7.5. Inclusive Campaigning: Closing the Digital Divide

Finally, inclusive campaigning is essential to ensure social media is made accessible for everyone, especially the marginalized or rural populations. Utilizing local influencers and vernacular languages is able to close the digital divide to allow such communities to join social justice campaigns. Campaigns should not only address urban residents but also contain content that is sensitive to the language and cultural needs of various groups. Interaction with local activists and opinion leaders can also help to build trust and better communication with digital platforms, making the digital platform more equitable and inclusive for all.

Conclusion

Social media has radically reshaped social justice movements in India as a strong tool for marginalised communities to raise their voices and mobilise effectively. The fact that they can bypass mainstream media and directly communicate with the public has been crucial in movements such as MeTooIndia, the RTI movement, and the 2020–2021 Farmers' Protests, pointing towards the pivotal position of social media in activism. These networks have opened up unprecedented possibilities for democratic engagement, enabling mass mobilization and live organization of demonstrations and campaigns for advocacy. However, the use of social media in activism is not without challenges. Digital disparity remains a significant challenge, with many people, particularly those located in rural areas as well as under-resourced communities, lacking the necessary access to the internet or digital literacy. Additionally, the spread of disinformation, cyberbullying, and surveillance by the state are concerns with regards to the legitimacy

and safety of online activism. “Slacktivism,” or how online activism rarely translates to concrete offline action, further constrains the scope of the campaigns’ potential.

With increasing numbers of Indian citizens going online, the future of social justice will be determined by how digital platforms are utilized. Social media activism will only be effective if it becomes more intersectional, strategically oriented, and grounded in grassroots realities. Ethical use, inclusivity, and integration with offline efforts are essential for sustaining social justice movements in the digital age, ensuring that social media serves as a positive force for change in India and beyond.

References:

APA PsycNet. (n.d.). <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2012-14356-008> Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2012). *THE LOGIC OF CONNECTIVE ACTION*. *Information Communication & Society*, 15(5), 739–768. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2012.670661>

Buy Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age book online at low prices in India | *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age* Reviews & ratings - Amazon.in. (n.d.). <https://www.amazon.in/Networks-Outrage-Hope-Movements-Internet/dp/0745695760>

C., R., & Kumar, S. (2025). *Role of Social Media in Black Lives Matter (BLM) Movement and its Impact: A Study*. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH CULTURE SOCIETY*, 9(3), 39–40. <https://ijrcs.org/wp-content/uploads/IJRCS202503006-min.pdf>

Cao, H. (2016, April 15). W. Lance Bennett & Alexandra Segerberg, *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*. Cao / *International Journal of Communication*. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5632>

Castells, M. (2015). *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*. Polity. <https://voidnetwork.gr/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Networks-of-Outrage-and-Hope-Social-Movements-in-the-Internet-Age-Manuel-Castells.pdf>

Castells, M. (2016). 75. *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*. In *Democracy* (pp. 433–435). <https://doi.org/10.7312/blau17412-091>

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology. (2020). *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science Communication and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.48175/568>

McCluskey, M. (2014). *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*, by W. Lance Bennett and Alexandra Segerberg. *Political Communication*, 31(4), 677–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2014.956034>

Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age, 2nd edition. (n.d.). Wiley.com. <https://www.wiley.com/en-br/>