

Reclaiming Voices: Feminism, Agency, and the Politics of Representation

Edited by

Rituparna Chakraborty
Shubham Bhattacharjee



Swami Vivekananda University

Published by

Penprints
India

Reclaiming Voices: Feminism, Agency, and the Politics of Representation

Edited by Rituparna Chakraborty, Shubham Bhattacharjee

Published by Penprints

Official Address: P-925, Lake Twon, Block - A, Kolkata-700089,
West Bengal, India.

Phone no-8697875467

Website - www.penprints.in

Copyright - Swami Vivekananda University

Cover - ©PENPRINTS

Price: INR 500 | \$22

First Edition - 2025

ISBN: 978-81-993920-8-3

ISBN 10 : 81-993920-8-8

All rights reserved, No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher and the author, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other non-commercial uses permitted by copyright law. All legal matters concerning the publication/publisher shall be settled under the jurisdiction of Calcutta High Court only.

Printer: S. P. Communications Pvt. Ltd. 1st Floor, Merlin Marigold, 31B, Raja Dinendra St, Yogi Para, Garpar, Machuabazar, Kolkata, West Bengal 700009

Acknowledgement

For the successful completion of this edited volume, we are most indebted to the vision of our Hon'ble Chancellor, Dr. Nandan Gupta, the inspiration of our Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor Professor (Dr.) Subrata Kumar Dey, the support of our Chief Operating Officer, Shri Saurabh Adhikari, the guidance of our Chief Executive Director (Academics), Professor (Dr.) Deb Narayan Bandyopadhyay, and the co-operation of our Registrar, Professor (Dr.) Pinak Pani Nath.

We would also like to extend our heartfelt gratitude to all the concerned faculty members of Swami Vivekananda University, the contributors and collaborators, who have played an active part in the realization of this book.

Preface

Gender Studies today stands at a transformative juncture in both theory and praxis. Emerging initially as a corrective to the invisibility of women in academic, political, and cultural discourse, it has evolved into a wide-ranging interdisciplinary field that interrogates power, identity, and knowledge itself. The scope of Gender Studies now extends far beyond its early feminist foundations, encompassing questions of masculinity, sexuality, embodiment, and intersectionality. It traverses literature, sociology, anthropology, philosophy, media, and law, foregrounding the intricate ways in which gender organizes human experience and structures social relations. The present volume seeks to explore these dimensions through diverse critical lenses, reflecting the intellectual vitality and ethical urgency that continue to animate contemporary gender scholarship.

At its core, Gender Studies challenges the naturalization of difference. It insists that categories such as “male,” “female,” “masculine,” and “feminine” are not biologically predetermined but socially constructed, historically contingent, and culturally variable. This fundamental insight, articulated through the works of Simone de Beauvoir, Judith Butler, and Gayle Rubin among others, revolutionized the humanities and social sciences by revealing how power operates through discourse. The statement that “one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman” encapsulated a broader epistemological shift: identity is not essence but effect; not destiny, but process. In tracing this genealogy, the field illuminates the mechanisms by which gendered hierarchies are produced, reproduced, and resisted across time and space.

The relationship between gender and power remains a central focus of inquiry. From the patriarchal structures that regulate women’s labor and sexuality to the ideological apparatuses that sustain hegemonic masculinity, gender functions as a key technology of social control. Feminist theory has consistently exposed the ways in which patriarchy intersects

with capitalism, colonialism, and religion to produce systems of domination that extend into every sphere of life. Yet Gender Studies does not merely critique; it also reconstructs. By uncovering the operations of power, it opens space for new forms of agency, solidarity, and imagination. The field's evolution from the "second-wave" politics of equality to the "third-wave" and "fourth-wave" emphasis on diversity and digital activism reflects an ongoing commitment to both critique and creativity.

The intersectional turn in feminist thought, pioneered by scholars such as Kimberlé Crenshaw and Patricia Hill Collins, marked a decisive moment in this evolution. Intersectionality posits that gender cannot be understood in isolation from other axes of identity such as race, class, sexuality, ability, and nation. Oppression and privilege operate through the simultaneous interaction of these categories, producing complex and differentiated experiences. This theoretical framework has profoundly shaped contemporary research and activism, challenging the universalizing tendencies of earlier feminist movements. It has foregrounded the voices of women of color, queer and trans individuals, and those located in the Global South, thereby expanding the epistemological boundaries of gender inquiry. Intersectionality, in this sense, is not merely an analytic tool but an ethical stance—a commitment to relational understanding and structural justice.

Gender Studies also reconfigures our understanding of the body. The body, once considered a natural and stable entity, is now recognized as a contested terrain of meaning. Feminist and queer theorists have shown how bodies are inscribed by cultural norms, medical discourses, and visual regimes that determine what counts as "normal" or "deviant," "beautiful" or "abject." Michel Foucault's concept of biopower, Butler's theory of performativity, and Donna Haraway's cyborg metaphor collectively illuminate how the body becomes both the site and the instrument of power. Contemporary scholarship extends these insights to explore reproductive rights, trans embodiment, disability, and digital corporeality, thereby revealing how the material and the symbolic

intertwine. The politics of the body, therefore, is inseparable from the politics of knowledge and representation.

The representation of gender in literature and media remains a particularly rich field of study. Literature has long mirrored and reinforced gender norms, yet it also offers a space for subversion and reimagining. From the transgressive heroines of early feminist fiction to the multiplicity of gendered voices in contemporary narratives, literary texts chart the evolution of gender consciousness across cultures. The re-reading of canonical works through feminist and queer lenses has uncovered suppressed histories and alternative subjectivities, transforming our understanding of the literary canon itself. Similarly, media studies interrogate how gendered identities are constructed through film, television, advertising, and digital platforms. The visual and narrative grammars of representation—how desire, violence, and agency are portrayed—reveal the ideological underpinnings of culture. In the era of social media, where images and narratives circulate globally at unprecedented speed, the politics of visibility and voice have assumed new significance. The struggle for representation is no longer confined to the page or screen but extends into the algorithmic architectures of the digital world.

The rise of masculinity studies as a subfield has further enriched the discipline. By turning the analytical gaze toward men and masculinities, scholars have illuminated the relational nature of gender and the costs of patriarchy for all genders. Masculinity, too, is a performance sustained by social expectations and institutional reinforcement. Studies of hegemonic masculinity, emotional suppression, and male identity in transition reveal how cultural definitions of manhood adapt to changing economic and social realities. This reorientation moves beyond oppositional feminism to a more inclusive framework that recognizes the plurality of gender experiences. The interrogation of masculinity opens pathways for reimagining power, vulnerability, and care within both private and public spheres.

Queer theory, emerging from the intersections of feminism, psychoanalysis, and poststructuralism, has been equally

transformative. By challenging heteronormativity—the assumption that heterosexuality is the default or natural mode of existence—queer theory destabilizes binary oppositions and celebrates fluidity. The works of Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick, Judith Butler, and José Esteban Muñoz have shown how identities are performed, contested, and re-signified through cultural practices. Queer studies extend this analysis to art, film, and everyday life, foregrounding pleasure, desire, and affect as sites of resistance. In an era of renewed conservatism and backlash against gender and sexual diversity, queer theory's insistence on openness and indeterminacy serves as both intellectual challenge and political necessity. It reminds us that the freedom to be different is fundamental to the project of human emancipation.

The globalization of Gender Studies has produced a profound cross-cultural and transnational dialogue. Feminist thought, once centered in Euro-American contexts, now engages with diverse epistemologies from Africa, Asia, Latin America, and Indigenous traditions. Transnational feminism interrogates how global capitalism, migration, and development policies affect gendered lives across borders. It critiques the universalist rhetoric of “women’s empowerment” when it ignores local contexts and voices. Instead, it advocates for a plural feminism—responsive to difference, grounded in solidarity, and attentive to global interdependencies. Literary and cultural studies play a vital role in this process by illuminating how global inequalities are narrated and resisted through stories, rituals, and performances. Through these cross-cultural engagements, Gender Studies becomes not merely comparative but dialogic—a space of mutual transformation.

The relationship between gender and technology has emerged as a defining concern of the twenty-first century. The digital revolution has reconfigured modes of communication, work, and embodiment, while also reproducing older hierarchies of access and control. Feminist technoscience studies, drawing on Haraway’s cyborg manifesto and later digital humanities interventions, examine how gendered identities are coded into technological systems. Artificial intelligence, social media

algorithms, and virtual realities reflect and amplify biases embedded in their design. At the same time, digital spaces also offer opportunities for resistance – platforms for feminist activism, queer visibility, and global solidarity. The “fourth wave” of feminism, characterized by online mobilization and intersectional awareness, exemplifies this duality: technology as both tool of empowerment and site of contestation. Gender Studies, therefore, engages critically with the digital sphere, recognizing it as a central arena of contemporary power.

The field’s intersection with environmental and ecological thought introduces another crucial dimension. Ecofeminism, emerging in the 1970s and 1980s, articulated a parallel between the domination of women and the exploitation of nature. Both stem from hierarchical worldviews that privilege control and extraction over care and interdependence. Contemporary feminist environmentalism has expanded this insight to include climate justice, reproductive ecology, and sustainable economies of care. Women, particularly in the Global South, are often the first to bear the impacts of environmental degradation, yet they also lead movements for ecological renewal. Literature and cultural representation play a vital role in articulating these struggles, showing how gender and ecology are intertwined in narratives of survival and resistance. The convergence of gender and sustainability thus reaffirms the ethical centrality of care as both a personal and planetary principle.

The politics of embodiment and health constitute another vital area of gender inquiry. Reproductive rights, bodily autonomy, and healthcare access remain contested across the world. The politicization of the female and trans body exposes the deep entanglement of biology, culture, and governance. Feminist bioethics and disability studies interrogate the norms that define bodily integrity and productivity, challenging medicalized notions of normalcy. Narratives of illness and healing – whether in memoirs, fiction, or performance – reveal how bodies become sites of resistance and reclamation. By foregrounding lived experience, Gender Studies contributes to a more humane understanding of medicine and care, one that values difference and vulnerability as sources of strength rather than deficiency.

Gender also intersects deeply with religion, law, and the nation-state. Religious traditions have historically shaped gender roles, often in ways that constrain agency. Yet feminist theology and queer spiritualities reclaim sacred texts and rituals as spaces of inclusion and reinterpretation. Similarly, legal frameworks, while claiming neutrality, often perpetuate patriarchal assumptions. The study of gender and law reveals the gap between formal equality and lived justice, emphasizing the need for transformative jurisprudence. Nationalism, too, remains gendered in its imagery and institutions. The figure of the “motherland” and the policing of women’s bodies in the name of cultural purity illustrate how gender functions in the service of political power. By exposing these dynamics, Gender Studies challenges the very foundations of state and social order, advocating instead for plural and democratic forms of belonging.

In contemporary scholarship, attention has increasingly turned to affect, emotion, and intimacy as crucial dimensions of gendered life. Feminist affect theory explores how feelings—love, anger, shame, joy—are socially constructed and politically charged. Emotions are not merely personal but public, shaping collective identities and social movements. The politics of care, for instance, redefines emotional labor as a vital, though undervalued, aspect of human sustenance. By reclaiming affect as a site of knowledge, Gender Studies challenges the Cartesian split between reason and emotion, emphasizing instead the interdependence of cognition and empathy. This shift has profound implications for ethics, pedagogy, and politics alike.

The transformative potential of art and literature remains central to gender inquiry. Creative expression not only reflects social realities but also reimagines them. Feminist and queer aesthetics foreground multiplicity, fragmentation, and hybridity as formal strategies of resistance against patriarchal coherence. Poetry, fiction, theatre, and film become laboratories of alternative worldmaking—spaces where silenced voices speak and new modes of subjectivity emerge. The canon, once exclusionary, is now reconstituted through recovery and reinvention. Each act of writing, reading, or

performance becomes a political gesture, affirming that representation is both an act of critique and of creation.

In its methodological orientation, Gender Studies remains interdisciplinary and reflexive. It draws from philosophy, psychoanalysis, history, linguistics, and digital humanities, while continually interrogating its own assumptions. This reflexivity distinguishes it as a field committed not only to knowledge production but to epistemic justice—the democratization of who produces knowledge and for whom. The recognition that all scholarship is situated, shaped by the positionality of the researcher, has transformed the ethics of academic inquiry. Gender Studies thus models a mode of critical engagement that is self-aware, inclusive, and transformative.

The contemporary moment presents both challenges and opportunities. The backlash against gender equality, the rise of authoritarian populism, and the commodification of identity in neoliberal culture threaten to undermine decades of progress. Yet these crises also reaffirm the necessity of critical thought and collective action. The global resurgence of feminist movements—from the #MeToo campaign to grassroots struggles for reproductive rights and LGBTQ+ justice—demonstrates the enduring power of gender as a category of political imagination. The task of scholarship is to accompany, analyze, and sustain these movements through nuanced understanding and ethical solidarity. Gender Studies, therefore, is not merely an academic pursuit but a form of engaged humanism—an effort to understand and transform the conditions of existence.

The essays collected in this volume engage with these diverse trajectories, offering theoretical innovation and contextual depth. They reflect the multiplicity of voices and methodologies that define the field today. From re-readings of classical texts to explorations of digital activism, from analyses of queer aesthetics to studies of intersectional justice, each contribution participates in a larger conversation about how gender shapes and is shaped by the world. Collectively, they affirm that the study of gender is not confined to identity politics but encompasses the very texture of human life—its languages, desires, institutions, and dreams.

In affirming this expansive vision, the volume underscores that gender is not a niche concern but a universal lens through which power, culture, and being itself are understood. The persistence of inequality, violence, and exclusion makes the work of Gender Studies both urgent and unfinished. Yet its capacity for renewal—its openness to new voices, new media, and new solidarities—ensures its continued relevance. To study gender is to study the possibilities of justice, empathy, and transformation. It is to recognize that the personal is political, and that the politics of everyday life are inseparable from the pursuit of a more equitable and humane world.

In this sense, Gender Studies is not only an academic discipline but a moral imagination—a continuous act of re-visioning the human condition. Its future lies in its plurality, its intersectionality, and its courage to question. The present volume stands as a testament to that future: a field at once reflective and revolutionary, grounded in history yet attuned to the emergent forms of being, belonging, and becoming that define our shared contemporary moment.

Contents

A Study of Quiet Resilience: Ratan in Rabindranath Tagore's "The Postmaster"

Rituparna Chakraborty 14

Imagining Justice: Environmental Humanities, Intergenerational Ethics, and SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions)

Shubham Bhattacharjee 18

Caught between Tradition and Modernity: A Reading of Rabindranath's "Nastaneer"

Dr. Madhumita Roy 25

Deconstructing the Male Gaze: A Critical Study of Female Perspective in Theory and Practice

Shreyoshi Dhar 34

"Those Who Stay and Those Who Leave": Diasporic Identity and the Making of Woman Warriors in Bharati Mukherjee's Fiction

Dr. Tirna Sadhu & Moumita Bera 41

Questions of Identity and Belonging in Amruta Patil's Kari

Damini Ray and Soumyarup Bhattacharjee 56

The 'Bare Life' and the State: Unveiling the Paradigms of the Body in Alexander Solzhenitsyn's *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*

Agnideepo Datta 62

**Breaking the Armor: Reconstructing Masculinity,
Vulnerability, and Love in bell hooks' *The Will to
Change***

Debarshi Arathdar 70

**Breaking Boundaries: Exploring Gender Fluidity and
Androgyny in Virginia Woolf's *Orlando***

Sudipta Dutta 76

About The Contributors 83

A Study of Quiet Resilience: Ratan in Rabindranath Tagore's "The Postmaster"

Rituparna Chakraborty

Abstract

Rabindranath Tagore's short story, "The Postmaster" is often celebrated for its poignant depiction of loneliness and failed communication, but its emotional core resides in the central female character, Ratan. This paper analyzes Ratan not merely as a domestic servant, but as a representation of silenced femininity and unacknowledged emotional maturity. Through her simple, unarticulated longing for familial affection from the postmaster, the narrative explores themes of poverty, gender inequality, and the painful reality of a young woman's hopes being mistaken for utility. Her ultimate abandonment serves as a devastating, yet quiet, critique of casual male privilege and class insensitivity in late 19th-century Bengal.

1. Introduction: The Unseen Heart of the Story

"The Postmaster" narrates the brief, one-sided bond formed between a Calcutta-educated postmaster and Ratan, an orphaned village girl who serves as his maid. Ratan's character is crucial because her internal world drives the story's pathos. She is initially defined by her utility—she fetches water, cooks, and runs errands—but she evolves into a figure defined by unrequited emotional need. This study focuses on how Tagore uses Ratan's silence and simple actions to critique the social structures that render her invisible.

2. Ratan as a Symbol of Social Invisibility

Ratan's circumstances are shaped by two primary societal constraints: poverty and gender.

2.1. The Economic and Social Vacuum

As a poor, orphaned girl, Ratan exists outside the established social order. Her work is a necessity, not a choice, and her existence is inherently isolated.

- **Absence of Family:** The postmaster momentarily fills a crucial emotional void. Ratan's eagerness to learn to read and write is not just intellectual; it's a desperate attempt to create a lasting connection and validate her presence in his world.

- **The Power Dynamic:** The postmaster, despite his mild-mannered nature, holds absolute social and economic power. Ratan's service and affection are conditional upon his presence, a vulnerability that he fails to fully comprehend.

2.2. The Silence of Unarticulated Affection

Ratan's emotional depth is conveyed almost entirely through her actions rather than her speech.

- **Maternal Tenderness:** When the postmaster falls ill, Ratan assumes a quasi-maternal role, nursing him back to health with relentless care. This devotion is driven by a deep-seated desire to belong and be necessary, reflecting an emotional maturity far beyond her years.

- **The Failed Dialogue:** When the postmaster promises to "look after her marriage," he utterly misses the depth of her current need for familial love, reducing her future to a conventional social duty. Her silence here is not ignorance, but the shock of misrecognition.

3. The Climax: Abandonment and the Nature of Loss

The postmaster's departure is the story's catastrophic emotional event, highlighting the disparity in how each character experiences their brief connection.

3.1. Casual Privilege vs. Profound Loss

The postmaster views the separation with philosophical resignation, musing, "Life is full of such partings, such happen and will continue to happen." He generalizes his experience. Ratan's reaction, however, is a singular, irreversible devastation.

- **Rejection of Charity:** Ratan's refusal of the postmaster's final, meager charity—the offer of money—is her sole act of rebellion and self-respect. By rejecting the token payment, she asserts that their relationship, for her, was one of mutual human bond, not a paid service that could be monetarily settled.

- **The Permanent Wound:** Unlike the postmaster, who takes his philosophy and his privilege back to Calcutta, Ratan remains rooted in the same isolated village, her future prospects unchanged, but her capacity for trust and hope permanently damaged. Her experience underscores the social reality that women of her class bear the permanent emotional scars of casual interactions.

4. Conclusion: Quiet Resilience and Enduring Critique

Ratan in “The Postmaster” is a masterclass in economy of characterization. She embodies the quiet resilience of women who exist on the margins, whose affection is deep but unasked for, and whose contributions are often perceived only in terms of domestic labor. Her story is a poignant critique of how male privilege, even when benignly intended, can casually shatter the vulnerable emotional life of a subordinate. Her fate, rooted to the village and burdened by a memory of hope, leaves a far more lasting and critical impression than the postmaster's fleeting loneliness.

References:

Tagore, Rabindranath. “The Postmaster.” *The Postmaster and Other Stories*. Translated by William Radice, Penguin Books, 1991, pp. 1-15. (Original Bengali title: DākBābu, 1891.)

Secondary Sources

Das, Sisir Kumar. *The Artist in Chains: The Life of Rabindranath Tagore*. Allied Publishers, 1984.

Radice, William. “Introduction.” *The Postmaster and Other Stories*, by Rabindranath Tagore, Penguin Books, 1991, pp. vii-xxv.

Sen, Anindita. "The Subaltern Speak: Gender, Class, and Silence in Tagore's Short Stories." *Journal of South Asian Literary Studies*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2018, pp. 40-55.

Thompson, Edward. *Rabindranath Tagore: Poet and Dramatist*. Oxford UP, 1926.

Zide, Arlene. "Tagore's Women: The Voice of the Private Sphere." *Chicago Review*, vol. 35, no. 1, 1986, pp. 29-37.

Imagining Justice: Environmental Humanities, Intergenerational Ethics, and SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions)

Shubham Bhattacharjee

Abstract

In an era marked by ecological crises, social unrest, and widening intergenerational inequities, literature has emerged as a critical site for imagining justice and sustainable futures. This paper examines how the Environmental Humanities intersect with the moral imperatives of Sustainable Development Goal 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions) by reconfiguring ethical and aesthetic paradigms. Through an interdisciplinary lens that draws from ecocriticism, posthumanism, and justice theory, the study explores how literary narratives articulate intergenerational responsibility, ecological consciousness, and institutional critique. Texts by Amitav Ghosh, Richard Powers, Alexis Wright, and Margaret Atwood serve as case studies to reveal how fiction not only mirrors environmental and political crises but also envisions restorative frameworks of peace and sustainability. The paper argues that literature performs an act of “ethical world-making,” fostering both planetary empathy and civic imagination central to achieving SDG 16.

Introduction

Sustainable Development Goal 16—“Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions”—recognizes that global sustainability depends not only on environmental management but also on ethical, cultural, and institutional transformation. The Environmental Humanities, as an emergent field, has become crucial in articulating this interconnected vision of justice—one that moves beyond technocratic solutions to address the affective, moral, and imaginative dimensions of human existence. Literature, in particular, offers a narrative

terrain where environmental degradation, social inequality, and institutional corruption converge as moral questions demanding reflection and redress.

In recent decades, climate fiction, postcolonial eco-narratives, and Indigenous storytelling traditions have expanded the concept of justice beyond the human-centred paradigm. By tracing the voices of those historically marginalized—both human and non-human—these works propose a radical ethics of care that extends across generations and ecosystems. Thus, the Environmental Humanities not only critique existing structures of power but also contribute to reimagining peace and justice as ongoing, relational practices grounded in empathy, sustainability, and moral responsibility.

Theoretical Framework: Environmental Humanities and Intergenerational Ethics

The Environmental Humanities (EH) synthesizes insights from literature, philosophy, cultural studies, and environmental science to explore how stories shape our ecological and ethical consciousness. Scholars such as Rob Nixon (2011), Ursula Heise (2016), and Amitav Ghosh (2016) have highlighted the narrative invisibility of “slow violence”—the gradual, often unseen ecological and social damage that disproportionately affects marginalized communities. SDG 16, with its call for peace and justice, demands attention to precisely these forms of systemic harm that elude immediate political or economic recognition.

Intergenerational ethics, meanwhile, engages the moral responsibility owed to future generations. Hans Jonas’s “The Imperative of Responsibility” (1979) argues that ethical action must consider the long-term consequences of technological and environmental decisions. Similarly, Val Plumwood’s ecofeminism and Donna Haraway’s “Chthulucene” propose forms of kinship and care that de-centre the human while emphasizing planetary interconnectedness. Within literature, these frameworks are embodied through narrative empathy and symbolic reparation—by imagining futures where justice extends temporally (to future generations) and spatially (across species and ecosystems).

Environmental Justice and the Literary Imagination

Literature's power lies in its capacity to humanize data, transforming abstract ecological or political crises into experiential narratives. Environmental justice, as a literary theme, foregrounds inequality—how environmental burdens and benefits are unevenly distributed along lines of class, gender, race, and geography. The Environmental Humanities, thus, not only interpret environmental harm but interrogate its socio-political roots.

In Amitav Ghosh's *The Great Derangement* (2016), the author criticizes the modern novel's failure to represent the scale and urgency of climate change, arguing that literature must reclaim its moral function. Similarly, Richard Powers' *The Overstory* (2018) constructs a polyphonic narrative that connects human destinies to the lifecycles of trees, revealing both ecological trauma and the possibility of collective healing. Both texts embody SDG 16's ethos: peace and justice are inseparable from ecological awareness and institutional accountability.

Indigenous narratives such as Alexis Wright's *The Swan Book* (2013) and N. Scott Momaday's *House Made of Dawn* (1968) contribute another dimension—restoring cosmological justice by reclaiming Indigenous epistemologies suppressed by colonial institutions. In these narratives, peace is not a political abstraction but an ecological relationship; justice, not retribution, but balance. Such stories unsettle anthropocentrism and institutional violence by positing community, land, and spirituality as inseparable.

Institutional Critique and the Politics of Peace

Peace and justice cannot be achieved through policy alone; they require institutional introspection and cultural transformation. Literature provides a mirror and a critique of institutional decay—be it state violence, corporate exploitation, or bureaucratic apathy. Margaret Atwood's *Madd Addam* trilogy (2003–2013) dramatizes the collapse of political and ecological systems under corporate greed, envisioning dystopian futures born from present injustices. Yet, even in its bleakness, Atwood's fiction articulates an ethical call to action: the restoration of trust, empathy, and collective resilience.

In South Asian contexts, writers like Arundhati Roy link environmental destruction to political corruption. *The God of Small Things* (1997) and *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* (2017) both expose how caste, gender, and state power intertwine to perpetuate structural violence. Here, “strong institutions” are not simply bureaucratic entities but ethical infrastructures—rooted in transparency, inclusion, and ecological consciousness. Roy’s narratives align with SDG 16’s holistic vision: peace is inseparable from social justice and environmental balance.

The postcolonial environmental humanities thus foreground the historical dimensions of injustice. Environmental degradation often continues the colonial logic of extraction and displacement. As Elizabeth DeLoughrey (2019) notes, the Anthropocene must be decolonized by acknowledging that global ecological crises are unequally caused and unequally suffered. Literature performs this decolonial task by recovering silenced voices and envisioning plural, sustainable futures.

Intergenerational Ethics and the Crisis of Care

A critical strand in contemporary Environmental Humanities is the ethics of care, particularly in relation to intergenerational equity. Climate fiction, for instance, often explores the tension between short-term survival and long-term responsibility. In Charlotte Mc Conaghy’s *Migrations* (2020), the protagonist’s journey across dying oceans becomes a metaphor for mourning both personal loss and planetary collapse. The novel dramatizes the intergenerational contract implicit in SDG 16: our moral duty to preserve conditions for peace, justice, and life for those who come after us.

This ethic of care is also evident in Indigenous and feminist ecologies. Vandana Shiva argues that ecological destruction mirrors patriarchal domination, and that restoring peace requires valuing nurturing, interdependence, and community-based knowledge. Similarly, Greta Gaard’s ecofeminist theory connects reproductive justice with environmental sustainability—suggesting that the same logic of exploitation governs both nature and the marginalized body.

Through these frameworks, the Environmental Humanities reveal how literature fosters “slow ethics” – a mode of moral reflection attentive to deep time, intergenerational continuity, and ecological fragility. SDG 16’s emphasis on institutional resilience resonates with this vision: strong institutions are those capable of moral imagination and long-term care.

Narrative as Ethical World-Making

The narrative imagination, according to Martha Nussbaum, is central to the cultivation of justice. By enabling readers to inhabit other lives, literature nurtures empathy—the foundation of any peaceful and just society. In the context of the Environmental Humanities, narrative functions as ethical world-making: creating spaces of hope, critique, and coexistence amid crisis.

Richard Powers’ *The Overstory* exemplifies this function by constructing a narrative forest—a network of interdependent lives mirroring ecological systems. Similarly, Amitav Ghosh’s *Gun Island* (2019) fuses myth and migration to depict climate change as both ecological and spiritual dislocation. In both, storytelling becomes an act of restitution—bridging the gap between fragmented human institutions and the planet’s continuous life-world.

The intergenerational motif—youth activists, ancestral wisdom, ecological inheritance—recurs throughout climate and justice fiction. These narratives engage SDG 16’s vision by positing that peace is not the absence of conflict but the presence of equity, empathy, and sustainability. Literature thus complements the policy framework of the SDGs by offering a moral grammar for planetary citizenship.

Conclusion

The Environmental Humanities provide a vital framework for realizing SDG 16 through cultural imagination. By intertwining ecological consciousness with social justice and institutional accountability, literature serves as both mirror and catalyst—reflecting crisis while envisioning transformation. From Ghosh’s climate essays to Atwood’s dystopias, from Wright’s Indigenous cosmologies to Mc

Conaghy's elegiac oceans, writers across the globe articulate a shared ethics of peace, care, and continuity.

In an age where political systems falter and ecological systems collapse, the task of imagining justice falls increasingly to the arts and humanities. The stories we tell shape the institutions we build and the futures we inherit. To read ethically, then, is to act responsibly; to write imaginatively is to legislate for the world. Through the lens of the Environmental Humanities, SDG 16 becomes not only a developmental goal but a literary imperative—a call to restore balance between human aspiration and planetary survival.

References:

- Atwood, Margaret. *Oryx and Crake*. Anchor Books, 2003.
- . *Madd Addam*. Nan A. Talese, 2013.
- DeLoughrey, Elizabeth. *Allegories of the Anthropocene*. Duke UP, 2019.
- Gaard, Greta. "Ecofeminism Revisited: Rejecting Essentialism and Re-Placing Species in a Material Feminist Environmentalism." *Feminist Formations*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2011, pp. 26–53.
- Ghosh, Amitav. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- . *Gun Island*. Penguin, 2019.
- Heise, Ursula K. *Imagining Extinction: The Cultural Meanings of Endangered Species*. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- Jonas, Hans. *The Imperative of Responsibility: In Search of an Ethics for the Technological Age*. University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- Mc Conaghy, Charlotte. *Migrations*. Flatiron Books, 2020.
- Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard UP, 2011.
- Nussbaum, Martha C. *Poetic Justice: The Literary Imagination and Public Life*. Beacon Press, 1995.

Plumwood, Val. *Feminism and the Mastery of Nature*. Routledge, 1993.

Roy, Arundhati. *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Penguin, 2017.

Shiva, Vandana. *Staying Alive: Women, Ecology and Development*. Zed Books, 1988.

Wright, Alexis. *The Swan Book*. Giramondo Publishing, 2013.

Caught between Tradition and Modernity: A Reading of Rabindranath's "Nastaneer"

Dr. Madhumita Roy

Abstract

In 1878, Tagore made his first trip to England at the age of seventeen. The freedom cherished by the British women motivated young Tagore to concentrate upon the confinements of Bengali women. After attending a party where British men and women mixed freely, Tagore wrote a letter condemning women's imprisonment, strictly observed in Bengal:

It is only natural that men and women should seek amusement together. Women are a part of the human race and God has created them as part of society. To consider the enjoyment of free mixing between people to be a cardinal sin, to be unsociable and to turn it into a sensational matter is not only abnormal, it is unsocial, and therefore in a sense uncivilized. Men are engrossed in all manner of amusement in the outside world, while women are like their privately owned tamed animals, chained docilely to the walls of the innermost chambers of the houses. (Tagore, *Letters* 88)

Tagore toiled hard to posit the surge of women emancipation within the cultural context of India. Consequently, his effort encountered innumerable oppositions of the orthodox upholders of rigid patriarchal norms. Even his brother Dwijendranath could not embrace Tagore's reformist ideals. The Tagore household advocated the ideas of the emancipation of Bengali women, the necessity of female education, yet, it could not altogether sever its ties with the custom of *purdah*, the *sadar-andar* compartmentalization or the tradition of *palki*. Thus, Tagore was posited somewhere between tradition and modernity, social dictates and individual assertions, that is

to say, between social scripting and individual scripting. This paper will locate individual scripting and its tragic predicament in Tagore's short story, "The Broken Nest" ("Nastaneer", 1901)

Keywords: *Emancipation, Confinement, New Woman, Freedom*

In 1878, Tagore made his first trip to England at the age of seventeen. The freedom cherished by the British women motivated young Tagore to concentrate upon the confinements of Bengali women. After attending a party where British men and women mixed freely, Tagore wrote a letter condemning women's imprisonment, strictly observed in Bengal:

It is only natural that men and women should seek amusement together. Women are a part of the human race and God has created them as part of society. To consider the enjoyment of free mixing between people to be a cardinal sin, to be unsociable and to turn it into a sensational matter is not only abnormal, it is unsocial, and therefore in a sense uncivilized. Men are engrossed in all manner of amusement in the outside world, while women are like their privately owned tamed animals, chained docilely to the walls of the innermost chambers of the houses. (Tagore, *Letters* 88)

Tagore toiled hard to posit the surge of women emancipation within the cultural context of India. Consequently, his effort encountered innumerable oppositions of the orthodox upholders of rigid patriarchal norms. Even his brother Dwijendranath could not embrace Tagore's reformist ideals. The Tagore household advocated the ideas of the emancipation of Bengali women, the necessity of female education, yet, it could not altogether sever its ties with the custom of **pardah**, the *sadar-andar* compartmentalization or the tradition of *palki*. Thus, Tagore was posited somewhere between tradition and modernity, social dictates and individual assertions, that is to say, between social scripting and individual scripting. This paper will locate individual scripting and its tragic predicament in Tagore's short story, "The Broken Nest" ("Nastaneer", 1901) The need to minimize the plight of women was felt by a number of intellectuals like Kesab Sen, Derozio, Ishwar

Chandra Vidyasagar, Raja Dakshinaranjan Mukhopadhyay, Ramgopal Ghosh, Madan Mohan Tarkalankar. This initial stir in the thought of a plethora of intellectuals accelerated the advent of liberty to enlighten the domain of female existence. Despite tremendous opposition, the Bethune School was established in 1849.

The realm of female education was dominated by two schools of ideas. While Kesab Chandra Sen and his supporters introduced an education system with divinity as its fountainhead, Shibnath Shastri voted for a secular mode of learning. His interactive sessions with his students like Radharani Lahiri, Rajlakshmi Sen, Saudamini Khastagir aggravated their suppressed interests in science and, therefore, facilitated their pursuit of science in the academia.

The other witness of the climactic shift in women's temperament, their gradual acceptance of the varied strands of the emerging discourses of modernity and their implied desire to explore the realm of creativity was the journal *Bamabodhini*. Thus, unlike the conservative mothers, the mother in the article *Kanyar PratiMatar Upadesh*, published in 1865 in the *Bamabodhini*, voices something unusual. Illuminating the positive aspects of education, the mother tells her daughter: "Thanks to the mercy of the Lord, you are born in very beautiful times. You see the spread of knowledge everywhere these days... so far, ignorant and cruel men had deprived them (women) from such a rare and pleasurable gem, that is education..." (qtd. In Dasgupta 122). Apart from *Bamabodhini*, a host of literary journals allotted space for proper nurturing of women's creativity. Besides contributing articles, women also tried their hands in editing magazines. Tagore's sister-in-law Jnanadanandini Devi collected all the young children and brought out a magazine called *Balak*. She was also the editor of the magazine. Between 1875 and 1900, at least, twenty-six women editors appeared. The first woman's magazine with Thakamoni Dasi as the editor came out in 1875. About two years later, *Bharati* was brought by the Tagore family. Swanakumari Devi took up the responsibility of editing the magazine after Kadambari Devi's premature demise. Swarnakumari enjoyed almost eleven years as an

editor. Later, her tie with the magazine was severed by her physical ailments. Her daughters Sarala and Hiranmayi, then, took up the charge. *Bharati* bears testimony to the literary acumen of Barnakumari, Maharshi's youngest daughter, Jnanada Devi, Swarnakumari herself. Protiva and Indira, Maharshi's granddaughters also jointly edited *Ananda Sangeet* which was published for eight years.

Literary women have left their mark upon the path of reminiscences too. The urge to unearth the lost days has instigated many to inscribe autobiographies and memoirs. It is this genre of autobiographical writing that has facilitated the self-assertions of both educated and scarcely literate women. While the memoirs of Jnanadanandini Devi (*Puratani*), Indira Devi (*Smriti Samput*, *Jeevan Katha*) probe deeper into the lifestyle, customs and traditions of the Tagore household, those of Rassundari Devi (*Amar Jiban*), Saralabala Devi (*Smritikatha*), Sudakshina Devi (*Jibansmriti*) recount their depravity amidst social inhibitions as well as their solitary endeavor to transcend all impediments. Published in 1876, Rassundari's *Amar Jiban*, the first existing Bengali woman's autobiography, echoes the indomitable spirit of this rural lady. Her yearning to taste the flavor of *Chaitanya Bhagabat* stimulated her to go through the challenging process of self-education. Her memoir encompasses her concealed effort to educate herself. Lamenting the exclusion of women from the realms of education during her girlhood, Rassundari writes, "older women used to show a great deal of displeasure if they saw a piece of paper in the hands of a woman. So that ruled out my chances of getting any education. But somehow I could not accept this. I was very keen to learn the alphabet" (Chatterjee 361). Thus society's reproach could not curb the spirit of Rassundari, Rokeya, Kusumkumari Das or Mankumari Basu. The more it tended to sketch their lives, the more they deviated from its pre-determined norms, scripting their own existence, abnegating all hindrances.

While the radiant countenance of the 'New Woman' bedecked the appearance of Rokeya, Sunity Deves⁸, Abala Basu, Swarnakumari, Kadambari or Indira, the *Prachina* (Conservative Woman) served as an anti-polar contrast

to the emerging *Navina* (New Woman). Thus, the literate *Prachina* like Hemnalini Basu, Hemanta Kumari Devi or Monica Roy reiterated the same patriarchal admonitions in their articles like *Narir Kartavya*, *Streer Kartavya*, *Banga Badhu* and so on. Tagore's *Nabinas*, however, script their own text and such scripting foregrounds their muted rebuke at their conservative counterparts. The story, explored here, deals with the scripting of the *Navina* posited as stark contrasts to the feeble spirited *Prachina*. Charu in *The Broken Nest* imbibes a certain assertiveness that sharply distinguishes her from the *Prachina*, Manda.

The Broken Home depicts the trial and tribulations of Charulata, the woman who seeks to inscribe a tale of her own desire. Her husband, Bhupati's engrossment in the affairs of his newspaper leaves Charu alone. The involvements that could efface her desolation- *antahpur* (inner realm of the house) that had its own cultural life and a child- are denied to Charu. Her nuclear family only houses herself and her husband. Charu's loneliness is echoed in the narrator's assertion: "In her opulent home Charulata had nothing to do, neither did she lack for anything. As a consequence all she did was to blossom into full bloom, with no effort and apparently to no purpose, just as a flower does automatically regardless of whether anyone admires it or not" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 4). Thus Charu remains unobserved, unexplored. But Charulata ('Charulata', in Bengali, refers to well-trimmed climber) cannot prosper without support. The hidden talents in her only find expression with Amal's assistance. Amal, Bhupati's cousin, arrives to acquire a nook in this nuclear household. Soon, he finds a secured realm in Charu's camaraderie. Amal begins to accompany Charu in her literary pursuits but in return pesters her with his unreasonable demands. Charu, however, senses Amal's dependence on her and begins to cherish his frequent naggings: "*Bouthan*, a college mate of mine... comes to class showing off a pair of hard-knitted carpet boots...I want similar carpet boots for me" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 5). Soon this dependence paves the way for a companionship- one that flourishes secretly along with their secret plans to design a garden in the backyard of their house. The garden becomes symbolic of freedom, a space

devoid of all inhibitions. The location in the backyard hints at the unobserved space of camaraderie, shared by Charu and Amal. Unfortunately, their elegant scheme surpasses the proposed estimate. As a result, the garden remains their exclusive dream project. Like their undefined relationship, the garden, too, never attains its desired mould.

Charu-Amal's exclusive realm, however, develops encompassing their shared interests in literature. Neither Bhupati nor the conservative Manda finds opportunity to peep in. Charu, herself, begins to enjoy this exclusive domain inhabited by Amal, the writer and Charu, the reader. Thus, whenever Manda attempts her intrusion into Charu-Amal's privacy, their joint endeavor manages to thwart her effort and each successful venture meets its celebration in their laughter. However, the realization of such exclusivity only occupies Charu. Amal appears to be less enthusiastic in preserving the privacy. Therefore, the initial violation of the much desired privacy comes from Amal himself. He proposes to publish his articles in a monthly magazine, *Saroruha*. With the publication of his articles, the only testimonial of their budding relationship is publicized resulting in a complete disruption of the earlier, carefully maintained privacy. Now, even the incompetent Manda succeeds to secure her place as an admirer of Amal's writings. With Manda's display of the possibility of an alternative space of her companionship with Amal, Charu's scripting of her relationship with Amal suffers interruption. The narrator probes deeper into her mindscape: "The root of her unhappiness stemmed from her belief that Amal's writings were something that just belonged to the two of them, something that were entirely in their private domain... Privacy was its main merit, and it was this privacy that had been breached with Amal's writings now being available to many to read and appreciate. She felt aggrieved, but could not understand why should be so affected" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 12-13).

Charu's first endeavor *Shravaner Megh* (Clouds in *Shravan*) reflects her dependence on Amal's rhetoric. She, herself, discovers that her article resembles Amal's *Ashadher Chand* (The Moon in *Ashadh*). But Charu resolves to tread her path

alone. Her independent scribbling takes recourse to the genre of memoir. Charu's autobiography, *Kalitala*, unintentionally reflects her anxiety, an uncanny feeling that one experiences on entering into the premises of forbidden desire. The forbidden desire not only encompasses the realm of Charu-Amal's unusual friendship but it also hints at Charu's attempt at independent scripting. However, contrary to the expected response of the then patriarchal society, *Biswabandhu* bestows high praise on Charu. The recognition carves a separate niche for her literary self but Charu loses Amal's companionship forever. Her emerging self as a writer is compelled to endure her mentor's nonchalance. It is true that unlike the rigid upholders of patriarchal norms, Amal acknowledges the necessity of female education and even toils harder to explore Charu's aesthetic qualities. Yet, at the same time he cherishes her dependence upon him. Thus, the moment Charu begins to taste her freedom, Amal turns his face away from her and seeks an uncritical readership in Manda. Unlike Charu, Manda lacks the ability to script her own text. With her uncouth narration, she only continues to provide necessary materials for Amal's writings. While Manda continues to preside over the domain of passivity, her passivity ensures her position as Amal's reader. Probably, Charu could anticipate the bitter consequence of her empowerment. Therefore, for some uncanny reasons, a feeling of guilt continues to prick her conscience. "The first acknowledged praise in print for her writing, though most agreeable, caused her some unease. Somehow she did not feel elated, and the seductive cup of heady accolade kept slipping from her lips" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 26). Now the question remains as to what may be the cause of her uneasiness. Does it indicate Charu's passive approval of male supremacy? Or, is it a prologue to her desperate endeavor to defend her scripting – the scripting of her relationship with Amal, one which acquires more importance than her literary scripting. Charu, immediately, retreats and cocoons herself again under Amal's influence. The narrator marks, "In imitation of Amal she already had planned an essay...By now Charu had grasped the truth that Amal did not quite approve of her own style of writing" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 34). But such an attempt, too,

fails to sustain the severe blow of predicament. With Amal's conscious decision to set sail abroad after marriage, Charu's scripting of her tale of desire meets its demise. Amal's parting words attempt to consolidate Charu's role as a wife, fully dedicated to her husband. Thus, Amal's admonition only sounds as another patriarchal strategy to include women in rigid stereotypical roles. Amal's departure forces Charu to ruminate her desertion. Even much considerate Bhupati fails to comprehend her throes. Although, he attempts to read her wife's subtle scripting of her desire in a different way, his resolution to embark upon a new project in Mysore leaving Charu behind, in a way, clarifies his contribution in curbing Charu's independence too. By denying any possibility of Charu's escape from "a house that was ablaze with the agony of her separation with Amal", Bhupati attempts to determine her future, forlorn and grief-stricken. Here, too, an enigma remains as to upon whose assertion the narrator showers prominence (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 56). Is it really Bhupati who designs Charu's destiny? Or, is Charu herself the arbiter of her own fate? Tagore's implied support is perceived in Charu's final assertion. Thus, Bhupati finally softens and asks Charu to accompany him and the author furnishes Charu with the ultimate opportunity of self-assertion. A note of protest bedecks the story's climactic moment that resounds with Charu's mild assertion: "No, let it be" (Tagore, *Three Novellas* 57).

References:

DasguptaSanjukta. "Three Modern Women: Tagore's Transgressive Texts". *TagoreAnd Modernity*. Ed. Krishna Sen and TapatiGuha. Kolkata: Dasgupta and Co. Pvt. Ltd., 2006. Print.

Chatterjee, Enakshi. trans. Amar Jiban. By Rassundari Devi in *Purdah, An Anthology*. Ed. Eunice de Souza. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2004. Print.

Tagore, Rabindranath. *Letters from a Sojourner in Europe*. Trans. Manjari Chakravarty. Kolkata: Visva-Bharati, 2008. Print.

Tagore, Rabindranath, *Three Novellas: Nashtanir, Duibon, Malancha*. Trans. Sukhendu Ray. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2010. Print.

Deconstructing the Male Gaze: A Critical Study of Female Perspective in Theory and Practice

Shreyoshi Dhar

Abstract

The idea of the “male gaze” was initially conceived in the context of the media, but later found its way into social, literary and cultural criticism. In the media, women are portrayed as objects of visual pleasure, with an emphasis on their physical attractiveness and portraying them from a heterosexual male perspective. As a result, women often become passive subjects for male consumption, reinforcing gender stereotypes and inequality in representation. Based on the same theory, the concept of the “female gaze” was developed, which, when applied to media and literary contexts, focuses on the representation of men and women through a lens that foregrounds subjectivity, desire, and female agency. It portrays male characters with emotional depth and explores themes of intimacy and relationships from a perspective that resonates with women’s experiences. In contrast to the male gaze, which objectifies and commodifies women, the female gaze seeks to humanize and celebrate different expressions of masculinity while challenging traditional gender roles and stereotypes. Through nuanced storytelling and character development, the female gaze aims to empower and validate women’s perspectives in cultural narratives. This article demonstrates the theory of the “female gaze” and its relevance in the modern world.

Keywords: *cultural criticism, female agency, female gaze, gender roles, male gaze*

The concept of the ‘male gaze’ coined by feminist film theorist Laura Mulvey in 1975 has since become a crucial framework for analyzing visual media and understanding power dynamics in society. At its core, the male gaze refers to the

way visual art, literature, and media portray the entire world and women from a masculine, heterosexual perspective, objectifying and sexualizing them for the pleasure of male viewers or readers. The term was first used in the context of cinema and the interpretation of the cinematic world. In film, the male gaze is often manifested through several key elements, the first of which is the perspective of the camera. The camera tends to adopt a perspective that suits a heterosexual male viewer. It draws the public's attention to the body of the female characters and, in addition, it is observed that attention is focused more frequently on certain parts of the body, thus highlighting their physical charm. The second element is characterization. Female characters are often portrayed as objects of desire or as passive objects to be looked at, rather than active agents with their own motivations and desires. They may lack complexity and function primarily to serve the narrative or support the plot. Then comes the narrative function. In the media, women often act as catalysts for the development of male protagonists or are seen as rewards for their achievements. Their autonomy and agency are often secondary to their role in promoting male-driven conspiracies. Sexualization and objectification are the final points to consider, considering that women are often portrayed in a sexualized manner, in a way that highlights their physical beauty and conforms to traditional standards of attractiveness defined by the male gaze. This reinforces social norms about femininity and perpetuates unrealistic expectations about what women should look like.

The effects of the male gaze extend beyond media portrayals and influence social attitudes and behaviors. It perpetuates gender inequality by reinforcing stereotypes and normalizing the objectification of women. When women are constantly portrayed as objects of male desire rather than fully realized individuals, it reinforces the idea that their value lies primarily in their physical appearance and their ability to please men. Critics of the male gaze claim that it not only marginalizes women, but also limits the representation of diverse identities and experiences. By prioritizing the heterosexual male perspective, the media often excludes or misrepresents LGBTQ+ people, people of color, and other marginalized

groups. However, contemporary discourse recognizes that the male gaze is not a monolithic phenomenon. Intersectional feminism has expanded criticism to examine how race, class, sexual orientation, and other factors intersect with gender and influence representation and power dynamics in the media.

Efforts to challenge and subvert the male gaze include creating media that offers alternative perspectives, features complex and layered female characters, and promotes diversity both in front of and behind the camera. Filmmakers, writers and artists are increasingly exploring narratives that empower women, celebrate diverse bodies and identities, and challenge traditional notions of beauty and desire. While the male gaze continues to influence media and cultural representations, it is increasingly examined and questioned in contemporary discourse. By acknowledging their impact and advocating for more inclusive and equitable representation, we can work toward media that reflects and respects the diversity of human experiences and identities.

The concept of the female gaze similarly proves to be a counterpoint to the male gaze, which is widely discussed in feminist film theory and broader cultural criticism. Coined in response to Laura Mulvey's influential work on the male gaze in films, the female gaze seeks to redirect narrative and visual representation towards a perspective that foregrounds female subjectivity, agency and desire. This concept has evolved beyond film theory to encompass various forms of media and cultural expression, challenging traditional norms and offering new insights into gender dynamics and representation.

The term "female gaze" gained prominence in the 1980s and 1990s as feminist scholars and critics sought to expand Mulvey's theories. While the male gaze often portrays women as objects of male desire and voyeurism, the female gaze attempts to portray men and women from a female perspective. The focus is on themes of empowerment, emotional depth and relationship dynamics that resonate with women's experiences. In contrast to the male gaze, which often objectifies and commodifies female bodies, the female gaze aims to humanize and celebrate the diversity of

women's experiences, desires, and relationships. It challenges traditional stereotypes and offers alternative narratives that reflect women's agency and autonomy. Agnes Varda, known as the queen of the New Wave of French cinema, expressed the concept well when she said:

The first feminist gesture is to say: "OK, they're looking at me. But I'm looking at them." The act of deciding to look, of deciding that the world is not defined by how people see me, but how I see them. (Varda)

The most important point of discussion in the context of the female gaze is subjectivity and agency. The female gaze focuses on the subjective experiences and agency of the female characters. It portrays women as active participants in their own stories with complex motivations, desires, and struggles. Female characters are not simply objects of desire, but are portrayed with emotional depth and complex identities. Therefore, the focus is on reversing the power dynamics. Unlike the male gaze, which often positions men as dominant observers and women as passive objects of observation, the female gaze can reverse or undermine this power dynamic. It challenges traditional gender roles and representations by portraying men through a lens that emphasizes vulnerability, sensitivity and emotional intimacy.

However, the term "female gaze" can be interpreted as a means of depicting female desire. The female gaze recognizes and celebrates female desire in its various forms. One can explore eroticism, intimacy and emotional connection from a perspective that reflects women's fantasies and experiences. This includes portraying male bodies in a way that is respectful, sensual, and concerned with consent and mutual pleasure. In addition, the term has gained importance as a criticism of reification. While the male gaze tends to objectify and sexualize women's bodies for the pleasure of male viewers, the female gaze criticizes and undermines this objectification. It encourages viewers to empathize with female characters as individuals with agency and autonomy, rather than reducing them to passive objects of visual consumption.

In literature, the female gaze is evident in narratives that foreground women's perspectives, experiences, and relationships. Authors often challenge conventional narrative structures and characterizations by offering nuanced depictions of female protagonists and their interactions with others. Novels, poems, and memoirs written from a woman's perspective explore themes of identity, sexuality, motherhood, and social justice through a lens that reflects the lived realities and desires of women. The female gaze in literary texts includes narratives that foreground women's perspectives, desires, and experiences and challenge traditional patriarchal norms and representations. In contrast to the male gaze, which often objectifies women and reduces them to passive objects of desire, the female gaze explores female subjectivity and agency. The female gaze is evident in works in which authors subvert stereotypes and offer nuanced representations of female characters. Authors such as Virginia Woolf, Toni Morrison and Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, among others, use the female gaze to examine topics such as identity, relationships, sexuality, and social expectations from a clearly feminine perspective.

Mrs. Dalloway, for example, offers an intimate account of a day in the life of Clarissa Dalloway, exploring her inner thoughts and feelings as she grapples with societal expectations and personal reflections. Meanwhile, Morrison's *Beloved* explores themes of trauma and motherhood from the perspective of Sethe, a former slave haunted by her past. Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* and *Americanah* offer perspectives on Nigerian and Nigerian-American women, respectively, challenging stereotypes and offering complex representations of identity and belonging.

These authors use their narratives to critique and challenge patriarchal structures, offering readers insights into the complexities of women's lives and relationships. By centering female experiences and perspectives, literary texts that utilize the female gaze contribute to broader conversations about gender equality, representation, and the diverse experiences of women in different cultures and contexts.

In film and television, too, the female gaze is increasingly evident in works directed by women and in works that consciously undermine traditional gender norms. Directors such as Jane Campion, Sofia Coppola and Ava DuVernay have been celebrated for their portrayals of female characters that challenge stereotypes and explore themes of empowerment, agency and resilience. These works often prioritize intimate storytelling, character development, and emotional authenticity over spectacle and sensationalism.

In the visual arts, too, the female gaze challenges traditional representations of the female body and sexuality. Artists use photography, painting, sculpture, and performance art to reclaim and redefine the female form, exploring themes of identity, beauty, and autonomy. As such, her works often question societal expectations and celebrate various expressions of femininity and desire.

In the digital age, the female gaze finds expression through social media platforms, blogs, podcasts, and online communities. Creative women use these media to share personal narratives, challenge stereotypes, and advocate for social change. Online activism and feminist discourse amplify women's voices and perspectives and promote dialogue and solidarity around issues of gender equality, representation and empowerment.

While the concept of the female gaze offers a valuable critique of patriarchal norms and representations, it is not without criticism and challenges; Intersectionality is a very important topic in this context. Critics argue that the concept of the female gaze should be more inclusive of different identities and experiences. Intersectional feminism emphasizes the interconnection of gender with race, class, sexuality, and other social factors, underscoring the need for representations that reflect the complexities of women's lives. The second challenge in this regard is commercial limitations. In mainstream media, commercial pressures often prioritize profits over progressive representations of gender and sexuality. Although the female gaze is increasingly recognized in independent and niche productions, mainstream media continues to be dominated by narratives that cater to traditional gender norms and

audience expectations. Another important criticism that has been observed in this context is reaction and resistance. The rise of the female gaze has provoked reactions and resistance from those who perceive it as a threat to established norms and identities. Critics argue that efforts to challenge the male gaze can reinforce essentialist ideas about gender and limit the diversity of perspectives and experiences in feminist discourse.

Looking ahead, the concept of the female gaze will continue to evolve and expand as feminist scholars, artists, and activists explore new ways of representing and interpreting gender in media and culture. Key directions for future research and practice include: intersectional approaches, emphasizing intersectionality in discussions of the female gaze to ensure inclusion and representation of diverse identities and experiences; media activism, which, when used with digital platforms, amplifies marginalized voices, challenges stereotypes, and advocates for social change; and education and promotion, i.e. the incorporation of the study of the female gaze into educational curricula and advocating efforts to promote critical media literacy and empower future generations of creators and consumers.

In summary, the concept of the female gaze provides a compelling framework for understanding and challenging traditional representations of gender and sexuality in media and culture. By centering to women's perspectives, agency, and desires, the female gaze promotes critical reflection, artistic innovation, and social transformation. As we continue to navigate evolving cultural landscapes, the female gaze serves as a powerful tool to advance feminist principles and promote gender equality in all areas of society.

References:

Mandy, Marie, director. *Filming Desire: A Journey Through Women's Film*. France, 2000, <https://www.wmm.com/catalog/film/filming-desire/>. Accessed 21 July, 2024.

“Those Who Stay and Those Who Leave”: Diasporic Identity and the Making of Woman Warriors in Bharati Mukherjee’s Fiction

Dr. Tirna Sadhu & Moumita Bera

Abstract

Bharati Mukherjee’s novels *Jasmine*, *The Tiger’s Daughter*, and *Desirable Daughters* vividly examine the divide between “those who stay and those who leave,” a motif that underscores the struggles and resilience of her female protagonists. These women emerge as “warriors” who negotiate identity, belonging, and transformation within the fraught terrain of migration and cultural dislocation. In *Jasmine*, the protagonist undergoes multiple reinventions, embodying the immigrant woman’s capacity for survival and renewal in an alien land. *The Tiger’s Daughter* captures Tara’s disorientation upon returning to a homeland that feels estranged, highlighting the ambivalence of diasporic belonging. Meanwhile, *Desirable Daughters* presents three sisters—Padma, Parvati, and Tara—whose divergent paths dramatize the intersections of tradition, modernity, and global identity. Across these texts, Mukherjee portrays displacement not merely as rupture but as a catalyst for agency, resilience, and empowerment, framing immigrant women as active participants in their own narratives of survival and self-discovery.

Key words: *Autonomy, Identity, Self-discovery, Empowerment, Resilience, Cultural assimilation, Immigrant experience*

Introduction

Bharati Mukherjee’s own trajectory—from her birth in colonial India, through her alienating years in Canada, to her eventual embrace of American identity—mirrors the central theme of her fiction: “the world is divided between those who stay and those who leave.” Her years in Canada were marked by systemic discrimination and exclusion as part of the

“visible minority,” a period she described as claustrophobic and resistant to cultural assimilation. This hostility propelled her to the United States in 1980, where she found acceptance as a permanent resident and intellectual recognition within the American academy. Mukherjee’s literary project, which she herself termed “new immigrant literature,” reflects this transformative journey from rejection to belonging.

Her fiction channels these lived experiences into narratives of women who, like her, must navigate the fraught terrain of migration, identity, and belonging. The female protagonists in her novels embody the paradox of exile: rooted in tradition yet compelled to reinvent themselves in new cultural landscapes. They are caught between the binaries of staying and leaving, assimilation and resistance, vulnerability and empowerment. By dramatizing these tensions, Mukherjee not only interrogates the costs of migration but also illuminates the possibilities of self-fashioning and renewal for women who become, in their own right, warriors of survival and transformation.

Literature review

Bharati Mukherjee is often discussed within the framework of diaspora literature, where scholars have highlighted her focus on the challenges and transformations faced by immigrants, particularly women. In texts such as *Jasmine* and *The Tiger’s Daughter*, Mukherjee delves into the lives of women who navigate the complexities of leaving their homeland and adapting to a new cultural landscape. These protagonists, often referred to as ‘woman warriors,’ embody the struggles of those who leave their familiar surroundings and confront the realities of life in a foreign land. Critics like Inderpal Grewal (1993) and Gita Rajan (1997) have noted how Mukherjee’s characters undergo significant identity shifts, often breaking away from traditional roles and embracing new, often hybrid, identities in their adopted countries.

Mukherjee’s works frequently explore the binary opposition between staying and leaving, a theme central to the lives of her protagonists. The decision to stay in a restrictive, often hostile environment or to leave in search of freedom and self-

fulfillment is a recurring motif in her narratives. Scholars have analyzed this theme in the context of post-colonialism and feminist theory, noting how Mukherjee's women characters challenge patriarchal and colonial structures by choosing to leave and forge new paths. For instance, in *The Middleman and Other Stories*, the stories of immigrant women highlight the psychological and emotional toll of migration, yet also celebrate the resilience and strength of those who choose to leave. According to Rinalini Sood (2001), Mukherjee's portrayal of these women underscores the notion that leaving is not just a physical act but a metaphorical one, representing the breaking of societal chains and the pursuit of autonomy.

Mukherjee's critique of cultural assimilation, particularly in her reflections on Canada, is a critical aspect of her literary oeuvre. Scholars such as Fakrul Alam (1996) and Vijay Mishra (2007) have explored how Mukherjee's experiences in Canada—marked by discrimination and cultural alienation— influence her depiction of immigrant women in her fiction. These women, often caught between the desire to assimilate and the need to retain their cultural identity, navigate a precarious existence. In her works, Mukherjee frequently portrays Canada as a space of exclusion, where immigrants are expected to conform to rigid cultural norms, in contrast to the more inclusive American context where her characters find a sense of belonging. This dichotomy is evident in the choices her women characters make, often opting to leave environments where cultural assimilation is synonymous with erasure of identity.

Bharati Mukherjee's contribution to what she calls 'new immigrant literature' is a significant aspect of her literary legacy. This body of work, which includes narratives centered on the experiences of immigrant women, challenges the traditional tropes of victimhood often associated with immigrant literature. Instead, Mukherjee presents her protagonists as 'woman warriors'—strong, adaptive, and resourceful individuals who actively shape their destinies in foreign lands. Scholars like Sheila J. Gergen (2003) and Roshni Rustomji-Kerns (2009) have praised Mukherjee for her ability to capture the nuanced experiences of these

women, highlighting their agency in the face of adversity. This literature underscores the duality of the immigrant experience—the simultaneous struggle and celebration of forging a new identity in a new world.

The intersection of feminism and post-colonialism in Mukherjee's work is another area of scholarly interest. Her portrayal of immigrant women is often seen as a critique of both patriarchal structures and the colonial legacy. Mukherjee's characters, especially in novels like *Wife and Desirable Daughters*, resist the traditional expectations imposed on them by both their native and adopted cultures. Critics have noted how Mukherjee's writing offers a feminist reimagining of the immigrant narrative, where the act of leaving becomes an assertion of selfhood and resistance against oppression. According to Susan Koshy (1998), Mukherjee's work challenges the notion of the passive immigrant woman, instead offering a portrayal of women who are active agents in their own liberation.

Methodology

This research paper employs a qualitative approach to analyze the portrayal of "woman warriors" in selected texts of Bharati Mukherjee. The methodology is designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of how Mukherjee constructs her female protagonists as agents of change, resistance, and identity formation in the context of migration and displacement. This research involves the careful selection of Mukherjee's texts that best exemplify the theme of staying versus leaving. The primary texts selected for analysis include: *Jasmine*, *The Tiger's Daughter*, and *Desirable Daughters*. These works are chosen because they prominently feature female protagonists who grapple with the challenges of migration, cultural assimilation, and identity formation.

The core of the methodology is a close reading and literary analysis of the selected texts. This involves a detailed examination of the narratives, character development, themes, and symbols related to the concepts of staying and leaving. The analysis is guided by the following research questions: How does Bharati Mukherjee depict the decision to

stay or leave as a pivotal moment in the lives of her female protagonists? In what ways do the “woman warriors” in Mukherjee’s texts challenge or conform to traditional gender roles within the context of migration? How does the theme of staying versus leaving contribute to the identity formation of the protagonists in Mukherjee’s work? The analysis will focus on characterization, themes and motifs and narrative structure.

In addition to literary analysis, this research incorporates contextual analysis to situate Mukherjee’s work within broader socio-cultural and historical frameworks. This involves applying post-colonial theory to understand how Mukherjee’s texts address the legacy of colonialism and its impact on identity, migration, and cultural assimilation, utilizes feminist theory to explore how Mukherjee’s portrayal of “woman warriors” challenges traditional gender roles and patriarchal structures and draws on diaspora studies to analyze how Mukherjee’s texts reflect the experiences of displacement, belonging, and identity negotiation among immigrant women.

To complement the textual analysis, this research will review interviews with Bharati Mukherjee and critical essays about her work. Mukherjee’s own reflections on her life experiences, particularly her time in Canada and the United States, will provide valuable insights into her portrayal of immigrant women.

Discussion and findings

In Bharati Mukherjee’s debut novel, *The Tiger’s Daughter*, the protagonist Tara Banerjee returns to India after spending seven years in America. The story draws heavily from Mukherjee’s own experiences and those of her sisters who had also gone to study in America. Upon arriving at the Bombay airport, Tara feels uneasy around her relatives. Her Bombay family struggles to accept her because she is unaccompanied by her husband, David. According to traditional Indian customs, a woman is expected to be led and protected by a man. In many parts of India, it is still uncommon for a woman to travel, live, or move alone. Indian tradition also dictates that marriages

should occur within the same caste, and those who marry outside of their caste are often viewed as outcasts or sinners. However, Tara defies these conventions by marrying a foreign man who is Jewish, completely disregarding her caste and religious background. Mukherjee subtly critiques the conservative attitudes of Indians who, despite their obsession with foreign goods and fashion, still resist the idea of marrying foreigners. Tara feels alienated even in the presence of her mother, leading to inner turmoil. Ultimately, this sense of alienation drives her to return to the United States. Thus, the theme of alienation is central to Tara's experience in Mukherjee's first novel.

In Bharati Mukherjee's first novel, *The Tiger's Daughter*, the protagonist, Tara Banerjee Cartwright, mirrors Mukherjee's own background as an upper-class Bengali Brahmin from Calcutta. The novel opens in 1879, depicting the opulent wedding of Hari Lal Banerjee's daughters. Hari Lal, Tara's great-grandfather, is a landowner in Pachapara, and the scene captures the grandeur and deep-rooted stability of the Banerjee family. However, Mukherjee subtly foreshadows the turbulent future that lies ahead, noting that even a wise man like Hari Lal could not have foreseen the upheavals awaiting India, including partition, social unrest, and the rise of labour movements.

The novel underscores postcolonial themes from the outset. Tara, who is pursuing a master's degree in America, much like Mukherjee herself, reflects on her initial experiences abroad. Despite her upbringing as a Bengali Brahmin, the great-granddaughter of Hari Lal Banerjee, and the disciplined education she received from the nuns at St. Blaise's, she nearly succumbed to homesickness during her first week in America. By the end of her first year, as her classmates prepared to return home for the summer, Tara suffered a nervous breakdown characterized by fainting spells, headaches, and nightmares. Her letters home to her mother, filled with complaints of homesickness, prompted prayers to Kali for Tara's well-being, highlighting the severe impact of cultural dislocation on her. Mukherjee intricately weaves together broader political issues of colonialism with Tara's personal

feelings of ambivalence toward emigration and her diasporic experience. The Banerjee family's fortunes, once secure, have been shattered by history, a reality that is reflected in the personal struggles of Tara, one of its descendants. Even after marrying and living in America, Tara feels out of place and deeply homesick. She clings to her Indian identity by engaging in small, symbolic acts, such as ironing and hanging her silk scarves to give her apartment a more "Indian" feel. She also cooks curries so intensely spiced that her husband, David, protests. These actions represent her attempt to resist complete assimilation and to maintain a connection with her native culture.

Communication with David about her background and life in Calcutta proves difficult for Tara. He asks naive questions about Indian culture, and while she places great value on family—a core aspect of Indian life—David perceives her reliance on family as excessive. Both her husband's inability to understand her and the broader American culture contribute to her sense of alienation. For Tara, Madison Square is unbearable, and she sees her husband as a foreigner, underscoring her disconnection from both her new life and her past. Critics like Feroza Jussawalla have observed that South Asian immigrants in the United States often struggle more than other groups to balance belonging to the majority culture while maintaining their identities. Their reception is mixed, largely because of their reluctance or inability to fully integrate. Tara feels pressured to assimilate completely, faced with the choice of either rejecting her past or never fully becoming American.

After seven years in America, Tara returns to Calcutta with high hopes of closing the gap of cultural alienation she has experienced. However, she is shocked by the reality she encounters. The India she returns to is marked by poverty and decay, far from the idealized vision she had held. The sight of "shrivelled women," "a colony of beggars," and "walls patterned with rust and mould" leaves her disillusioned. In her letters to David, Tara avoids articulating her feelings of alienation, knowing that to do so would be to acknowledge her sense of dispossession. David, on the other hand, expects

Tara to speak out against the injustices she witnesses, such as unemployment, hunger, and bribery. But Tara, feeling helpless, believes that fighting for justice is futile and that it is better to remain passive and endure whatever comes.

Tara's experience reveals that she is now too American to feel at home in India, even though she is also too Indian to fully belong in America. The narrative of Hari Lal at the beginning of the novel foreshadows Tara's eventual realization that she has become estranged from both cultures. Her dream of India, shaped by memories and stories, is shattered by the harsh realities of postcolonial India, a country grappling with the legacies of British rule, partition, and independence. Mukherjee also touches on the broader process of decolonization, which involves not only the rejection of colonial rule but also the abandonment of colonial ideologies and ways of thinking. This struggle is reflected in Tara's attempts to resist full assimilation into American culture while simultaneously longing for the Bengal of her great-grandfather. Similarly, other characters in the novel, such as Dev and Adit, engage in a self-aware parody of "Englishness" and "Indianness," highlighting the complexities of postcolonial identity.

Tara's return to Calcutta intensifies her sense of cultural alienation. Her interactions with family and friends remind her of how much she has changed. She realizes that she has forgotten much of the language she once used with her friends in Indian-English, and she finds herself uncertain in performing religious rituals with her mother. This forgetting is not just a loss of vocabulary or religious practice; it represents a deeper, more profound loss—a "little death," as Tara describes it. Leaving India, whether intentionally or not, has led to a gradual forgetting of the customs and traditions that once anchored her identity. This act of forgetting is not just an individual experience; it is collective, influenced by social and historical forces. Maurice Halbwachs' concept of "collective memory" shows how groups rely on one another to remember and preserve shared experiences. In a postcolonial context, memory becomes a battleground against the erasure of the past, as noted by Michael Rothberg, who argues that memory is crucial in resisting empire, which often seeks

to erase histories it deems irrelevant or dangerous. Tara's act of remembering her grandfather, despite her husband's opposition to her cultural practices, can be seen as a gendered form of cultural reclamation.

However, Tara's forgetting of her language, religion, and customs can be seen as a betrayal of the group, explaining the hostility she encounters from her former friends. The dilemma in the novel revolves around the characters' need to choose where they truly belong. As Roger Kennedy suggests, the idea of home transcends physical structures and resides in the soul. Yet for Tara, her long-anticipated return to her "original home" in India forces her to confront the reality that her beliefs and preconceptions are no longer valid. Immigrants in the diaspora, like Tara, often find themselves living in an "interstitial space" between the country they left and the country where they now reside. This "grey area" becomes their "home," existing between two cultures, two ways of life, and two sets of values.

Bharati Mukherjee's third novel, *Jasmine*, is a powerful narrative of adaptation rather than defeat. It centers on Jyoti, a young girl from rural Punjab, whose life undergoes profound transformations. Her journey begins when she marries Prakash, an energetic and ambitious man. When Prakash plans to move to America, Jyoti, now renamed Jasmine, expresses her deep commitment to him by declaring, "I'll go with you, and if you leave me, I'll jump into a well." This statement reflects the traditional expectation that a wife should follow her husband's path. Enthusiastically adopting Prakash's ambitions as her own, Jasmine eagerly anticipates their new life in America, a land she views as full of opportunities. However, this dream is abruptly shattered when Prakash is murdered on the eve of his departure. Despite this tragedy, Jasmine resolves to fulfill Prakash's mission, planning to travel to America and perform "Sati," a ritual act symbolizing a widow's ultimate devotion. Once in America, Jasmine undergoes a series of transformations, adapting to her new environment with remarkable resilience. She learns to "walk and talk" like an American, seizing every opportunity to assimilate. Jasmine's evolution continues as

she adopts the name “Jase,” symbolizing her new identity in a foreign land. Her journey is marked by acts of empowerment, including avenging Prakash’s death by killing Sukhwinder, the man responsible for his murder. After this, she moves to Iowa, where she assumes yet another identity, “Jane.” Through these various personas—Jasmine, Jase, and Jane—she embodies a power comparable to the Hindu goddess Shakti, who symbolizes strength and the ability to destroy evil.

Jasmine’s story is one of breaking free from the constraints of caste, gender, and familial expectations. She learns to live for herself, not merely for her husband or children, and emerges as a survivor, a fighter, and a master of adaptation. Confronted with unfavorable circumstances, she overcomes them and builds a new life in an alien country, redefining her identity along the way.

The novel *Jasmine* can be interpreted as a feminist work, as it showcases the protagonist’s ability to balance modernity with tradition—a key aspect of feminism. Throughout the novel, Jasmine undergoes numerous transformations, each marked by a change in her name and identity. She begins as Jyoti, a village girl from Hasnapur, and after marrying Prakash, she becomes Jasmine. As an undocumented immigrant in America, she takes on the name Jase, later transforming into Jane, a Manhattan nanny, and eventually becoming Jane, a woman living in Iowa. The recurring letter “J” in her various names serves as a symbol of continuity, transformation, and feminism throughout the novel. Elizabeth Bronfen aptly notes that this “J” signifies a dialectic of progressive identity formation, where new identities emerge, overwrite existing ones, and yet do not entirely erase them. Jasmine’s evolving identity reflects her conscious and resilient self-reinvention, marked by hybridity. Unlike traditional women who passively accept their fate, Jasmine challenges and reshapes her destiny. She views the scar on her forehead not as a blemish but as her “third eye,” a symbol of insight and wisdom that guides her as she explores new worlds.

Bharati Mukherjee’s heroines, including Jasmine, are portrayed as distinct, hopeful individuals who set their own

rules. Mukherjee emphasizes that women are no longer meek, content with whatever life offers them. Instead, they are fighters, determined to achieve what they deserve. Jasmine, from her childhood, is different. She refuses to be bound by ancient beliefs and carves out her own path, overcoming every obstacle with determination. In an interview with *Bomb* magazine, Mukherjee describes characters like Jasmine as people who are breaking free from traditional worlds where their fates are predetermined by the stars. Jasmine, however, asserts her agency by declaring, "I'm going to reposition the stars," embodying the spirit of a new kind of woman who refuses to accept a predestined fate.

Desirable Daughters, Bharati Mukherjee's sixth novel, published in 2002, delves into the intricate lives of three sisters who navigate the intersections of tradition and modernity. The story portrays Tara, Parvati, and Padma, women from a distinguished Bengali Brahmin lineage, as they grapple with the expectations of their heritage while forging their own paths in a rapidly changing world. These sisters, the great-granddaughters of Jai Krishna Gangooli and the daughters of Motilal Bhattacharjee, embody both traditional values and contemporary aspirations. They represent the concept of "desirable daughters," women who would make any parent proud due to their ability to balance respect for their roots with their personal ambitions. The novel explores themes of generational values, the impact of American culture on these values, and the immigrant experience as the sisters negotiate their identities between their homeland and their adopted country. The narrative, told from the perspective of Tara, the youngest sister, takes readers on a journey through the complexities of identity and cultural adaptation. Tara, Parvati, and Padma, named after aspects of the Hindu goddess Shakti, symbolize strength and resilience. As the novel states, "Just as our mother hoped in naming us after goddesses, we have survived, even prospered." Their lives reflect a blend of tradition and modernity, each sister navigating her own unique path.

Parvati, who married an Indian man she met in college in Boston, embodies a blend of traditional values and personal

choice. She later settles in the affluent neighborhoods of Bombay, where she lives a life of comfort with servants at her disposal. Padma, the eldest, embraces a life of luxury in Bombay after marrying a man of her choosing, demonstrating how traditional roots can coexist with modern desires. Tara, however, finds herself more adrift. She appreciates her conventional upbringing but also takes pride in her ability to move forward in life, showcasing the adaptability of immigrants and their capacity to redefine themselves.

Mukherjee uses the relationships among the sisters to explore deeper themes of modernity versus tradition and the dynamics of female bonding. The sisters' interactions reveal how stories within a family are claimed, denied, and passed on, highlighting the complexity of identity even within a seemingly homogeneous sibling group. Through Tara, Parvati, and Padma, Mukherjee examines how different roles emerge within the family and the broader implications of these roles within the context of their cultural heritage. Tara, the middle sister, often communicates with her parents and sisters, yet their conversations avoid stirring up past conflicts or unresolved issues. Despite the family's outward appearance of success and normalcy, the arrival of a young man at Tara's home claiming to be Padma's son forces Tara to confront long-buried family secrets. The novel explores how these secrets and the sisters' different responses to them shape their identities and relationships.

Parvati's marriage to her Indian husband, whom she met in Boston, was initially a cause for concern within the family, reflecting the tension between tradition and personal choice. Padma, on the other hand, has distanced herself from her family, living in New York and keeping much of her life a mystery. This distance highlights the different paths the sisters have taken and the varying degrees of self-empowerment they experience. As Tara grapples with the revelations brought about by the young man's appearance, she begins to question her existence and the values she has held. Her journey to uncover the truth about her family leads her to reexamine her past in an effort to find authenticity in her present life. This exploration of identity, both personal and cultural, is central

to the novel's narrative.

In *Desirable Daughters*, Mukherjee presents a nuanced portrayal of women who are simultaneously rooted in their cultural heritage and determined to carve out their own identities. The novel's exploration of the immigrant experience, the clash between modernity and tradition, and the complexities of family relationships offers a rich and insightful look at the challenges and triumphs of navigating life between two worlds. Bharathi Mukherjee's latest novel *Desirable Daughters* is a tale of immigrants and the attitude of three sisters and their ways of dealing with situations. 'Desirable Daughters' as the title suggests, is one kind of daughter, which parents would be proud of and for whom every parent would crave.

Conclusion

The construction of female identity in Bharati Mukherjee's novels is shaped by language, memory, mythology, history, and the psychic scars of migration. Her characters occupy what Homi K. Bhabha terms a "third space" – a liminal zone where belonging is fragmented yet constantly redefined. This space reflects both the fissures of dislocation and the creative possibilities of hybridity, where women negotiate cultural contradictions and emerge with ambivalent but resilient identities.

Mukherjee's portrayal of immigrant life foregrounds the fractures and doubleness inherent in diasporic existence. Her women are neither passive victims nor seamless assimilators; instead, they embody the tensions of in-betweenness. As Bhabha's notion of the "double bind" suggests, identity in these contexts demands both fidelity to roots and the embrace of reinvention, often requiring a disjuncture between cultural artifice and lived experience. Mukherjee's fiction thus resists static definitions of home and identity, emphasizing instead the fluidity and instability that migration entails.

Rooted in her personal trajectory as an expatriate turned immigrant, Mukherjee's narratives evoke the pain of alienation alongside the exhilaration of transformation. Her fiction consistently returns to Indian traditions, rituals, and familial structures, but refracts them through the prism of

diasporic modernity. By portraying immigrant women as “warriors” navigating displacement, marginality, and global modernity, Mukherjee crafts a literature that is both deeply personal and resonant with the wider realities of globalization. Ultimately, her work asserts that the act of leaving—whether physical, cultural, or psychological—can be both disruptive and liberating, forging new forms of identity in an ever-shifting world.

References

Jasmine. New York: Grove Weidenfeld, 1989. Print.

The Tiger’s Daughter. New Delhi: Penguin Books, 1990. Print.

Mukherjee. Bharati, Desirable Daughters. New Delhi: Rupa & Co., 2003. Print.

Secondary Sources

Bill Ashcroft, Gareth Griffiths and Helen Tiffin. The Post-Colonial Studies Reader. London; New York: Routledge, 2006.

Bhattacharya, Gauri. The Indian Diaspora. New Delhi, 2009

Sangamitra.B, “A Study of Alienation and Disharmony in Bharati Mukherjee’s Jasmine”, Journal of Literature, Languages and Linguistics, ISSN 2422-8435, Vol.25, 2016.

Shah. Manisha. Fojalal, “Bharati Mukherjee’s Craftsmanship in Desirable Daughter”, Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science, ISSN(Online) 2321-9467, Volume 5, Issue 1,2017, pp. 99-101.

Bhadrunisha.Sheikh, Dr. Mohanty. Dhirendra. Kumar, “Clashes of Culture in the Novel of Bharati Mukherjee”, JETIR, ISSN -2349-5162, Volume 5, Issue 1,January 2018.

Srivastaba.Swati, “Transformation of Self in the Works of Bharati Mukherjee”, International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, ISSN 2321-2705, Vol.V, Issue.V, May 2018.

Khusboo. Nadiyah, Dr Samy. Kulanthy, "A Study of Multiculturalism in Selected Works of Jhumpa Lahiri and Bharati Mukherjee", *International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Management Studies*, ISSN NO 2395-0692, Vol. 06, No. 04, April 2020.

Dr. Jacob. Muktha. Manoj, "A Critical Analysis of the novel Jasmine", *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, ISSN 2320-2882, Vol.10, Issue. 8, August 2020.

Parveen. Seema, Prof. Khadija. Tanveer, "Multicultural Identity Crisis in Bharati Mukherjee's Novel Jasmine", *The Creative Launcher*, ISSN: 2455-6580, Vol.6, No.1, 30 April, 2021.

Kumari. Neetu, "Bharati Mukherjee's Jasmine: A quest for self-identity", *International Journal of Advanced Academic Studies*, ISSN 2706-8919, Vol.4, No.1, 2022, pp. 206-208.

Newman, Judie. "Priority Narratives: Bharati Mukherjee's Desirable Daughters."

Bharati Mukherjee: Critical Perspectives. Ed. Somdatta Mandal. New Delhi: Pencraft Books, 2010, 245-53. Print.

Kumar, Nagendra. *The Fiction of Bharati Mukherjee: A Cultural Perspective*. New Delhi: Atlantic publishers and Distributors, 2001. Print.

Questions of Identity and Belonging in Amruta Patil's *Kari*

Damini Ray and Soumyarup Bhattacharjee

While Indian print comics have experienced a steady decline since the late 1990s, graphic narratives in the form of graphic novels are increasingly being explored as a new medium for storytelling. *Kari* (2008) is deemed the first Indian queer graphic novel, written by India's first female graphic novelist, Amruta Patil. The book navigates through the many identities and roles of the eponymous protagonist, Kari - as lover, friend, boatman, storyteller, city-dweller, and a young Indian woman working at an ad agency in Smog City (based on Mumbai).

bell hooks, in "Are You Still a Slave? Liberating the Black Female Body", describes "queer as being about the self that is at odds with everything around it". Kari is a misfit - in her queerness and love relationship with Ruth, among her roommates at Crystal Palace, in the crowded Smog City. However, this intermediate state of being an outsider on the inside lends her a unique perspective and position, enabling her to navigate her many identities and juggle many roles.

Kari's decision to attempt suicide on the second page of the novel, however, is not triggered by her inability to deal with constantly being at odds with everything around her, but by her desire to follow the one person she is not at odds with - her lover, Ruth. Lesbian double suicides are an everyday reality in India. Lesbian women face a life of double discrimination and alienation - first because of their gender in a male-dominated, patriarchal society and second because of their sexuality. Kari and Ruth survive the fall, though - Ruth is saved by her building's safety net, Kari by a flowing sewer. "Saved, she [Ruth] got into a plane and left...The airport was a ford, and she crossed over" (Patil, *Kari* 7). Kari is left behind to pick herself out of the gutter, both literally and figuratively.

Ruth is Kari's anchor and refuge, and also her ideal. The book's first chapter, entitled "Double Suicide", sets off Kari's struggle to understand who she is, how she identifies herself, and where she fits in a world post-Ruth. The first panel of the book shows Kari and Ruth sitting hand-in-hand, twined around by a single artery. It is an homage to Frida Kahlo's *Las Dos Fridas*, painted after Frida separated from her partner, Mexican muralist Diego Rivera. The reworked image in *Kari* indicates the trauma and emotional pain that will result from the breaking apart of this 'twinsip' and also suggests the contrast between the two women.

Kari is shown standing on the brink twice in the book. The first time around, she chooses to jump. The second time, she chooses not to. It is through Kari's sharp eyes that we witness her evolution as she regenerates and grows from the mud, the gutter she falls into after attempting suicide on the second page of the novel. "A failed suicide is a death still, because no one emerges from it unscathed" (Patil, *Kari* 10).

Though *Kari* is one of India's early graphic novels, Amruta Patil's artwork and her choice of protagonist stand out from traditional graphic storytelling and Indian literary subject matter. Patil wanted *Kari* to be a "counterpoint to the hyperfeminine stereotype or the jaded Bridget Jones stereotypes that exist in fiction". She steers clear of definitions, apologies or rationalisations in the narrative. Kari describes her adolescent infatuation with the singer k.d. lang after watching her for the first time on television: "What kind of creature was this, this genderless one, and why did she make me feel this way?". Towards the end of the novel, Kari decides to get a two millimetre buzz cut before her office awards ceremony, leaving her barber very disappointed ("Madam, face looking boy type" (Patil, *Kari* 107)). It is a move towards taking charge of her body, which is an important part of her queer identity, and making it her own, instead of feeling out of place in it. On one occasion, she is questioned by her work partner and friend, Lazarus, about whether she is a "proper" lesbian, reflecting an inability to fully accept a sexual identity that is not fixed and categorised in heteronormative terms. Kari's refusal to identify herself through labels challenges a

kind of hegemonic narrative of identity and sexuality. When asked to clarify her sexual orientation in definite terms, Kari says: "I'd say armchair straight, armchair gay, active loner" (Patil, *Kari* 48).

With its interplay of text and visuals, the graphic novel format renders much power to Kari's perspective, often making it a counterpoint to heterosexual fantasy, desire, and romance. As Kari reminisces about her first meeting with Ruth, the panel shows a poster of *Dilwale Dulhaniya Le Jayenge* in the background (Patil, *Kari* 68). Again, in another instance, after Kari learns that her friend Billo is accidentally pregnant and might have an abortion, a full-colour memory follows, where Ruth says in an intimate moment, "Isn't it great? We never have to worry about getting knocked up by mistake?" To this Kari replies, "I'd give anything in the world to be able to knock you up, Ruthie,". Patil explores regular moments of lesbian fantasy and erotic desire, for example, through Kari's candid thoughts about the brand manager, Susan Lush or her sensual opinion of fruits.

The novel does not focus exclusively on the protagonist's sexuality. Kari's other relationships and other identities are equally important and complicated. Keyushee Vashishtha observes in her article that Kari initially expects to find fulfilling female friendships or a "walk-in sisterhood" among her roommates in her shared apartment in Crystal Palace. However, she remains the adored but ultimately different addition to this group of heterosexual women: "...women of the world adore tousled boygirls. They all want to be Wendy to my Peter Pan" (Patil, *Kari* 44). In contrast, Kari's friendship with the character Angel provides her with a valuable, positive space where she does not feel alienated. It can be seen in terms of the concept of 'lesbian continuum' - "...forms of primary intensity between and among women, including the sharing of rich inner life, the bonding against male tyranny, the giving and receiving of practical and political support..." (239) - that Adrienne Rich speaks of in "Compulsory Heterosexuality and Lesbian Existence". Angel's home, where Kari at first shows up without an invitation, becomes an intimate space where she allows Kari to see her weakness, her pain, the ravaging

marks of her terminal illness. Their conversations form an important part of Kari's journey of self-acceptance and understanding. After Angel's death, Kari's loss is depicted through Angel's empty room: "I did not ever revisit Angel's street. More than anything else, I find it hard to quote my friends in closed brackets" (Patil, *Kari* 104).

It is not surprising that rivers, sewers, and waterways figure prominently in the novel, and in Amruta Patil's later works, *The dying river Mitthi* in *Kari* which smells of sewage; beautiful, cruelly detached Ganga which feeds and inundates the land and carries the remains of its dead in *Adi Parva*; dark and turbulent Yamuna of *Sauptik* – all embody navigation, negotiation, continuity, and regeneration. Kari takes on the role of the 'boatman' after being saved by the sewer – "the day of the double suicide—I promised the water I'd return her favor. That I'd unclog her sewers when she couldn't breathe. I earned me a boat that night. As a boatman, you learn to row clean through the darkest water" (Patil, *Kari* 31). It not only acts as a metaphor for Kari's negotiation with the self and a suffocating society in the novel, but the idea of the 'kheватиya', or boatman, is also significant in Indian mythology (an important source for Patil). He is the one who ferries people across from one spiritual phase to another. The boatman is neither god, saviour nor prophet – but a temporary companion, rowing one through as one evolves, and clearing the path in the process. Kari, the boatman, helps the other characters and the reader navigate the murky, polluted heteronormative society towards greater inclusion, a more nurturing and empathetic space.

Kari's negotiations with the public space are often presented through her interaction with Smog City. Kari talks about the textures, the smells, the sights of the city– often dark, dirty, unapologetic, or indifferent. The suffocating cityscape adds to her sense of alienation, but she also feels affection and a sense of responsibility towards it. Kari says: "In this city, no one talks. Everyone guards their sanity against the grief of strangers" (Patil, *Kari* 42). However, this city also, at times, offers almost magical places of respite and companionship, like Juhu beach, where Kari and Angel go horse-riding, or

Alexa and Marvel's house, the road to which occasionally appears on Kari's way to work.

Kari's decision at the culmination of the novel to "step back, not step off" from the ledge of her building's terrace is an assertion that she now finds enough strength and value in her self-awareness and in the many identities she carries, even in a world without Ruth (Patil, *Kari* 115). As Amruta Patil says in an interview, "It's a fragile, precious thing; giving people room to be what they are, even if it looks odd to the majority." Kari gives herself and people struggling like her this room to grow and be surer of themselves. In the end, Kari is much more than a graphic novel about a queer protagonist. It is also about the queerness of friendships and desire, the queerness of a city, and a loving ode to the queer nature of love itself.

References:

Chughtai, Ismat. "The Quilt." Ismat Chughtai: The Quilt and Other Stories. Eds. Tahira Naqvi and Syeda S. Hameed. New Delhi: Kali for Women, 1996. 5-12. Print.

hooks, bell. "Are You Still a Slave? Liberating the Black Female Body." *The New School* (2014). Web.

Kahlo, Frida. *Las dos Fridas*, 1939. The Museo de Arte Moderno, Mexico City.

Mathai, Anu. "Unconventional Voices and Alternate Spaces: Redefining Popular Narratives through Kari, Nasreen and Priya." *Navajyoti, International Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research* 2.2(2018): n. pag. Web. 15 November 2022.

Mehta, Deepa, dir. *Fire*. Delhi: Kaleidoscope Entertainment, 1996. Film.

Patil, Amruta. *Kari*. Noida: HarperCollins India, 2008. Print.

---. *Adi Parva: Churning of the Ocean*. New Delhi: HarperCollins India, 2012. Print.

---. Sauprik: Blood and Flowers. New Delhi: Harper Collins India, 2016. Print.

---. "Amruta Patil: India's First Female Graphic Novelist." PAUL GRAVETT: COMICS. GRAPHIC NOVELS. MANGA. By Paul Gravett. Paul Gravett, 4 Sept. 2012. Web. 15 November 2022.

Rich, Adrienne. "Compulsory Heterosexuality and Lesbian Existence." The Lesbian and Gay Studies Reader. Eds. Henry Abelove, Michele Aina Barale and David M Halperin. New York & Oxon: Routledge, 1993. 227-254. Print.

Vashishtha, Keyushee. "The Transgressive Self in Amruta Patil's Kari." IIS Univ.J.A. 10.1 (2021): 213-220. Print.

**The 'Bare Life' and the State: Unveiling the Paradigms of
the Body in Alexander Solzhenitsyn's *One Day in the Life
of Ivan Denisovich***

Agnideeptho Datta

Introduction

Giorgio Agamben's concept of 'homo sacer' and the notion of bare life offer a profound rethinking of political and legal structures in the context of state sovereignty. In his seminal work, *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*, Agamben explores how modern political systems, even those considered democratic, hinge upon the exclusion and inclusion of life itself. The homo sacer, a figure from Roman law, is a person who can be killed but not sacrificed, representing a life that exists outside the realm of legal and political rights—a life reduced to its bare biological existence. This notion of bare life (zoe)—as distinct from a politically qualified life (bios)—underscores Agamben's examination of biopolitics, where the state's sovereign power lies in its ability to decide who counts as part of the political community and who is excluded to a state of exception, a concept where laws are suspended. Agamben states, "The inclusion of bare life in the political realm constitutes the original—if concealed—nucleus of sovereign power" (Agamben6). Here, Agamben posits that the threshold of the political order is marked by its capacity to withdraw the protections of law from individuals, thereby exposing them to violence without consequence.

The relevance of Agamben's work is not confined to ancient legal structures but extends to contemporary scenarios where the state's authority is exercised through control over life and death. In 'Homo Sacer', Agamben draws a parallel between the ancient 'homo sacer' and the modern-day concentration camp detainees, illustrating how state mechanisms can strip individuals of political significance, leaving them as mere bodies subject to sovereign power. He writes, "The camp is the space that is opened when the state of exception begins

to become the rule" (Agamben168). This chilling observation underlines the precariousness of modern existence under sovereign power, where legal protections can be arbitrarily suspended, reducing individuals to bare life. Agamben's theory challenges us to reconsider the relationship between law, life, and state power, prompting a critical examination of how contemporary political entities may, under certain conditions, exercise a form of governance that resembles totalitarian regimes, where the body becomes a site of power dynamics and control. By engaging with Agamben's concepts, we can deepen our understanding of the intricate relationship between sovereignty, biopolitics, and the human condition.

Overview of *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich* and Its Historical Context

One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich, written by Alexander Solzhenitsyn and first published in 1962, is a stark, unflinching depiction of a single day in the life of Ivan Denisovich Shukhov, a prisoner in a Soviet labor camp. Solzhenitsyn, a former Soviet army officer who was himself imprisoned in the Gulag system, drew upon his own experiences to provide a deeply personal and realistic portrayal of life in the camps. His works, including *The Gulag Archipelago* and *Cancer Ward*, are known for their candid exploration of Soviet totalitarianism and the moral dilemmas faced by individuals under oppressive regimes. Through his writing, Solzhenitsyn became a voice for those who suffered under Stalin's rule, exposing the brutality of the Soviet state and its pervasive control over its citizens.

The novel is set in a Gulag, part of the vast network of Soviet labor camps that operated from the 1930s to the 1950s under Joseph Stalin's regime. The Gulag system, formally known as the Glavnoe Upravlenie Lagerei (Main Camp Administration), was established as a means of political repression and economic exploitation. It held millions of prisoners, many of whom were innocent people accused of political crimes or were victims of mass arrests and purges. These camps were notorious for their inhumane conditions, forced labor, and the arbitrary and brutal punishment of prisoners. Some of the harshest camps, such as Kolyma and BAM lag, were

located in remote and inhospitable regions of Siberia, where extreme cold, inadequate shelter, and insufficient food led to high mortality rates among inmates (Applebaum60). The Kolyma camps, for instance, were known for their particularly brutal conditions, with temperatures dropping as low as -50 degrees Celsius, forcing prisoners to work in permafrost with inadequate clothing and tools (Applebaum162). BAM lag, located along the Baikal-Amur Mainline railway, was another infamous camp where prisoners labored under grueling conditions to construct a railway through harsh and unforgiving terrain (Conquest 142).

Through the eyes of Shukhov, Solzhenitsyn provides a detailed, day-to-day account of the oppressive conditions faced by prisoners, who were often incarcerated for reasons as minor as being suspected enemies of the state or as significant as political dissent. The narrative, stripped of sentimentality, focuses on the minutiae of survival—finding enough to eat, staying warm, and maintaining one's humanity in the face of a dehumanizing system (Solzhenitsyn 13). By highlighting the daily struggles of Shukhov and his fellow inmates, Solzhenitsyn reveals the Gulag's devastating impact on both body and spirit, exposing how the Soviet regime systematically stripped individuals of their dignity and reduced them to mere cogs in a vast, dehumanizing machine.

The novel is a significant cultural artefact that sheds light on the Gulag system, which, at its height, held millions of prisoners and became a symbol of Stalinist repression (Applebaum45). The camps were not just places of punishment but were also part of a broader strategy of political control and economic exploitation, using forced labor to achieve state goals. Prisoners, like Shukhov, faced brutal working conditions, inadequate food and shelter, and arbitrary punishment, which eroded their physical and psychological well-being (Conquest 22). The publication of *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich* marked a turning point in Soviet literature and politics, as it was one of the first works to openly criticize the Soviet system. It was released during the Khrushchev Thaw, a period of relative liberalization and de-Stalinization following Stalin's death, reflecting a brief moment when the

Soviet leadership permitted limited criticism of past abuses (Fitzpatrick 98).

The historical context of the novel is crucial to understanding its impact and significance. The GUL ag system, which stands for Glavnoe Upravlenie Lagerei (Main Camp Administration), was an institution that perpetuated fear and obedience among the populace, serving as a tool for suppressing dissent and maintaining Stalin's totalitarian control (Applebaum⁵⁸). The camps were characterized by their harsh conditions and the arbitrary nature of justice, where prisoners could be sentenced based on fabricated charges or mere suspicion of disloyalty. Solzhenitsyn's depiction of the GUL ag exposes the stark reality of life under totalitarian rule and the profound impact of state power on individual lives. The novel not only serves as a historical document but also as a powerful literary work that brings to life the struggles, resilience, and small victories of those who endured one of the darkest chapters of Soviet history (Solzhenitsyn 28).

Bare Life

Both Giorgio Agamben's philosophical framework and Alexander Solzhenitsyn's literary depiction converge on the idea that state power can strip individuals of their political and social identities, reducing them to bare life. In *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*, Agamben introduces the concept of 'homo sacer', an ancient Roman legal figure who could be killed without consequence but not sacrificed in a religious ritual. This figure embodies the notion of bare life – 'zoe' which exists outside the protections and recognitions of the legal and political order. Agamben explains that 'homo sacer' represents a paradox within the law: "He who has been banned is not, in fact, simply set outside the law and made indifferent to it but rather abandoned by it, that is, exposed and threatened on the threshold in which life and law, outside and inside, become indistinguishable" (Agamben 28). In this sense, 'homo sacer' illustrates how sovereign power can create a space of exception where individuals are included by virtue of their exclusion, effectively reducing them to a state of existence that is biologically alive but politically dead.

Agamben further argues that modern political systems, even those that claim to uphold democratic values, are founded on this very principle of creating zones of indistinction where law and life intersect. He writes, "The relation of exception is a relation of ban. The one who is banned is not, in fact, simply set outside the law and made indifferent to it but rather abandoned by it, that is, exposed and threatened on the threshold in which life and law, outside and inside, become indistinguishable" (Agamben 15). This state of exception reveals the biopolitical foundation of sovereign power, where the capacity to determine who is excluded from the political community also determines who can be subjected to unrestrained violence or deprivation.

This conceptualization aligns closely with Solzhenitsyn's depiction of the Soviet labor camps in *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*, where prisoners are systematically stripped of their rights and identities, reduced to mere bodies whose existence is dictated by the arbitrary whims of the state. In the Gulag, the legal status of the prisoners is suspended; they are neither fully protected by law nor entirely outside its reach, mirroring the state of 'homosacer' described by Agamben. Solzhenitsyn's narrative illustrates how the prisoners, like 'homo sacer', exist in a space where the law no longer protects their rights and dignity, exposing them to the absolute control and violence of the state. As Agamben's theory suggests, this condition is not a deviation from the norm but rather a fundamental aspect of how state sovereignty functions, revealing the fragility of legal protections and the ease with which they can be revoked in the name of political expediency.

Solzhenitsyn captures this reality through the experiences of Ivan Denisovich Shukhov, who, like many other prisoners, is condemned to a life of forced labour without any recourse to justice or personal dignity. Shukhov's day-to-day struggle for survival epitomizes the notion of bare life as he navigates the oppressive conditions of the camp, focusing solely on basic needs such as food, warmth, and minimal comfort. In a passage that resonates with Agamben's concept of the 'homo sacer', Solzhenitsyn writes, "The degree of freedom and justice in a country is measured by the way they treat their

prisoners" (Solzhenitsyn 39). Here, Solzhenitsyn underscores the totalizing power of the state over the body and life of the individual, a power that nullifies any legal or moral order and reduces the person to a mere object of state control.

Furthermore, Agamben's idea that the camp serves as the "nomos of the modern" the fundamental space of political life where the rule of law is suspended and sovereign power exercises its full force—finds a parallel in Solzhenitsyn's portrayal of the Gulag (Agamben 20). The Gulag, like Agamben's camp, is a space where the normal juridical order does not apply, and individuals are stripped of their legal protections and exposed to unmitigated violence and control. This stripping of identity and reduction to bare life is not just a phenomenon of authoritarian states but is a potential within any form of governance where the state of exception becomes normalized.

Michel Foucault, also echo these themes in their analysis of 'biopolitics' and state power. Foucault's concept of 'biopower'—the governance of bodies and the regulation of populations—complements Agamben's thesis by demonstrating how modern states manage life and death through mechanisms that control bodies and populations, thus rendering them as objects of state policies and practices (Foucault 137). Solzhenitsyn's narrative illustrates these mechanisms vividly, revealing how the Soviet state's control extended into the very fabric of daily life, dictating what prisoners could eat, where they could sleep, and even how they could think. In both Agamben's and Solzhenitsyn's works, the reduction of individuals to bare life serves as a powerful critique of the dehumanizing potential of state sovereignty, highlighting the fragility of human rights and the precariousness of human existence under authoritarian regimes.

Conclusion

Analyzing *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich* through Giorgio Agamben's concept of 'homo sacer' reveals how the novel not only exposes the brutal reality of the Soviet labour camps but also explores the dehumanizing effects of totalitarian power. Alexander Solzhenitsyn's portrayal of

Ivan Denisovich Shukhov and his fellow inmates illustrates the process by which state sovereignty reduces individuals to a state of bare life. In the camp, prisoners are stripped of their rights, identities, and any semblance of political or social agency, existing in a liminal space where the protections of the law are suspended, yet its punitive measures are omnipresent. This aligns with Agamben's assertion that modern politics involves the exclusion of 'zoe' from the political realm, where individuals are abandoned by the law and reduced to mere biological life—*homo sacer*.

This analysis finds further support in other literary texts that depict similar forms of dehumanization under totalitarian regimes. For example, in George Orwell's *1984*, the totalitarian state exercises similar control over the lives of its citizens, reducing them to objects of state surveillance and manipulation. Winston Smith, like Shukhov, is stripped of his autonomy and subjected to a regime that dictates both his actions and thoughts. The Party's slogan, "Freedom is Slavery," epitomizes the paradoxical nature of sovereign power described by Agamben—a power that simultaneously includes and excludes, controls, and abandons (Orwell 4). Similarly, Franz Kafka's *The Trial* portrays a protagonist, Joseph K., who is ensnared in a nightmarish legal process that embodies the arbitrary and absolute nature of state power. In Kafka's narrative, the law is omnipotent yet inscrutable, rendering individuals powerless and perpetually trapped in a state of exception (Kafka 12).

The conclusion of Shukhov's day encapsulates the essence of Agamben's theory and the novel's portrayal of resilience in the face of dehumanization. As Shukhov reflects on his day, he notes, "The day had gone by without a single cloud, almost a happy day. There were three thousand six hundred and fifty-three days like this in his sentence, from reveille to lights out" (Solzhenitsyn 139). This closing line underscores the relentless monotony and hardship of camp life while also highlighting a profound sense of survival and endurance. Shukhov's ability to find a semblance of contentment amid such dire circumstances mirrors the resilience of the human spirit against forces that seek to reduce it to mere existence.

Thus, viewing *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich* through Agamben's conceptual framework reveals the novel's deeper philosophical engagement with sovereignty and the fragility of human rights under oppressive regimes. The text serves as both a historical testament to the atrocities of the Soviet labor camps and a universal reflection on the potential for state power to strip individuals of their humanity, challenging readers to consider the value of human dignity and the ongoing struggle for freedom and justice in the face of tyranny.

References:

Agamben, Giorgio. *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*. Stanford University Press, 1998.

Applebaum, Anne. *GULag: A History*. Anchor Books, 2004.

Conquest, Robert. *The Great Terror: A Reassessment*. Oxford University Press, 2008.

Fitzpatrick, Sheila. *The Russian Revolution*. Oxford University Press, 2008.

Foucault, Michel. *The History of Sexuality: An Introduction*. Translated by Robert Hurley, Pantheon Books, 1978.

Kafka, Franz. *The Trial*. Vintage Books, 1999.

Orwell, George. 1984. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1949.

Solzhenitsyn, Alexander. *One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich*. Penguin Classics, 2000.

Breaking the Armor: Reconstructing Masculinity, Vulnerability, and Love in bell hooks' *The Will to Change*

Debarshi Arathdar

Introduction

bell hooks' influential text *The Will to Change: Men, Masculinity, and Love* (2004) offers one of the most incisive interrogations of how patriarchal ideology shapes men's lives, identities, and emotional possibilities. Hooks contends that the prescriptions of patriarchal masculinity—demanding dominance, suppression of emotion, and an ethic of control—harm not only women but also men themselves, who are taught to detach from their vulnerability and humanity. In her analysis, hooks emphasizes two central frameworks: toxic masculinity and the performativity of gender. Toxic masculinity names the destructive cultural insistence that manhood be defined by aggression, stoicism, and detachment. This model reduces men's emotional agency, fostering cycles of violence and alienation. Complementing this is Judith Butler's theorization of gender as performative (1990), which posits that gender identities emerge not from innate biology but from repeated social performances. Hooks applies this lens to show how men are compelled to rehearse scripts of masculinity that foreclose authentic self-expression.

Through this dual lens, *The Will to Change* reveals how patriarchal culture exacts violence on men by pressuring them to police their emotions, denigrate vulnerability, and equate power with dominance. Hooks does more than diagnose the problem; she imagines an alternative. She argues for a radical re-envisioning of masculinity—one founded not on domination but on love, compassion, and mutuality. Love, for hooks, is not a passive sentiment but a deliberate practice rooted in care and justice. By dismantling patriarchal codes, hooks envisions a space where men might reclaim their

capacity for intimacy, build equitable relationships, and participate in social transformation.

This paper revisits hooks' work in the context of masculinity studies and feminist theory, demonstrating how her critique of toxic masculinity, her appropriation of gender performativity, and her radical ethic of love offer a vital framework for reimagining masculine identity.

Patriarchy's Prison: Toxic Masculinity and Its Consequences

Hooks situates toxic masculinity as a cultural script imposed by patriarchy. She identifies it as the enforcement of dominance, violence, and emotional repression as the hallmarks of "real" manhood. According to hooks, the first act of violence demanded of boys is against themselves: they are instructed to amputate emotional expressiveness and empathy, thereby "killing off" their emotional core (hooks 29). This psychic mutilation becomes the foundation upon which patriarchal masculinity is built.

From childhood onward, boys are socialized to silence vulnerability. Anger and aggression are permitted outlets, while tenderness, fear, or sadness are deemed signs of weakness. Such conditioning, hooks argues, does not produce strength but rather alienation. Research corroborates her claims: Mahalik et al.'s "Conformity to Masculine Norms Inventory" demonstrates that strict adherence to traditional masculine ideals correlates with poor psychological outcomes such as anxiety, depression, and substance abuse (Mahalik et al. 3-25). Moreover, the stigma attached to seeking help intensifies men's isolation, further trapping them within the cycle hooks describes.

Hooks underscores that this conditioning harms not only men but also society at large. Patriarchal masculinity, rooted in domination, perpetuates gendered violence and sustains systemic inequality. Yet its first victims are men themselves, who internalize shame, loneliness, and emotional illiteracy. To challenge this system, hooks insists, requires exposing toxic masculinity as a cultural imposition rather than an intrinsic truth.

Gender as Performance: Undoing Masculine Scripts

Building upon Judith Butler's influential claim that gender is performative rather than ontological (Butler 33), hooks illustrates how masculinity is not a natural given but a repeated performance, rigorously enforced through institutions such as family, media, and education. These structures teach boys that to be masculine is to reject softness and connection, and to prove one's manhood through competition, stoicism, and detachment.

Hooks critiques this performance as profoundly restrictive. As she observes, patriarchal masculinity demands that men "idealize aloneness and disconnection" (hooks 33), rendering intimacy suspect and vulnerability intolerable. Such enactments impoverish men's inner lives, reinforcing a cycle where performance of masculinity validates patriarchy and patriarchy, in turn, demands further performance.

Yet if gender is performed, it is also malleable. Hooks argues that because masculinity is socially constructed, it can be reconstructed. The recognition of masculinity as performance destabilizes its authority and opens pathways toward transformation. By encouraging men to embrace emotional expressiveness, cultivate empathy, and reject domination as the marker of worth, hooks invites a collective reimagination of what masculinity might entail.

This vision aligns with the broader currents of masculinity studies, where scholars like R.W. Connell (1995) have examined how "hegemonic masculinity" functions as a cultural ideal sustaining gender hierarchies. Hooks distinguishes her contribution by foregrounding the possibility of dismantling hegemonic masculinity through feminist praxis and the deliberate cultivation of love.

Feminism, Masculinity Studies, and Emotional Liberation

Hooks' intervention lies at the intersection of feminism and masculinity studies. Feminist theorists, from Simone de Beauvoir's assertion that "one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman" (de Beauvoir 267) to Butler's exploration of performativity, have long argued that gender is constructed

and coercive. Hooks applies this logic to masculinity, illuminating how men too are dehumanized by patriarchal mandates.

Her work reframes feminism not as an agenda for women alone but as a project of liberation for all genders. By exposing patriarchy's costs to men, hooks insists that feminist struggle must be understood as collective, freeing men from emotional imprisonment even as it dismantles male dominance. This synthesis bridges two scholarly trajectories: feminism's critique of patriarchy and masculinity studies' focus on male socialization.

One of hooks' most urgent insights is her insistence on the emotional toll patriarchy exacts from men. Disconnection from feeling breeds loneliness, despair, and destructive coping mechanisms. Wong et al.'s meta-analyses confirm that conformity to masculine norms negatively correlates with emotional intelligence and mental health outcomes (Wong et al. 80–93). The tragic implications are visible in suicide rates, where men, compelled to repress vulnerability, disproportionately suffer (Canetto and Sakin of sky 1–23). Hooks situates these statistics not as accidents but as structural consequences of patriarchal conditioning.

Love as Radical Praxis

If patriarchy imprisons men through toxic masculinity and performance, hooks identifies love as the emancipatory counterforce. For her, love is not sentimental but political — “an action, a participatory emotion...about mutuality, care, and justice” (hooks 104). In this sense, love becomes both a personal practice and a social ethic capable of dismantling patriarchal codes.

Hooks draws inspiration from feminist ethics of care (Gilligan 1982), which emphasize relationality and empathy as foundations for ethical life. She extends this argument, contending that for men to escape patriarchal masculinity, they must cultivate practices of love—toward themselves, their partners, and their communities. This reclamation of emotional expressiveness challenges the patriarchal mandate of stoicism and reorients masculinity toward connection

rather than control.

Psychological research validates this vision: Cohen demonstrates that supportive social relationships and emotional intimacy are crucial for health and well-being (Cohen 676–84). Hooks transforms this empirical insight into a cultural manifesto, arguing that by embracing love, men can resist patriarchal codes and participate in building more equitable, compassionate societies.

Conclusion: Reimagining Manhood

The Will to Change remains a landmark critique of patriarchal masculinity and an urgent call for transformation. Hooks exposes how toxic masculinity and the performance of patriarchal gender harm men by alienating them from their emotions and perpetuating violence. Yet her work is not merely diagnostic. By drawing on feminist theory, masculinity studies, and the ethic of love, she articulates a vision of masculinity rooted in vulnerability, compassion, and relational justice.

Hooks' reimagined masculinity resists the cultural scripts of domination and instead embraces the transformative power of emotional openness. Her work underscores that dismantling patriarchy requires not only challenging its oppression of women but also liberating men from the straitjackets of toxic masculinity. In this, hooks demonstrates that feminism offers not division but possibility—a shared path toward healing, connection, and justice.

References:

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.

Canetto, Silvia Sara, and Isaac Sakinofsky. "The Gender Paradox in Suicide." *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, vol. 28, no. 1, 1998, pp. 1–23.

Cohen, Sheldon. "Social Relationships and Health." *American Psychologist*, vol. 59, no. 8, 2004, pp. 676–684.

Connell, R.W. *Masculinities*. University of California Press, 1995.

De Beauvoir, Simone. *The Second Sex*. Translated by H.M. Parshley, Vintage Books, 1949.

Gilligan, Carol. *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Harvard University Press, 1982.

Hooks, bell. *The Will to Change: Men, Masculinity, and Love*. Atria Books, 2004.

Mahalik, James R., et al. "Development of the Conformity to Masculine Norms Inventory." *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2003, pp. 3–25.

Wong, Y. Joel, et al. "Meta-Analyses of the Relationship Between Conformity to Masculine Norms and Mental Health-Related Outcomes." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, vol. 64, no. 1, 2017, pp. 80–93.

Breaking Boundaries: Exploring Gender Fluidity and Androgyny in Virginia Woolf's *Orlando*

Sudipta Dutta

Abstract

Virginia Woolf's *Orlando* remains a groundbreaking text that interrogates gender fluidity and the notion of androgyny while challenging the rigid binaries traditionally linked to identity. Through the extraordinary life of Orlando, who moves from male to female and spans several centuries, Woolf dismantles the idea of gender as a fixed biological reality, revealing it instead as a social and cultural construct. This paper engages feminist and queer theoretical frameworks to examine how Woolf portrays gender fluidity and androgyny, questioning the boundaries that separate male and female roles. By studying significant passages and the symbolism of Orlando's transformation, the discussion argues that Woolf anticipates modern conversations around gender nonconformity, positioning Orlando as an essential text in debates on identity and self-expression. The paper also underscores Woolf's enduring contribution to feminist and queer literature, showing how her narrative continues to resonate as a radical inquiry into the complexity of gender in the contemporary world.

Introduction

Virginia Woolf's *Orlando: A Biography* is widely regarded as a landmark work in the exploration of gender identity and the fluid nature of selfhood. First published in 1928, the text resists conventional literary classifications by weaving biography, fiction, and historical commentary into a narrative that was strikingly original for its time. As one of the most influential feminist voices of the twentieth century, Woolf used this novel to critique entrenched gender norms and social conventions. The figure of Orlando, inspired by Vita

Sackville-West, reflects personal elements in Woolf's creative vision, suggesting a deep connection between life and art. The character Orlando traverses centuries, locations, and genders, questioning the assumption that identity is fixed. Woolf's earlier works, such as *A Room of One's Own* (1929) and *Mrs. Dalloway* (1925), address patriarchal constraints on women and advocate intellectual freedom. Yet Orlando moves beyond critique to dismantle gender categories altogether. The text employs an imaginative, playful narrative that combines fantasy and satire to explore identity in ways both provocative and liberating. Time is another narrative element Woolf manipulates to emphasize the fluidity of identity. Orlando lives for over three hundred years with minimal aging, a device that exposes the arbitrariness of constructs like gender and chronology. Through lyrical prose and stream-of-consciousness techniques, Woolf crafts a meditation on existence while advancing a critique of gender binaries. This study investigates how Orlando's transformation destabilizes traditional gender hierarchies and affirms the possibility of an androgynous consciousness. Drawing upon feminist and queer theories, the analysis highlights the novel's relevance to present-day gender discourse. Woolf's stylistic choices, including her treatment of time, further reveal the constructed nature of identity, presenting readers with a more inclusive and dynamic vision of selfhood.

Woolf's exploration of gender in Orlando can be seen as a continuation of themes addressed in her earlier works, such as *A Room of One's Own* (1929) and *Mrs. Dalloway* (1925). In these texts, Woolf interrogates the limitations imposed on women by a patriarchal society, advocating for intellectual and creative freedom as essential to female empowerment. *Orlando*, however, takes this exploration further by questioning the very foundations of gender itself. The novel's playful narrative style, which combines elements of fantasy and satire, allows Woolf to explore these themes in a manner that is both engaging and subversive.

The concept of time, often used by Woolf as a narrative tool to reflect the inner lives of her characters, is manipulated in *Orlando* to further emphasize the fluidity of identity. Orlando's

life spans over 300 years, yet the character ages only slightly, a narrative choice that underscores the arbitrary nature of societal constructs like gender and time. This manipulation of time, along with Woolf's lyrical and stream-of-consciousness writing style, creates a text that serves as both a meditation on the nature of existence and a commentary on gender.

This paper explores how Woolf's portrayal of Orlando's gender transformation serves as a critique of the gender binary and an exploration of androgyny. By drawing on feminist and queer theories, it is argued that *Orlando* prefigures many of the discussions on gender fluidity that are central to contemporary gender studies. Additionally, Woolf's narrative techniques and her use of time are examined as methods to disrupt conventional understandings of identity and to present a more expansive view of human experience.

Gender Fluidity and Androgyny in *Orlando*

Virginia Woolf's *Orlando* is often celebrated as a groundbreaking exploration of gender fluidity and androgyny, challenging the rigid binaries that have traditionally defined gender identity. Orlando, who begins life as a nobleman in Elizabethan England and later transforms into a woman, embodies Woolf's radical vision of gender as a spectrum rather than a fixed binary. This transformation is not merely a physical change but a profound commentary on the fluid nature of identity, which transcends the constraints of time, culture, and societal norms.

One of the most striking aspects of *Orlando* is the ease with which the protagonist transitions from male to female, a narrative choice that subverts the conventional understanding of gender as a stable and unchanging trait. Woolf's depiction of Orlando's transformation suggests that gender is performative, a concept later theorized by Judith Butler in *Gender Trouble* (1990). According to Butler, gender is not an inherent characteristic but rather a series of acts and performances that are socially constructed and reinforced. Orlando's effortless shift from one gender to another disrupts the traditional binary and reflects Woolf's belief in the androgynous mind, a concept she articulated in her essay *A*

Room of One's Own (1929). Here, Woolf argues that the best writers are those who transcend gender, possessing a mind that is "resonant and porous," embodying both masculine and feminine qualities. (Woolf, *A Room of One's Own* 102)

The androgyny displayed by Orlando can also be seen as a form of resistance against the patriarchal structures that seek to define and limit identity. In becoming a woman, Orlando does not simply conform to societal expectations of femininity; rather, she retains her masculine qualities, thus existing in a space that defies easy categorization. This androgyny allows Orlando to navigate a world that is otherwise divided by strict gender roles, highlighting the fluidity of identity and the arbitrary nature of gender distinctions.

Woolf's narrative further emphasizes this fluidity through her use of time, a theme that is central to the novel. Orlando's life spans over three centuries, yet the character ages only slightly, a narrative choice that serves to underscore the mutability of identity. This manipulation of time allows Woolf to explore the evolving nature of gender and society, as Orlando experiences life as both a man and a woman across different historical periods. The contrast between Orlando's unchanging self and the changing world around her serves to critique the notion that identity, particularly gender identity, is fixed and immutable.

Moreover, Woolf's playful and satirical narrative style in *Orlando* serves to further destabilize traditional notions of gender. The novel's tone, which blends historical fact with fantastical elements, allows Woolf to challenge the reader's assumptions about what is "real" and what is socially constructed. By blurring the lines between reality and fiction, Woolf invites the reader to question the rigidity of gender norms and to imagine a world in which identity is not confined by the arbitrary boundaries of gender.

Intersection of Gender Fluidity, Androgyny, and Feminist Critique

Virginia Woolf's *Orlando* presents a unique perspective on gender by illustrating its fluid nature. Christine Froula points out, "As Woolf and Freud point out, 'he' and 'she'

occupy different positions in masculine culture” (Froula 202). Woolf with her characterization of Orlando challenges this masculine culture. When Orlando transforms from a man into a woman, the novel highlights the stark differences in societal treatment based on gender. As a man, Orlando enjoys freedom and power, but as a woman, Orlando faces restrictions and prejudice. Woolf illustrates this shift through Orlando’s reflection, “He – for there could be no doubt of his sex, though the fashion of the time did something to disguise it – was in the act of slicing at the head of a Moor which swung from the rafters” (Woolf, *Orlando* 3). This change reflects Woolf’s critique of the unfair limitations placed on women by a patriarchal society.

Woolf also employs the concept of androgyny in *Orlando* to challenge traditional ideas about gender. Even after becoming a woman, Orlando retains qualities from both genders, illustrating that identity is not strictly tied to being male or female. This androgynous identity allows Orlando to navigate life in a way that defies typical gender roles. As Orlando observes, “Different though the sexes are, they intermix. In every human being a vacillation from one sex to the other takes place, and often it is only the clothes that keep the male or female likeness, while underneath the sex is the very opposite of what it is above” (Woolf, *Orlando* 104).

The structure of the novel itself supports these ideas. Woolf uses a non-linear timeline and blends historical facts with fiction to create a narrative that doesn’t fit neatly into one category, much like Orlando’s gender. This flexible narrative demonstrates how gender and identity can shift over time and across different cultural contexts.

Overall, *Orlando* questions the rigid categories of male and female and suggests that identity is much more complex. Woolf’s novel encourages readers to think about gender in a new way, one that is more inclusive and flexible, resonating with modern discussions about gender fluidity and non-binary identities.

Conclusion

Orlando remains an innovative exploration of gender and identity, interrogating binary systems that have historically governed social life. By tracing Orlando's experience of both masculinity and femininity across different periods, Woolf exposes the limitations and inconsistencies of rigid gender roles. Her portrayal of identity as mutable challenges readers to reconsider conventional assumptions. The work's non-linear structure and its blending of historical and fictional modes mirror the instability of gender itself. Androgyny emerges not as a mere literary motif but as an essential principle of freedom and creativity. The novel also anticipates major debates in feminist and queer thought, particularly regarding gender as a cultural performance rather than a natural attribute. Ultimately, Woolf offers a vision of identity unbound by restrictive norms, suggesting that selfhood can exist beyond prescriptive categories. This idea continues to hold significance today, affirming *Orlando* as both a product of its era and a timeless commentary on the fluid nature of human experience.

The novel's structure, with its non-linear timeline and blend of fact and fiction, mirrors the complexity of gender itself, suggesting that identity is not static but continually evolving. Woolf's use of androgyny, both in Orlando's character and in the broader narrative, challenges the reader to embrace a more expansive and inclusive understanding of identity, one that transcends conventional gender categories.

Moreover, *Orlando* anticipates many of the key debates in contemporary feminist and queer theory, particularly the idea that gender is performative and socially constructed. Woolf's innovative narrative, combined with her incisive critique of gender norms, ensures that *Orlando remains* a vital text in discussions about gender, identity, and the human experience.

Orlando is not just a novel about one person's journey through time and gender; it is a profound exploration of the ways in which identity can be shaped, constrained, and ultimately liberated from the confines of societal expectations. Woolf's

vision of gender as a fluid and dynamic construct continues to resonate today, making *Orlando* a timeless and transformative work.

References:

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.

Froula, Christine. "Rewriting Genesis: Gender and Culture in Twentieth-Century Texts." *Tulsa Studies in Women's Literature*, vol. 7, no. 2, 1988, pp. 197–220. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2307/463679>. Accessed 26 Aug. 2024.

Woolf, Virginia. *A Room of One's Own*. Edited by Naina Dey, Indian Books View, 2011.

Woolf, Virginia. *Orlando: A Biography*. Hogarth Press, 1928, *Global Grey ebooks*, <https://www.globalgreybooks.com/orlando-a-biography-ebook.html>, Accessed 22 Aug. 2024.

About The Contributors

1. Dr. Rituparna Chakraborty is Assistant Professor & Head, Department of English, Swami Vivekananda University. She has done her M.A. in English from West Bengal State University and M.Phil. from Rabindra Bharati University. She was awarded Ph.D. from Raiganj University. She has cleared the UGC-NET examination. She has presented research papers in a number of National and International Conferences. She has also published several articles in UGC-CARE listed journals and International Peer-Reviewed Journals. She is a Nominated Fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities (IASH), University of Edinburgh and Research Affiliate of the Scottish Centre of Tagore Studies (ScoTS). Dr. Chakraborty has presented research papers in numerous national and international seminars. She also has several published articles in UGC-Care List and Scopus Indexed Journals to her credit. Her published monographs include *Reifying Transnationalism: Tagore and the West* (published by Bloomsbury) and *Competence: A Course in English Communication* (published by Cambridge University Press). She has successfully completed an ICSSR Project as Principal Investigator.

2. Dr. Shubham Bhattacharjee is an Assistant Professor in the Department of English, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore. He has received his Ph.D. from Rabindra Bharati University. During his research period, he engaged classes at Rabindra Bharati University from July 2019, initially as a Junior Research Fellow and subsequently as a Senior Research Fellow. Previously, he had also pursued his B.A. (Hons.), M.A. and M.Phil. from the same institution. He also possesses a second M.A. Degree in English Language Teaching from Netaji Subhas Open University, alongside a Post Graduate Diploma in Translation and Transcultural Studies. He has also completed MBA in Human Resource Management from Indira Gandhi National Open University. Dr. Bhattacharjee

has cleared the UGC-NET examination on multiple instances, alongside WB SET and GATE. Prior to joining Swami Vivekananda University, he worked as an Assistant Professor at Sister Nivedita University. Dr. Bhattacharjee has presented research papers in numerous national and international seminars. He also has several published articles in UGC-Care List Journals to his credit. His published monographs include *Religious Convictions in the Post-Conversion Writings of T.S. Eliot* (published by Bloomsbury) and *Competence: A Course in English Communication* (published by Cambridge University Press). He has successfully completed an ICSSR Project as Co-Principal Investigator.

3. Dr. Madhumita Roy completed her Ph.D. on “Rabindranath Tagore’s Engagement with Science” from the Department of English, Visva-Bharati in 2022. During the initial years of her research, she worked as a research assistant in the Kalanukramik Rabindra Rachanavali Project under Visva-Bharati. She also provided research assistance to Prof. Uma Dasgupta. She worked as a guest faculty in Visva-Bharati, and later on joined Adamas University, Kolkata as a full time Assistant Professor of English. Currently she is an Associate Professor of English, Swami Vivekananda University, near Kolkata.

4. Dr. Shreyoshi Dhar is currently serving as Assistant Professor, Department of English, Swami Vivekananda University. She has completed her Ph.D. from Rabindra Bharati University. Previously, she had also completed her B.A. (Hons.), M.A. and M.Phil. from the same institution. She is also in possession of a second M.A. Degree in English Language Teaching from Netaji Subhas Open University, alongside a Post Graduate Diploma in Translation and Transcultural Studies from School of Languages and Culture, Rabindra Bharati University. She has also completed MBA in Human Resource Management from Indira Gandhi National Open University. She has cleared the UGC-NET examination on multiple instances. Prior to joining this institution as an Assistant Professor, she worked as a Guest Faculty in Bengal School of Technology and Management, had engaged classes

at Rabindra Bharati University since December, 2022 as a Junior Research Fellow along with being a Resource Person at Rani Rashmoni Green University, Tarakeshwar since April, 2022, where she was in charge of the day to day functioning of the Department of English. She has presented research papers in numerous national and international seminars and also has several published articles in reputed journals to her credit. Her published monographs include *Bloodlines and Bonds: Interpreting and Reworking Parent-Child Dynamics of Ancient Greek Literature* (published by Bloomsbury) and *Competence: A Course in English Communication* (published by Cambridge University Press).

5. Dr. Tirna Sadhu is currently serving as an Assistant Professor in the Department of English at Swami Vivekananda University, Kolkata. She specializes in Science Fiction and Posthuman studies. She completed her Ph.D. in English Literature at Visva-Bharati Santiniketan and has supervised scholars over the past four years, with three successful Ph.D. dissertations submitted under her guidance. She earned her M.A. in English from Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan. She graduated with Hons. in English from Hooghly Mohsin College, affiliated to the University of Burdwan. Dr. Sadhu has presented at conferences, workshops, and symposia and has published widely in reputed journals and edited volumes nationally and internationally, as well as edited an anthology and translated poetry. In addition to research and teaching, Dr. Sadhu has actively organized seminars and workshops.

6. Dr. Soumyarup Bhattacharjee is an Assistant Professor of English in the Department of Language, Literature, and Cultural Studies (formerly the Department of English) at Swami Vivekananda University, India. He was previously a research scholar at the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences in IIT Bombay. His current research focuses on transcultural approaches to contemporary Gothic literature in South and Southeast Asia. He is currently a member of the Gothic in Asia Association and a former member of the Modern Language Association.

7. Agnideepo Datta is an Assistant Professor of English at Swami Vivekananda University, West Bengal. He holds a postgraduate degree from the University of Calcutta and an M.Phil. from Vidyasagar University. He is currently pursuing his Ph.D. at Bankura University. With teaching experience in institutions across India and abroad, he also coordinates examinations and interdisciplinary academic initiatives with dedication and efficiency.

8. Debarshi Arathdar is an Assistant Professor in the Department of English, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore. He has completed his post-graduation from the University of Delhi and is currently pursuing his Ph.D. from the same institution. Prior to joining Swami Vivekananda University, he was employed as a Guest Faculty in several colleges at the University of Delhi. His research interests include Cognitive Literary Studies, Narratology, Phenomenology, Contemporary Literary Theories and Philosophies and the interfaces between A.I. and Literature. He has presented his research at both National and International Conferences. He has published several articles in internationally acclaimed Peer-reviewed Journals and contributed Book Chapters in forthcoming projects. His research aims to unveil the complex intricacies of the mind's creative and comprehensive relation to texts at large.

9. Sudipta Dutta is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Language, Literature and Cultural Studies, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Swami Vivekananda University, West Bengal. He is also a Ph.D. research scholar at the Department of English, West Bengal State University. He completed his postgraduation from the Department of English Literature and Language, West Bengal State University with the first-class first rank in 2021.

10. Damini Ray is an Assistant Professor of English at Syamaprasad College (University of Calcutta), Kolkata, India. She completed her M.Phil. on late twentieth century feminist science fiction from the University of Calcutta. She is currently pursuing her Ph.D. on crime fiction at Presidency

University, Kolkata. Her areas of interest include genre fiction, adaptation studies, and women studies.

11.Moumita Bera is a research scholar in the Department of English, Swami Vivekananda University.