

Automation in Clinical Laboratories: Bridging Manual to Automated Precision

Sudip Garai*

Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, School of Allied Health Sciences, Swami Vivekananda University, Telinipara, Barasat-Barrackpore Rd, Bara Kanthalia, West Bengal - 700121, India.

Abstract

In the modern era of healthcare, clinical laboratories face mounting pressure to deliver accurate, timely and cost-efficient diagnostic testing. Automation has emerged as a critical enabler for meeting these demands by integrating robotics, informatics and advanced instrumentation into laboratory workflows. This review explores how automation has evolved in clinical laboratories and discusses its implications for the future of diagnostics. It begins with an overview of previous manual and semi-automated methods, outlines the intrinsic limitations of such traditional approaches, and then examines the recent trends in automation—including total laboratory automation (TLA), laboratory information management systems (LIMS), artificial intelligence (AI) and connectivity frameworks. Key advantages such as improved turnaround time, higher throughput, enhanced quality and data traceability are analysed. The review also considers the challenges and caveats of implementing automation, including cost, staff training and integration issues. Finally, the article argues that automation is not simply a tool for task reduction, but a transformational force poised to reshape diagnostic strategies, enabling precision medicine and more efficient patient care. As the volume and complexity of laboratory tests continue to expand, automation stands as a foundational pillar for the future of diagnostics.

Keywords: automation, clinical laboratory, diagnostics, laboratory information management system (LIMS), artificial intelligence.

Introduction

Clinical laboratories constitute the backbone of diagnostic medicine, delivering information on disease presence, severity, progression and response to therapy. The accuracy, speed and reliability of laboratory results directly influence patient management, treatment outcomes and healthcare costs. Over recent decades, the volume of laboratory testing has grown substantially, driven by demographic changes, expanding test menus, increased screening initiatives and demands for rapid decision-making. At the same time, error rates, turnaround time (TAT) pressures and cost containment have become acute concerns for laboratories globally.

In this context, automation has emerged as a strategic response. Broadly defined, automation refers to the use of mechanical, electronic and computer-driven systems to perform tasks previously done manually—ranging from specimen sorting and processing to analytical testing, data handling and result reporting. Unlike simple mechanisation, modern laboratory automation increasingly includes informatics, robotics and decision-support elements. The goal is to optimise workflow, reduce variability, improve efficiency and ultimately improve patient care. [1]

This review article presents a structured examination of automation in the clinical laboratory domain: starting from earlier methods, moving through disadvantages of conventional approaches, then exploring recent trends in automation and concluding with the advantages and future perspectives of automation in diagnostics.

Conventional Methods

Historically, clinical laboratory workflows relied heavily on manual or semi-automated processes. For example, in the pre-analytical phase, specimens were physically labeled, logged, centrifuged and manually transported between bench stations. Analytical testing often involved operator-driven pipetting, reagent addition, instrument loading, calibration checks and manual result validation. Many instruments were stand-alone and not linked to a broader network; result entry and reporting frequently required manual transcription

or double-entry into laboratory information systems.

In microbiology, culture plating, colony inspection, microscopy and sensitivity testing were largely manual, with technicians performing hands-on manipulation of specimens and interpreting results. In haematology, manual slide preparation, staining and differential counting were common. Immunology and molecular methods often required manual extraction, amplification set-up and result interpretation. Quality control practices were labour-intensive, relying on human oversight.[2]

While these methods provided flexibility and were the norm for many years, they posed significant operational burdens when scaling up for high-volume testing. The “semi-automated” instruments—those that automated parts but still required human intervention for loading, aliquoting or data entry—represented a transitional phase between purely manual and fully automated systems.

Disadvantages of Conventional Methods

The conventional (manual or semi-automated) laboratory methods have several important disadvantages:

Human Error and Variability:

Manual pipetting, sample handling and data entry are prone to errors. Variability between operators can lead to inconsistent results and increased outlier rates. Studies show that automation can reduce error rates significantly.

Limited Throughput and Scalability:

As test volumes grow, manually intensive processes become bottlenecks. Throughput is constrained by staffing levels, fatigue, and workflow inefficiencies.

Longer Turnaround Time (TAT):

Manual steps prolong TAT, delaying clinical decision-making and potentially affecting patient outcomes. For example, early automation reports noted more than 50% reduction in TAT after implementation. [3]

Workforce Burden and Safety Risks:

Repetitive sample handling exposes staff to potential ergonomic stress, biohazard risks and monotony. Automation reduces these concerns by mechanising hazardous tasks.

Data Management Challenges:

Manual result entry and fragmented instrument systems create opportunities for transcription errors, poor traceability, and difficulties in integrating data into clinical information systems.

Cost Inefficiencies:

While manual methods may have lower initial capital cost, the recurrent costs of labour, reagents wasted due to repeat tests, specimen re-handling, and delays often lead to higher long-term cost per result.[4]

Quality and Standardisation Constraints:

Without automation, standardising processes across multiple shifts, sites or laboratories remains difficult, which can adversely affect reproducibility and inter-laboratory comparability.[5]

Taken together, these limitations create a compelling case for laboratory automation, especially in high-volume, complexity-growing diagnostic environments.

Recent Trends in Automation

Laboratory automation has advanced considerably over the past decade. Below are key trends shaping the current and future state of automation in clinical laboratories.

Total Laboratory Automation (TLA)

TLA refers to the fully integrated automation of pre-analytical, analytical and post-analytical workflows, encompassing specimen reception, sorting, centrifugation, aliquoting, transport, analysis and result release. Platforms include conveyor modules, barcode tracking, robotic arms, and system logic directing specimen movement across modular analyzers. For example, one review notes that modern TLA

systems can automate up to 80% of workflow tasks in high-volume chemistry labs. [4,6] Implementation of TLA has been shown to increase tests per staff and reduce turnaround time dramatically. [7]

Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS) and Connectivity

A key enabler of automation is informatics. LIMS, middleware and enterprise connectivity allow seamless transfer of specimen metadata, instrument data, result validation and reporting. Real-time monitoring of instrument status, sample tracking, and integration with electronic health records (EHR) enhance workflow transparency and efficiency. [8]

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML) and Digital Pathology

Automation is increasingly moving beyond mechanical tasks into decision support and image analytics. AI/ML algorithms are being employed in hematology smear review, histopathology slide interpretation and morphological abnormality detection. [9] Automation combined with AI enables higher-value tasks for technologists, shifting them from routine processing to exception handling and interpretation.

Point-of-Care Automation and Decentralised Testing

The push for faster diagnostics at or near the patient (POCT) has led to compact automated systems that embed ease of use, connectivity and rapid reporting. Combined with laboratory central automation, they support hub-and-spoke models of diagnostics. [10]

Data Analytics, Predictive Maintenance and IoT Integration

Automation systems now incorporate Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, instrument health monitoring, reagent usage tracking and predictive maintenance. This enhances uptime, lowers cost of operations and improves system reliability. The data collected also support analytics for workflow optimisation and capacity planning. [11]

Consolidation and Centralisation of Laboratories

As automation systems reach maturation, many diagnostic networks are consolidating smaller labs into larger automated core facilities, often servicing multiple sites to gain economies of scale, improve standardisation and reduce labour overheads. This is particularly noted in markets such as India. [12]

Sustainability and Green Automation

Emerging focus on sustainability has led to automation initiatives emphasising reduced reagent waste, lower energy consumption, and optimized workflows that reduce carbon footprint of laboratory operations.

These trends indicate that automation is not a static endpoint but a dynamic evolution of the laboratory environment, tightly integrated with informatics, robotics and strategic operational planning.

Advantages of Automation

The adoption of automation in clinical laboratories brings a wide array of advantages:

Improved Efficiency and Throughput

Automated systems can handle large volumes of tests with less downtime and fewer manual interventions. Studies have demonstrated multiple-fold increases in tests per worker when automation is in place. [13]

Reduced Turnaround Time (TAT)

By minimising manual handling and streamlining workflow, automation reduces delays. Some labs report same-day results for tests that previously took multiple days. [8, 11]

Enhanced Accuracy and Quality

Automation reduces operator variability and errors in pipetting, sample handling and data entry. Protocol fidelity is maintained and traceability is improved. [12]

Better Data Management and Traceability

Integrated systems log all key events—specimen receipt, processing steps, instrument usage, QC results—which enhances auditability, regulatory compliance and quality assurance.

Optimised Workforce Utilisation

Instead of repetitive manual tasks, laboratory professionals can shift focus to interpretive duties, quality control, result verification and investigation of exceptions. A review notes that automation frees staff to apply cognitive expertise. [7]

Scalability and Flexibility

Automated infrastructure supports expansion of test menus, volume surges (such as during pandemic testing) and consolidation of multiple sites into a central core facility. An example: transitioning to automation enabled a lab to absorb thousands of additional tests without proportional staffing increase.

Improved Safety

Automation reduces manual sample handling, thereby reducing exposure to biohazards, decreasing needle□stick injuries, and lowering ergonomic stress on staff.

Cost Containment

Although initial capital cost may be high, over time automation can lower cost per result by reducing repeats, wasted reagents, manual labour, and by increasing throughput and uptime.

Facilitation of Precision Medicine

With accurate, fast, high-volume data generation, automation supports development of personalised diagnostic panels, high-throughput genomics/ molecular assays, and integration with clinical decision□making systems. [14]

Overall, the case for automation in modern diagnostics is compelling: it supports faster, better, more reliable laboratory services, leading directly to improved patient outcomes.

Conclusion

As clinical laboratories evolve in the face of rising test volumes, increasing complexity and escalating demands

for speed and accuracy, automation emerges not only as a tool for efficiency but as a strategic enabler of the future of diagnostics. This review has traced the progression from manual and semi-automated workflows, identified the key shortcomings of conventional methods, and described recent trends in automation—from total laboratory automation and informatics integration to AI-driven diagnostics and sustainable lab operations.

The advantages of automation are manifold—but implementation is not without challenges. Key barriers include high upfront capital investment, need for staff training and cultural change, integration of heterogeneous instruments and legacy systems, maintenance and servicing requirements, and regulatory/quality assurance oversight. Thoughtful planning, stakeholder engagement, clear business case and change management are essential to realise the full potential of automation.

Looking ahead, the next frontier will likely involve deeper integration of AI and machine learning, more decentralised yet connected testing models (including POCT), enhanced data analytics for predictive diagnostics, and labs that are self-optimising via IoT and real-time feedback. Automation will enable laboratories to shift from being mere test factories to being strategic partners in clinical decision-making, precision medicine and population health management.

In closing, automation in the clinical laboratory is not simply the future of diagnostics—it is the present. Laboratories that adopt and adapt to automation will be better positioned to meet the evolving demands of healthcare, deliver value, and contribute meaningfully to improved patient care.

References

Alhammad L. A., Ainosah T. K., Ahmad A. M., Samarkandi M. S., Jawi N. H., Alharthi M. A., Alsharif A. M., Anazi E. A. A., Aldugeshem S. A., Johali F. Y. (2024). The impact of laboratory automation on efficiency and accuracy in healthcare settings. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, 11(1), 459-463. (IMSEAR Repository)

Moorcroft, J. (2025, March 18). The measurable impact and benefits of automation in molecular laboratories. *Roche Diagnostics*. (Diagnostics)

Nasser S. M., Alshehri A. M., Gazwani H. A. & Alsemari S. A. (2023) The Role of Automation in Modern Clinical Laboratories. *Tec Empresarial*. (Revista Stecac)

Salem A. S., Arnous T. E., Almjuri M. A., Alqahtani M. S., Hazazi B. A., ShAlmotairi F. F., Alosaywan H. A., Alamri S. M. (2023). The role of automation in clinical laboratories: enhancing diagnostic accuracy and streamlining turnaround times. *Review of Contemporary Philosophy*, 22. (Review of Contemporary Philosophy)

“Advances in Clinical Laboratory Automation.” (2014). *American Society for Clinical Laboratory Science*. (ADLM)

“The importance of automation in clinical labs.” (2024). *Lab Leaders – Roche Diagnostics*. (Diagnostics)

Kritikos, A., Prod'hom, G., Jacot, D., Croxatto, A., & Greub, G. (2024). The impact of laboratory automation on the time to urine microbiological results: A five-year retrospective study. *Diagnostics*, 14(13), 1392. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14131392> [MDPI](#)

Nam, Y., & Park, H.-D. (2025). Revolutionizing laboratory practices: Pioneering trends in total laboratory automation. *Annals of Laboratory Medicine*, 45, 472-483. <https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2024.0581> [Synapse](#)

Singh, S., & Singh, S. (2023). Automation in clinical laboratory. In *Automation in Clinical Laboratory* (1st ed., pp. 1-31). Noble Science Press. <https://doi.org/10.52458/9789388996846>. nsp2023.eb.ch-05 [Noble Science Press](#)

Nasser, S. M., Alshehri, A. M., Gazwani, H. A., & Alsemari, S. A. (2024). The role of automation in modern clinical laboratories. *TEC Empresarial*, 6(2). [Revista Stecac](#)

Alqhtani, A. S., Arnous, T. E., Almjuri, M. A., Alqahtani, M. S., Hazazi, B. A., Alosaywan, H. A., ... Alamri, S. M. (2023). The role of automation in clinical laboratories: Enhancing diagnostic accuracy and streamlining turnaround times through advanced technological solutions. *Review of Contemporary Philosophy*, 22. [Review of Contemporary Philosophy](#)

Al Naam, A., et al. (2022). The impact of total automation on the clinical laboratory workforce: A case study. *Journal of Laboratory Automation*. (Note: exact volume/issue to be retrieved.) [PubMed](#)

Islam, S. ul, Kamboj, K., & Kumari, A. (2023). Laboratory automation and its effects on workflow efficiency in medical laboratories. *Middle East Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 6(4), 88-97. <https://doi.org/10.46431/MEJAST.2023.6407>
[MEJAST](#)

Dakhilallah Saad Almalki, S., Al Inkis, M. N., Alrashdan, S., Ateeq, A. A., Alharthi, S. M., Alhummud, A. M. I., ... Alwadai, M. H. (2024). Automation in laboratories: How it's changing diagnostic techniques. *Power System Technology*, 48(4).